Our show today is sponsored by microdose gummies, and we are honored to have them supporting our mission.

As cases start to heat up and things start to get hectic around the studio, I am glad I can take a step back and relax in the evenings with microdose.

For me, just one gummy and Granddaddy Sours are my favorite is the perfect amount to help me relax at the end of the day and get off of that mental hamster wheel.

Instead of spinning in place, I am just chilling and able to let go of the day's stresses without feeling lazy or hazy.

Microdose is different. It's a guilt-free way for me to relax while I'm trying to unwind with a good book every night.

I really love how they help me relax in otherwise stressful situations without making me feel groggy or out of it.

Microdose is available nationwide. To learn more about microdosing THC, go to microdose.com and use code MANDY to get free shipping and 30% off your first order.

Links can be found in the show description. But again, that is microdose.com code MANDY.

With work so scattered, you need MONDAY.COM, a platform that connects people and their tools to clear processes so you can manage projects, sales, dev and more all in one place.

Learn more at MONDAY.COM

Combined with more outrageous news from lawmakers Todd Rutherford and Dick Harputlian, it's never been so clear how important all of you listening are as we shine sunlight on what needs to change our justice system.

My name is Mandy Matney. This is True Sunlight, a podcast exposing crime and corruption previously known as the Murdoch Murders podcast.

True Sunlight is a Luna Shark production written with journalist Liz Farrell.

Well, I woke up angry today so I hope you all are ready for it in today's show.

On Wednesday, I got some awful news from Dallas Dollar's family. Thrice Accused Rapist, Bowen Turner, is set to be released from prison November 15, 2023.

Turns out, they couldn't have picked a worse week for Bowen's release. He will be released the day after the anniversary of Dallas Dollar's death.

In the day before victim Chloe Bess's birthday, November 15 is also Dallas's father's birthday. I'm sure the date wasn't on purpose, but it just rubbed salt in the wounds of these victims who have been shown time and time again that the system does not care about them.

The Stallors received an automatic call to notify them of Turner's upcoming release.

Could you imagine being Dallas's family and receiving that automated phone call that your daughter's alleged rapist will be released from prison on the day before the anniversary of your daughter's death?

This is how our system treats victims. This is how our system treats our girls. They do not care. And at this point, we have to make them care.

The state officials involved in this deal that initially gave Turner probation for the sexual assaults and after he violated his bond conditions 60 times, they do not care.

I'm talking about prosecutor David Miller, who is currently in the running to be a judge, by the way, which we will get to in a minute. I'm talking about solicitor Bill Weeks. I'm talking about Senator Brad Hutto.

I mean it. When I say, if anything happens to another person after Bowen Turner's release, there will be blood on their hands.

For a reminder, Bowen Turner allegedly raped three different teenage girls in three different South Carolina counties between 2018 and 2019.

Six months before Dallas's assault in April 2018, Turner allegedly raped another teenage victim who has chosen to remain anonymous.

Bowen was represented in court by South Carolina Senator Brad Hutto, a man who calls himself a champion for women's rights.

During the bond hearing for Chloe's case, Brad Hutto, the champion, slut shamed Chloe Bess and said.

Guess what? You had sex on the ground with a boy you didn't know and you got up and felt ashamed. After that incident, as Bowen's case dragged on with no hope and as Dallas continued to be bullied and victimized by people in her own community who took Bowen's side, Dallas passed away to self-inflicted injuries in November 2021.

After Dallas Stoller's death in April 2022, Bowen Turner, with the help of his State Senator Attorney Brad Hutto, was given a sweetheart deal of just five years' probation after pleading guilty to assaulting Chloe Bess,

even after he violated his court-ordered ankle monitor over 60 times.

The solicitor's office, specifically David Miller, dropped the charges in Dallas's case in a closed-door hearing with a judge who didn't usually appear in Orangeburg County claiming that they didn't have enough evidence to go forward with the case because the witness was dead, essentially blaming Dallas for their failure.

Remember when we said David Miller is actually trying to get a promotion right now? I know these facts might seem repetitive, but it is so important as this man currently has the audacity to run for judge.

As you might remember, and as we all predicted, Bowen Turner almost immediately violated his probation in 2022 and was charged with public disorderly conduct.

He was sentenced to 10 to 14 months in prison, which leads us to hear and now in Dallas Stoller's case.

Last year, following a lot of public outcry and scrutiny, solicitor Bill Weeks told the Stoller family to stay guiet and stay out of the media.

If they did, Weeks said his office would reopen Dallas's case. The Stollers listened to that and stayed quiet for a year.

The problem was that Weeks didn't bother to keep up his end of the deal. This spring, Weeks' office told the Stoller family a list of excuses as to why they wouldn't prosecute Turner,

which is apparently what they were doing all of that time instead of trying to build a case.

It had been years since the sexual assault at that point, which was their fault, and their fault only, that that much time had passed.

And witness testimony gets trickier and trickier as years pass.

The solicitor's office also claimed that they found in their taxpayer-funded investigation that Dallas was intoxicated during the night of the assault,

which everyone already knew and should not ever be an excuse for dropping a rape case.

Bill Weeks failed the Stoller family time and time again with his decisions.

He chose to hide and he chose to ask the family to hide.

He chose not to prosecute. He chose to keep David Miller on his team after he botched this case beyond belief.

And Bill Weeks chose to not apologize to the Stollers for putting them through years of agony.

The family told me that even after our call to action was issued months ago, Bill Weeks has not bothered to reach out to the Stoller family.

But Bill Weeks' cowardice isn't even the biggest punch to the gut for the Stoller family and the other victims.

As Bowen Turner's anticipated release date weighs heavy on the minds of the victims, they have yet another gut punch to deal with.

Prosecutor David Miller's run for judge.

South Carolina is one of two states where a special committee of lawmakers chooses its judges, not the people.

On that committee that makes the final say is Todd Rutherford.

So yeah, we cannot trust them to do the right thing here.

Miller was recently cleared in one of many hurdles he has to become judge.

This month, he was deemed as qualified by the South Carolina bar, which is unfortunately not shocking at all, given what we know from the Murdoch case.

However, there are several rounds of the process that the public can participate in before he officially becomes a judge.

The committee is now accepting complaints about Miller through October 23rd and that link can be found in the description.

In November, public hearings will be scheduled and we will be sure to alert y'all when we find out about David Miller's hearing.

We need the public and it doesn't matter if you're a South Carolina citizen or not to voice your concerns about David Miller before this man who has shown he cares more about himself and his career than he does about public safety before he gets more power to do more harm.

We're going to talk more about the process of electing judges later in this episode.

We should be astounded by the news that David Miller is not only still working in his job as a solicitor for the people of South Carolina, but he has the audacity to run for judge right now.

Even after he was dragged into the sunlight in front of the whole world, but we are not shocked.

The way the system is designed, by and for good old boys, these men are allowed to continue to fail up.

No matter how many lives are destroyed in the process.

On Twitter, after I announced that David Miller is in the process for running for judge, someone pointed out something brilliant.

They said, no wonder Murdoch keeps trying.

This really hit me because of course the system doesn't stop them.

Those in power choose time and time again not to stop them.

But you know what? While they can keep trying, we can keep trying too.

We have to keep trying to change our system to work for all, not just a few good old boys at the top. We can keep calling out those who choose to hurt victims and those who choose their career and their access to power over public safety.

Their days in the darkness are limited and we can ensure this with sunlight.

Before we get into it, let's start with some updates.

Last week, we told you about how Ellick Murdoch's best friend and co-conspirator Corey Fleming seemed to be missing.

He had left Charleston County Detention Center on September 22nd,

but as of October 2nd, still was not in federal custody, according to the Bureau of Prisons.

It wasn't until after we began asking questions and after reporter Drew Tripp with WCIV-TV out of Charleston began asking questions at the same time

and after our episode aired on October 3rd that Corey's location was finally known.

It was yet another weird thing in this always weird case, something that our sources said, well, that's not something I've seen before.

We wondered whether Corey's appearance in No Man's Land was an indication that he was finally speaking to law enforcement

and playing his final card in trying to get a lower state sentence.

We still don't have the answer to that question.

Regardless, Corey is now in Atlanta, which is funny because from what sources have told us,

Atlanta was one of the areas where Ellick, Corey, and Chris Wilson would hang out when they were in law school together and young attorneys.

The three best friends that anyone could have apparently had a whole lot of fun there back in the day.

I wonder if Corey is feeling that nostalgia right now.

According to the Bureau of Prisons database, Corey is at the city's low security federal prison.

A look through the prison's history shows that USP Atlanta was home to Al Capone at one point, along with Carlo Ponzi, the father of the so-called Ponzi scheme,

which is interesting because one thought during the past few years has been that Ellick got himself into some sort of Ponzi scheme with himself and the people around him.

Some in law enforcement have told us that Ellick got dug in deep with debt, with bad investments, and just couldn't get himself out of it.

But we've sort of rejected that idea as being the motive for his actions.

While we agree that Ellick had gotten himself into major debt, we think something more sinister to it exists than simply not being able to pay back what he owed.

Anyway, another notable former resident at Corey's prison includes Frank Abagnale,

the Czech forager who was played by Leonardo DiCaprio in the movie Catch Me If You Can.

If you saw that movie, you'll remember that all Frank had escaped from USP Atlanta in 1971 and was on the lam for several weeks.

If that movie was on Corey's pre-prison watch list, I hope it didn't give him false hope.

Another notable former prison in Atlanta was Vincent Papa, the mafia guy involved in the French connection heist in the 1970s.

Unfortunately for Corey, Vincent was murdered at USP Atlanta, so that's no fun.

 $Corey's \ name \ has \ not \ yet \ been \ added \ to \ the \ Wikipedia \ list \ of \ famous \ prisoners \ yet, \ but \ give \ it \ time.$

It's also not clear that this will be his final prison home.

Corey had requested FCI, Jessup, in Georgia, and Judge Gergel had agreed to recommend it.

Russell Lafitte had also wanted Jessup.

It's interesting though that neither man seems to have gotten what he wished for, and we're not really sure why that is.

Peter Beth Brayden called over to Jessup this week to find out whether they are experiencing some sort of issue of overcrowding,

but a spokesperson from Jessup did not get back to her.

It might not seem like a big deal, but Jessup is just over two hours from Puford, and Atlanta is about four and a half hours if you're lucky.

So that's going to be a haul for Corey's visitors.

Hopefully 14th Circuit solicitor Duffy Stone has a generous vacation policy for at least one of his staff members,

who was faithfully at every hearing of Corey's, even when sources told us she was supposed to be working on Gran Turay Matters.

One thing we haven't noted for you guys yet is that low security isn't actually the lowest form of federal imprisonment there is.

There's also minimum security, which is like a prison camp.

Jessup has a prison camp, but Corey's and Russell's current prisons do not.

Maybe it's something they have to ease into.

We'll be right back.

Socks, tees, and underwear are the three most requested items in homeless shelters.

When you buy yours from Bombas, you're also giving one to someone who needs it.

One item purchased equals one donated, and I am really excited to tell you about the Bombas Fall collection.

Bombas has the coziest materials, like extra soft, extra long staple cotton, and merino wool.

Maybe you're looking for an early holiday gift.

Bombas gift boxes are filled with cozy goodies, and the best part is they come in a beautifully designed box, so you don't even have to wrap it.

Go to Bombas.com slash Mandy and use code Mandy for 20% off your first purchase.

That's B-O-M-B-A-S dot com slash Mandy and use code Mandy at checkout.

Noom is changing the game with weight management.

You know how a lot of weight programs out there focus on what you eat?

Well, I love how Noom is using science to help you understand why you eat, and that is a big difference.

Weight management needs a long-term solution, and that is Noom.

If you are one of those people who feels like food controls them, then you're going to love Noom's psychology-based approach to weight management.

For some people, eating is an emotional experience, so when it comes to managing your weight, it makes sense that Noom has a psychology-based approach.

Noom's personalized courses are easy to follow and help grow your confidence with tools you can put into practice on day one.

I believe the best part about Noom is that you decide how Noom fits into your life, not the other way around.

Sign up for your trial today at Noom.com.

That's N-O-O-M dot com to sign up for your trial today.

Okay, the next update we want to talk about is about state representative and judge selector and busy attorney Todd Rutherford.

You know, the guy who made headlines earlier this year by secretly negotiating an early release for murderer Jarod Price.

With the solicitor, he helped get elected, and a retiring judge, he helped put on the bench.

And then he made headlines again when Russell Lafitte suddenly hired him to sit in on his scheduling hearings.

And then he made headlines again when he made it a point to shake Ellic Murdoch's shackled hands in the courtroom last month.

Rutherford is back in the headlines again because, guess what, there's yet another case of him securing early release for a prisoner in a closed door hearing with the judge who let it happen.

That brings us up to three prisoners so far whom Rutherford helped free using the Substantial Assistance Law.

Which fine, help free prisoners if they deserve to be freed.

We're all for rebalancing the scales of justice when it's warranted, obviously.

And the intent of the Substantial Assistance Law is to encourage prisoners to cooperate with law enforcement.

But why do it in a closed door hearing?

And why is it that in two of the three cases, there isn't even robust evidence showing this meaningful assistance?

By the way, we haven't talked about the Supreme Court opinion about the Jarod Price debacle that was finally published last month,

which is a full five months after justices voted on voiding Judge Casey Manning's secret order to free price.

We're going to do that today.

But let's talk about Alberto Romero Lopez, a 39 year old man who had been sentenced to 30 years in prison for shooting a man over and over again,

and kidnapping two women in an Aiken County home invasion 17 years ago.

Also Lopez assaulted Aiken County Sheriff's Office deputies.

Lopez was a prisoner in McCormick, you know, Alex prison home up until 2022 when Judge Courtney Clyburn Pope signed off on his release.

Now, what else happened in 2022?

Just one month before Judge Clyburn Pope signed off on Lopez's early release.

Oh, right.

She was reelected to the bench and guess whose name was on the roster of eye votes? Rutherford's.

Not on the list of eye votes was her father, Representative William Clyburn.

He had the good sense to recuse himself from that vote.

Now, here's David with a passage from an October 6 post and career story by reporter Glenn Smith about Lopez's release.

The ruling by Circuit Judge Courtney Clyburn Pope in March 2022 that reduced Lopez's sentence makes no mention of what he specifically did to warrant such mercy.

But court papers from the prison officer's arrest indicate she was accused of providing an inmate

with nude photos, candy and sunflower seeds.

The officer faced charges of misconduct and furnishing contraband.

Those charges were later dismissed court record show.

Nude photos, candy, sunflower seeds.

And then the charges were later dropped.

Thank you for your service, Mr. Lopez.

Judge Clyburn Pope agreed to seal the details of the hearing to discuss Lopez's early release. So that's it.

That's all the public gets to know about the discussion surrounding his so-called meaningful assistance.

Rutherford told the Post and Carrier that Lopez came forward with this information that quote would have made him a marked man in a prison system that could not guarantee his safety.

He said something similar in the Jarod Price case that this had to be done in secret because of how much a danger Jarod was in for snitching.

So much danger that he not only survived his time on the outside, he's still doing fine today.

Remember, sources have told us that Jarod ran the prisons.

Rutherford's worries about his safety is nothing more than false justification for operating in the dark.

Oh, and this is a good one.

Rutherford told the Post and Carrier that Lopez's early release saved the state more than \$300,000, which he called real dollars.

I believe this was about real dollars, just not the ones that go back into the government's pockets.

Though Rutherford told the Post and Carrier that this case was by the book, the Aitken County

Sheriff's Office, technically a victim in this, said it had not been notified about Lopez's hearing.

Okay, so let's talk about that Supreme Court opinion on the Jarod Price case.

Todd Rutherford came out of that unscathed.

Judge Casey Manning and Fifth Circuit Solicitor Byron Gibson, however, were both found to have failed in their roles.

Judge Manning was found to have acted outside his authority as a judge and to have made errors of law.

Gibson failed to follow the law and failed to follow the victim's Bill of Rights, but that seems to be it. It wasn't even a tongue lashing.

So here are some interesting things we want to note about the opinion and the dissenting opinion. One, the Supreme Court made it ultra clear that, at least theoretically and on paper, it understands the value of public information and why it's so wrong that the entire Jarod Price order was sealed and the alleged hearing was held behind closed doors.

Here's David with a particularly poignant passage from Justice George James's dissenting opinion. We think it really underscores the entirety of the problem here.

Here, Justice James was quoting former Justice E. C. Burnett in writing for the court in a case called You Drive It.

Public access discourages perjury and encourages bringing the truth to light because participants are less likely to testify falsely in a sunlit courtroom before their neighbors than in a private room before court officials.

Public access promotes free discussion of governmental affairs by imparting a more complete understanding to the public of the judicial system and issues resolved by that system.

Public access serves as a check on inappropriate or corrupt practices by exposing judicial process to public scrutiny.

Lawyers, witnesses and judges may perform their duties in a more conscientious manner, knowing their conduct will be subject to public scrutiny either at the time of the proceeding or later through disclosure of court records.

Beautiful, right? This is why we do the job we do.

This is why we talk about sunlight so much.

If there had been sunlight, then Jarod Price would not likely have been released from prison so early. So there are two more important things to note about this opinion.

One is that the dissenting opinion left open a crack in the door in case another murderer wants to try using this provision of the law to secure an early release.

In writing for the majority, Justice John Cannon Few declined to weigh in on whether the Substantial Assistance Law applies to sentences in murder cases,

which are dictated by another law that doesn't allow for early release programs or good behavior credits to shorten a prisoner's time behind bars.

But in the dissent, Justice James decided to take on what he calls interpretive tension, which is a really lovely phrase for what we believe was the motivating factor all along.

We have said this before a few times, but we believe that the Jarod Price case is suspicious.

Rutherford began working on Price's early release in February 2022, during a time when it was becoming increasingly clear to Ellic Murdoch's defense team that the murder charges were coming. In December 2022, Rutherford was able to get a judge to sign off on Price's early release, but Price wasn't released then.

Nope, it wasn't until right after Ellic was found guilty that the order was unsealed and somehow delivered to the Department of Corrections.

I say somehow because Justice Cannon Few made it a point to mention this.

It is not known how the Department of Corrections obtained that order at oral argument.

Rutherford stated he believed another circuit judge sent the order to the Department of Corrections.

He said that they could not verify this as the event is not recorded in the public index.

You heard that, right?

This order magically appeared out of nowhere, apparently, and Jarod Price, a murderer, was let go.

This is our justice system, ladies and gentlemen.

The point here is this.

The timing and circumstances of Price's release plus Rutherford's brazen handshake of Ellic Murdoch raised suspicion as to whether this Jarod Price plan existed secretly because it was only going to happen if Ellic Murdoch were found guilty.

Was that the trigger to unsealing the order?

It's a question worth asking because of this interpretive tension.

Because it was this interpretive tension that had not been tested yet, could a murderer get a shortened sentence by providing substantial assistance to law enforcement?

The majority, the ones who voted to vacate Manning's order altogether, didn't want to weigh in on whether or not the law allowed for this.

But the minority, the two Supreme Court justices who voted not to vacate Judge Manning's order, they got it on the record.

And for the record, they believe that the law allows early release for murderers.

The reasoning they use is that substantial assistance law is neither a program nor a credit.

It is something else altogether, and if the General Assembly had intended for this to apply to murder cases, then the General Assembly would have noted this.

So, like we said, the door has been cracked open.

This is now on the record for the future.

The last thing I want to say about the Jarod Price case for now.

Justice James noted how janky the affidavits attached to Judge Manning's order were.

Remember how flimsy the reasoning was that was used to support the argument that Jarod Price should be released early?

There were three pieces of so-called evidence attached to that order.

First, there was an unsigned addendum asserting that Price had told prison officials at some point that prisoner Jimmy Causey had escaped.

Second, there was an affidavit from an inmate who apparently witnessed Jarod Price rescue a correctional officer, but not an affidavit from the correctional officer who was rescued.

Third, there was an affidavit from the correctional officer, Jarod Price's alleged ex-girlfriend, who swore that another officer had told her about Price's rescuing of another officer.

Justice James calls this rank hearsay, and again, there is no affidavit from the officer who was allegedly attacked.

There's a great link in the descent from Justice James, by the way.

It says, while the truce of Price's alleged heroics is not before us, their suspect veracity is perhaps one reason for the state's regret over choosing to ask for a reduction.

So, an unsigned addendum, an affidavit from someone who can't be trusted, and an affidavit from someone who didn't experience the thing.

Does that sound familiar to y'all? Because it should.

It has the same flavor of the so-called evidence that Dick Harputlian and Jim Griffin attached to their motion about the alleged jury tampering from clerk of court Becky Hill.

First, there was an affidavit from the dismissed egg juror that focused on a Facebook post that had nothing to do with her dismissal as a juror.

Then, there are two affidavits from Dick's assistant who talked to jurors, but no affidavits from those actual jurors themselves.

Then, there was an affidavit from Phil Barber about how he downloaded the computer of a man to find a Facebook post that has nothing to do with the actual reason a juror was dismissed.

Then, there is an affidavit from a juror who was rumored to be a friend of the juror who got dismissed.

Finally, an affidavit from a man who has nothing to do with the case or the reason why the egg juror was dismissed.

It's a stack of nothing that barely contains actual evidence of the assertions being made, the thing they want the court to believe.

Interesting, right?

And we will be right back.

Y'all, we are glad to be home after a hectic few weeks of travel, and you want to know what I put on as soon as I got back into my comfy couch with Luna and Joe Pesky?

Yep, you guessed it, the Ori.

We are so excited to be back in the swing of things with morning runs and quarry shorts and recording episodes of Cup of Justice in my performance joggers.

As fall weather approaches, I have my halo performance hoodie closed by all of the time.

I am operating at peak comfort levels thanks to Viori.

Viori is an investment in your happiness.

For our listeners, they are offering 20% off your first purchase.

Get yourself some of the most comfortable and versatile clothing on the planet at Viori.com slash Mandy.

That's V-O-R-I dot com slash Mandy.

Not only will you receive 20% off your first purchase, but enjoy free shipping on any U.S. orders over \$75 and free returns.

Go to Viori.com slash Mandy and discover the versatility of Viori clothing.

We're not conspiracy theorists, but we are basically Murdoch scholars at this point.

And in our scholarly opinion, nothing is a coincidence in the Jarod Price case.

Speaking of coincidences, one more quick update.

What is going on with Judge Bentley Price?

Judge Price is the judge assigned to the Satterfield case and the civil conspiracy case against Parker's kitchen owner Greg Parker,

who stands accused of orchestrating a campaign against the Beech and Murdoch families in an effort to lessen his business liability in the bell crash case.

This alleged orchestration resulted in confidential court documents getting released to a third party and photos of Mallory Beach's dead body showing up in a sizzle reel for a tabloid documentary. It seems like someone wants Judge Price off the bench.

Two days ago, the Post and Courier published a story about how Judge Price has been deemed unqualified by the legal community.

This is a very unusual occurrence, a sitting judge in South Carolina being labeled unfit by the Bar Association.

Now, we don't know a whole lot about Judge Price.

We do know that there are legitimate concerns about his sentencing practices.

According to another story in the Post and Courier about Spanish-speaking interpreters,

Judge Price allowed an accused child rapist to go free because the court couldn't find anyone to interpret for the man's hearings.

That's not a solution, but we haven't yet heard anything from anyone that would put Judge Price in a category beyond,

tell me more about how South Carolina judges make stupid decisions about sentencing, especially when their friends are the defense attorneys.

Literally, that's like telling us that judges in South Carolina wear robes.

Yeah, duh.

I mean, it's not like we have a recording of Judge Price trying to coerce a sheriff's deputy into making up a reason to arrest a man to get him out of his neighborhood,

because that would be something to raise hell about.

Ahem, Judge Carmen Mullen, who is still on the bench.

Where was the South Carolina Bar Association on that one?

It's awfully quiet in quiet town.

That's where they were, and that's where they still are.

So why is this different?

Why are they going after Judge Price?

Sources have told us that even though there are people who say they have legitimate concerns about Judge Price's behavior on and off the bench,

especially when it comes to how Judge Price treats victims of crime,

there appears to have been some sort of coordinated effort in the way of a letter writing campaign to have Judge Price removed.

Now, reporter Beth Brayden has reached out to the South Carolina Bar Association to get more information,

including copies of the letters that were sent, but they have not responded.

Okay, so what does this mean?

It means that once again, it appears that attorneys in this state are doing what they think they're entitled to do,

which is choose the judges who hear their cases.

If they don't like a judge's rulings, they have gone to the ends of the earth to change that outcome.

That said, we don't know for certain that there was a coordinated effort to take down Judge Price.

The reason we don't know that is because, guess what, this was a secret process.

Apparently, it only takes a quarter of an unknown number of bar members who are asked about whether a judge should be removed

to result in a public disqualification like this one, according to the Post and Courier.

It's not clear how the bar determines how many people to ask or who to ask.

It's all in the dark and it's anonymous.

Imagine a system in which judges are constantly worried about not pissing off the wrong people.

That is the system we have in South Carolina.

Judges live with a constant awareness that they are either protected because the right people are on their side

or they're in peril because they've ruled against someone with an unlimited legal budget.

So we are greatly concerned about what's happening here.

Is Judge Price not qualified to be on the bench as these unknown bar members say he is? If so, let's hear those reasons.

And those reasons better be worse or as bad as, quote,

instructed a deputy to arrest a man for no reason because anything less than that is a joke.

Or is Judge Price the victim of unscrupulous actors using their easily purchased power to manifest their desired outcome?

If you know the answer to either of those things, then speak up now.

You know how to contact us.

Say something because look at what is happening here.

You have one set of powerful attorneys blowing apart the sacred process of jury deliberations.

And you have someone because someone has to be coordinating this in some way,

trying to blow up a judge's career anonymously and without much evidence that it needs to be done.

That said, let's talk about Alec Murdoch's federal case because we are now fully in Moby Dick territory, like for real.

One of us is a Herman Melville fan. We'll explain that in a second.

So last week when we were finishing up that episode came the inevitable and very predictable Wednesday evening Murdoch breaking news.

The government had filed its response to Dick and Jim's motion to order U.S. Marshals to seize Alec Murdoch's assets immediately.

Let me just point this out from the start.

Dick and Jim seem particularly rushed about this, right?

Like they're trying to make their hopes and dreams come true on the federal level as fast as they can, right?

That's because they're on a deadline.

They've got to extract the state of South Carolina from Alec's case before the state can dig any deeper with a financial trial

and they have to get the federal government more fully entrenched in Alec's case

so that the feds end up with far more interest in seeing the matter through in the way they want.

Again, we believe much of this is about Alec getting out of state prison and into federal prison, but there might be more to it.

It's clearly a race and they're getting more and more agitated as the days go on.

Think of it visually.

Dick and Jim have Alec stuffed in a big red wagon and they're trying to take him from the Attorney General's office in Columbia, South Carolina

all the way to the U.S. Attorney's office in Charleston.

Phil Barber is pulling the heavy wagon in a slow jog and Jim and Maggie Fox are helping to push it from behind.

Meanwhile, Dick Harputlian is walking behind them, carrying on a profanity-laden conversation with various members of the media

while Alec offers them ideas on his case strategy while he guzzles cups of noodles from the commissary and asking Jim to borrow his cell phone.

If this were a political cartoon, that is what it would look like.

So the situation goes like this.

Dick and Jim make an emergency motion to get the federal government to seize Alec's assets from the receivership.

Then the government is like, calm down girl, we're good, we're not trying to do that right now.

Then Dick and Jim are like, oh my god, what?

You've got to.

Picture them being pulled over on Interstate 26, hot and sweaty with Alec munching away on bee sticks in his red wagon.

They are hands on head and kicking at the air.

They are indignant.

And it's funny because when you read the amount of indignance they've injected into their response,

which comes in the form of 19th century ruffle-colored prose like,

Dear sirs, I am but beside myself.

Tis a mockery.

It almost makes you forget that they filed an emergency motion to make this thing happen.

Meaning, they foresaw this scenario.

If it's a bygone conclusion that the federal government only has one option as they claim, and that option is to immediately seize Alec's assets, then there would be no need for Dick and Jim to intervene.

Right?

But they did.

They not only are trying to make sure this seizure happens, they are trying to hasten it.

Now, I only got to briefly talk to you about the government's response last week.

So let's start there because it was good.

Or rather, the exhibits attached to the response were good.

So one thing to note about the government's response, which was basically,

we're not going to seize the assets because they're under the jurisdiction of state court, is the phrase at this time.

They're not saying they're never going to seize Alec's assets.

They're saying, we're not doing it on your timetable, Dick and Jim.

We talked about this in our last episode and on Cup of Justice,

but the response made a point of saying that a number of the victims in Alec's federal crimes, and it listed Palmetto State Bank and PMPD among those victims, had already been made whole.

The implication being that this money that Dick and Jim say they want for the victims,

that supposedly, when seized for the victims, wouldn't even necessarily go to the victims.

Additionally, Alec has victims beyond the crimes he's been charged with federally,

and this federal seizure would only apply to the victims of those cases.

So, is it possible that Dick and Jim want to preemptively seize the assets

before the public can learn more about Alec Murdoch's victims?

Is this what it's about?

Anyone who thinks their owed money by Alec has until this month

to petition the court to get in line for it, is team Murdoch trying to prevent that from happening.

Because Dick and Jim's reply to the government response borders on hysterical,

like they wrote the filing through tears of self pity and defeat.

Now, the most interesting thing to us about the government's response

was how many times it's pointed out the team Murdoch lied to the court.

For one, Alec's motion wasn't truthful about how the process would work for the victims

if the government took over the reimbursement of assets.

Surprise, surprise!

Dick and Jim want the court to believe that the process would be better for the victims

if the government took the money and the government is basically like not really though.

The government also noted that team Murdoch wrongly claimed that private attorneys will unnecessarily spend the assets.

That's Dick and Jim's second big noble argument, by the way,

that the lawyers are the problem here.

We'll get to that.

In its response, the government pointed out to the court

that the co-receivers have already been paid and quote,

these fees were approved by the state circuit court judge,

absent objection from Murdoch or any potential claimants or creditors.

Meaning, they clearly didn't have an issue with this before.

And I have to point this out, Alec waived his right to object to the receivership

when he signed off on buster settlement with the Beach family.

But here we are again.

These guys have routinely made a seemingly written and stoned decision

only to get their way on something in the moment,

only to say psych afterward.

Like they did with the Satterfield Confession of Judgment

and the decision to allow the receivership to have more than \$400,000 from Alec's 401k

in exchange for Alec getting to keep \$600,000 to pay for expert witnesses at his murder trial.

So, as a part of the government's response, we have affidavits.

One is from Walter Tolleson III,

the lawyer who has been assigned to manage the distribution of Alec's assets to his victims and creditors.

In their emergency motion, Dick and Jim told the court

that this man planned to charge hundreds of thousands of dollars to do this.

Here, Tolleson tells the court that is not true.

I am aware of the assertion that my involvement as special referee would result in my fees totaling several hundred thousand dollars based upon the facts known to me at this time and my experience with state and federal receivership matters.

I am currently unaware of any facts or circumstances that would require or warrant my fees coming remotely close to this assertion.

So, another lie from Team Murdoch.

Now, for the most telling part of the government's response,

the affidavit of the co-receivers John T. Lay and Peter M. McCoy.

It's a doozy.

On the very first page, Lay and McCoy pointed out one of Dick Harputlian's famous lies when he told the court on September 16, 2021 that Alec was, quote, essentially broke.

The word Dick would later use for that during their bond hearing redo with a second judge

because the first judge didn't give them what they wanted was impecunious,

meaning the entire reason for the receivership's existence was because, \boldsymbol{A} ,

there was evidence showing that Alec was wasting and hiding assets,

and B, because Alec and his team insisted he had no money,

which wasn't true because here Dick and Jim are arguing about who gets to control

the more than two million dollars that belongs to Alec.

Let's take a look at some of the curious things mentioned in the affidavit.

One, the receivers used the word purported when talking about Buster's appointment in September

2021

as Alec's power of attorney.

It's not clear why they used that word, meaning whether they're indicating that Buster was named PoA

and named only, and Alec was still acting on his own behalf,

or whether they're hinting at this act being part of an overall fraudulent scheme.

Two, they don't just say that they were appointed as co-receivers

as a result of Alec hiding and wasting assets, they came with receipts.

For instance, they note that on September 23rd, 2021,

the same day that Hampton County recorded Alec's power of attorney filing,

Ole Buster forgave an almost million dollar loan that Alec allegedly had given

to alleged drug trafficker Barrett Bowler's wife.

That loan was somehow attached to Moselle, property that Alec had gotten from Bowler.

Property that Alec didn't own because he'd transferred it into Maggie's name.

Then, on October 1st, Alec sold his stock in Green Swamp Club

and sold his Grady White 306 boat for \$115,000.

Then, he transferred his, quote, beneficial interest in other real property to a friend and former law partner Visa V, a deed in lieu of foreclosure.

What were they doing with that money?

Apparently, they were paying down debt owed by Randolph Murdoch's estate and allegedly conspiring with Palmetto State Bank to do so.

Why?

Because the less money Randolph's estate owed, the more Alec got

in his untouchable, multi-million dollar trust that Randolph had left to him.

Isn't it amazing how Team Murdoch acts like we can't see them?

Unlike their past just simply doesn't exist.

They are constantly rewriting history, but it's like spraying a cow pasture with a can of Glade Hawaiian Breeze.

We can still smell it, guys.

Three, Alec apparently owed back taxes on the Edisto Beach home,

so much so that the home was said to be auctioned off

if the taxes weren't paid by December 10th, 2021.

Did Alec use the money he'd gotten from the sale of his boat

or the stock in Green Swamp to pay off those taxes?

No.

Was he going to let the house go up on the auction block

so a pal could buy it back and keep it for safekeeping in the Murdoch economy?

Who knows?

Because four, the receivership stopped the undervalued sale

of a number of Alec's properties,

meaning Alec and his family were allegedly trying to offload his properties

at below market prices,

and were it not for the receivership stepping in,

that money would have been lost.

And again, it's so sickening what these guys keep doing.

I'm going to remind you again that Palmetto State Bank and PMPAD partners played roles in all of this,

and yet the federal government sees them as victims.

Victims!

After everything came to light,

Alec chose to pay off an unsecured bank loan

that he and his father owed to PSB.

A loan that was written off fast after word on the street

was that Alec was in some trouble.

He chose to do that instead of paying down the loans

that were attached to his properties.

What bank considers itself to be a victim

when the person in question who owed them money

seems to have worked with them to give them priority standing in repayment?

And what bank testifies it was about to give Alec a loan for a beach house

that was in danger of going to public auction?

In the affidavit, John T. and Peter wrote,

quote,

Those of our involvement are to ensure transparency.

Do our part to restore confidence in the justice system.

Ensure assets are protected,

and while not picking winners and losers,

make sure creditors and victims stand shoulder to shoulder

so that no one unfairly gets ahead of anyone else

in collection of monies.

Oh, hey!

Remember when a federal judge ordered Cory Fleming to pay restitution to PMPAD

in a case that he hadn't even been charged with?

That's the same judge Dick and Jim want to grant them

an immediate seizure of Alec's assets.

Judge Richard Gergel,

a man who says he has great respect for Alec's attorneys

and who Phil Barber, Dix's associate

and the apparent author of Dick and Jim's reply,

used to clerk for.

That's right.

Phil Barber was a law clerk for Judge Gergel,

which explains why he did a few verbal pirouettes

and a big ballet bow in his latest filing.

Gotta impress that former boss.

Now, Dick and Jim have degraded the receivership to Judge Gergel

and have done their best to paint the receivers as greedy attorneys just after a big payday.

The payday is big, by the way.

It's more than \$600,000.

Here's what the receivers have to say about that.

Quote,

the complexity of Murdoch's vast network of financial property and business interests,

as well as extensive legal maneuvers employed by Murdoch

to undermine the receivership

and by others seeking to maximize their claims,

have required that the co-receivers and their counsel

expend more than 3,000 hours of work

in furtherance of the receivership,

meaning this cost more

because of the games Alec and Dick and Jim played

throughout the past two years trying to get at that money.

To counter Dick and Jim's depiction of them,

the receivers listed 25 of the things they've done

in those two years to increase the value of Alec's estate.

Some of those things included keeping an eye on PMPED

and their civil claim against Alec,

to quote,

ensure that any judgment entered in favor of PMPED

would be supported by competent evidence

and reflective of Murdoch's share of civil liability

for the misconduct Murdoch committed against PMPED's clients.

They successfully thwarted Alec's attempt in March 2022

to disclaim interest in Maggie's estate.

This was another scheme in which Alec was trying to move assets

out of his name in violation of a court order

that had frozen his assets.

The receivership also successfully negotiated down

Alec's alleged mortgages with Palmetto State Bank,

mortgages that some saw as invalid because of how lax the bank

had treated Alec's payback plan

and because the bank hadn't made a move to collect on those loans

until after it became clear that Alec was in trouble.

Okay, now we're ready to talk about Alec's reply

to the government from Dick and Jim

and apparently written by Judge Gurgle's former head boy,

Phil Barber.

Now, one thing that Team Murdoch has been really good at

in their replies is being petty.

Every chance they have to phrase something in a petty way, they go for it.

And every chance they have at recharacterizing something in a negative way that benefits them, they go for it. In this reply, they are treating the federal government like a roided-out boyfriend who is trying to do the right thing and not punch the little guy who his girlfriend is annoyed with. In this scenario, Dick and Jim and Phil are the girlfriend being like, oh, you're going to let this wimp of a guy tell you what to do, huh?

I thought you were strong.

He's making a fool out of you, but okay.

Now, first, I want to point out that on page one of their reply in a footnote, they erroneously tell the court that the quote, Satterfield claims against Mr. Murdoch have been reduced to judgment, which has been satisfied by offsets from other settling defendants.

We'll let Eric handle that one.

I mean, it's laughable.

If it wasn't so serious, it really would be truly laughable, but it's very serious what they continue to do.

Now they have usurped the function of a judge and a jury and have unilaterally declared that the Satterfields have been made whole without really acknowledging what our claims were.

They lose and they continue to use the justice system to Alex's advantage and it's to the disadvantage of his victims.

Okay, now for the standup comedy portion of their reply. I'm going to try to get through this next bit without laughing, but there's no promises.

Quote, no criminal defendant convicted of financial crimes against the United States has ever before had to beg a court to force the United States to seize his own assets to preserve them for the benefit of his victims and the public treasury. You know that, Eric Murdoch, trying to preserve assets for victims and the public treasury.

Are we supposed to believe he's in a cell right now wringing his hands about how his money needs to go back into the government's coffers?

This man confessed judgment in the Satterfield case

to make it look like he was sorry and when this bit of nonsense didn't convince a judge that he should be let out on a personal recognizance bond, he then tried to rescind the confession of judgment so the Satterfields can't get money from him. And when his insurance company came after him for the stolen Satterfields settlement, he literally asked the government. He asked the same judge to make the insurance company go after the Satterfields for the money instead. And still, team Murdoch comes at this like no one is ever going to guestion the veracity of their words. They are that confident that Judge Gurgle won't consider their past lies and the current context. This is the sheer arrogance that exists in South Carolina's legal system. Another funny line in the reply is when they tell the court that, quote, the lawsuit against Mr. Murdoch in the Beach case has nothing to do with any criminal conduct

Oh, really? How about those murders, Alec?

We know what was on your mind that night.

Here's another good one, quote,

by Mr. Murdoch.

when his many financial crimes were revealed,

it was apparent that his assets would not be sufficient

to satisfy all claims against him

and there was fear that he would attempt to hide assets

before his victims could obtain

and execute judgments against him.

There was fear, fear that he would do something,

that he would do the thing

he actually was caught doing several times.

Perhaps the most hilarious part in the reply

came in the form of this sentence,

where they explain the co-receivers' role to the court,

quote, their duties are somewhat like the duties

of the personal representative of a decedent's estate.

which include locating and taking control of the decedent's assets.

I mean, guys, can you believe this?

They are literally talking about the scheme Alec used

to steal money as if this isn't the way he stole money.

And beyond that, they are purposely likening what the co-receivers did to this much more simple role because in normal circumstances, a personal representative is only entitled to a small percentage of the estate. Again, they are overlooking the giant amounts of money that Alec allowed Russell Lafitte to keep as personal representative in Alec's cases in exchange for allowing Alec to treat Palmetto's state bank, which is apparently a victim,

as his personal piggy bank.

Yet another good one for you, and this is rich, guote,

in the approximately two years since their appointment,

co-receivers have marshaled \$2,159,981.29 in liquidated assets,

including \$424,941.34 voluntarily paid

into the receivership by Mr. Murdock

from a retirement account outside the co-receivers authority.

I don't say this often, but y'all, notice what wasn't mentioned there.

That A, the only reason that 401k came within

the reach of the co-receivers authority

is because Alec wanted to cash it out.

But here was the problem.

The second he cashed it out,

it would become in the domain of the co-receivership.

And the reason he wanted to cash it out

was so that he could use more than \$600,000 of it

to pay Dick and Jim.

In order to get that money to pay Dick and Jim,

he had to negotiate with the co-receivers

that they wouldn't take all the money.

The co-receivers agreed to a 60-40 split of the cash.

It was win-win.

Alec got to keep his money to pay Dick and Jim,

and the receivership got even more money

that the victims otherwise would not have gotten.

But then Alec asked for that portion, too.

Dick and Jim came back after the murder trial

and asked the receivership to give them some of that money, too.

Is this mentioned in the reply?

Nope, sure isn't.

Again, they're presenting it like Alec did this selfless, noble thing.

And here, the ungrateful receivers, these private attorneys,

are trying to get more money from him.

Will Judge Gurgle buy this nonsense?

No, seriously, will he?

Because we just don't know.

These guys, whether it's Alec Murdock or David Miller or Russell Lafitte or Bo and Turner or Corey Fleming, every single one of them acts like they are entitled to more understanding and benefits of the doubt that they're entitled to being believed and trusted and given more things.

It's insane.

So, Team Murdock's argument is primarily that, and we're quoting here.

The Murdock's assets to which the United States holds title pending distribution to Mr. Murdock's victims are in imminent danger of waste.

The court could order Mr. Murdock's assets to be deposited in the registry of the court to preserve the status quo safekeeping until such time as the government is inclined to follow the law.

Then, they go on to quote Herman Melville.

Quote, but the statute does not contemplate the attorney general giving the Bartleby response to a forfeiture order the government requested.

And scene.

Phil Barber and Moby Dick Harpootley and everyone,

let's give them a round of applause.

Could there be a brolier moment quoting Melville?

What is a Bartleby response, you ask?

It's from Bartleby.

The Scribner, a story of Wall Street.

Bartleby responds to things he's not inclined to do with I would prefer not to.

I really hope this is peak Dick and Jim y'all

but I don't know how much more we can take of this.

Okay, to wrap this up, for the PS de resistance,

the part we all knew would be there.

Remember when we told you the government's response kind of threw Dick and Jim a bone by vaguely indicating that this whole sees elix assets now thing couldn't really be about funneling money to Palmetto State Bank or PMPD by saying that victims and they listed them among them who have already been made whole wouldn't be entitled to funds if the federal government took over the money.

The government said this to say basically you're telling us

this is about the victims and we're telling you

this really wouldn't do anything for the victims

while also trying to sneak PMPD and Palmetto State Bank in there

as if they'd already been made whole

even though the government did leave that open.

Here is why it was left open.

Under federal remission procedures,

all Murdoch assets will go to the victims

of Mr. Murdoch's financial crimes

until they are all fully compensated.

Victims already fully compensated receive no further payment

but the persons who compensated them

may themselves seek compensation

if they otherwise qualify.

If there is not enough money

it will be allocated pro rata.

If there is a surplus of money

it will be used for public purposes

like funding law enforcement agencies.

Unsecured creditors get nothing.

And then there's a footnote.

Unsecured creditors cannot seek remission of forfeited funds

but since Mr. Murdoch's entire estate has been seized

the fourth circuit precedent allows them to recover

in ancillary proceedings.

Nevertheless, Murdoch's attorneys have stated publicly

that they will seek no funds from the Murdoch assets

to pay their attorney's fees

and they make the same representation to the court.

Mr. Murdoch's position is that no attorneys

should receive any more legal fees from the Murdoch assets.

So one, the man whose grandfather got him into law school

used his law license to steal.

Suddenly has a moral code of conduct

when it comes to attorney fees.

And two, notice the wording there.

Murdoch's attorneys have stated publicly

that they won't seek fees.

That is a fact.

But do they actually intend to not seek funds?

They've gone back on just about every noble promise

they've made publicly

and it is exhausting.

It is truly amazing how expert they are at reframing the truths in their favor with absolutely no fear of getting called out on it or maybe not no fear,

maybe without caring when they get called out on it.

No matter how many times we call these men out

on their bad behavior, they bounce back.

Like Eric Bland once said back in the early days of MMP,

there is no bottom to elic Murdoch.

We believed him then in 2021,

but we had no idea how far these men were capable of going.

It will be something to see how Judge Gerkel rules in this

because they're right about one thing.

It is extraordinary to have a criminal

begging the federal government to seize his own assets,

but it's the federal government's own fault

that they are in this weird position.

They are the ones who decided to do all of this,

meaning Eric's flip floppy plea deal on Dick and Jim's timeline.

They are a part of the system that continues to allow

this behavior from good old boys in power.

You give these guys one inch and they will take the entire world.

These men, like David Miller, like Brad Hadow,

like Dick Harputlian, like Bill Weeks, for example,

they will keep going as long as the system keeps giving them the green flag.

But as the justice system carves out an easy road for the good old boys

and allows them to victimize everyone beneath them,

it is up to us to create resistance and sunlight.

Just because the bad guys continue to keep bouncing back like whack-a-mole

does not mean the solution is to give up hope.

Remember, pressure breaks pipes.

Stay tuned, stay pesky, and stay in the sunlight.

Thank you for watching.