Support for ProcG comes from Intel.

Intel believes that world-changing ideas start with real solutions,

and real solutions start with exceptional engineering.

From the quantum computing revolution to the next generation of AI,

the renewable energy grid to early diagnosis for cancer,

many of the breakthroughs we defining our future started with Intel engineering.

Intel is helping spark innovation and push the boundaries of what we thought was possible.

The impacts are endless, but the foundation is always the same.

It starts with Intel.

Learn more at intel.com slash stories.

Support for ProcG comes from VantA.

If you're building a business, you need standards, specifically security standards.

SOC2, ISO 27001, HIPAA compliance, all that stuff could take hundreds of hours of work.

That's why you should try VantA.

VantA automates up to 90% of compliance work and saves you up to 85% of cost

so you can reinvest your time and money back into your business.

Ready to get started?

Listeners of ProcG can get \$1,000 off VantA when they go to VantA.com slash ProcG.

That's V-A-N-T-A dot com slash ProcG.

I'm Scott Galloway, and this is No Mercy, No Malice.

The most under-reported news story of last week?

Netflix.

A trifecta of bold pivots, smart investments,

and a strong management team has produced striking results.

The Netflix Effect, as read by George Hahn.

Despite receiving scant coverage, the biggest business stories last week

were Netflix and Meta's quarterly earnings.

The numbers were striking.

Netflix profits hit \$1.6 billion,

up 20% from a year earlier,

and the platform added 9 million new subscribers.

Meanwhile, the company is raising prices.

Over at Meta, revenue increased 24% and costs declined 7%,

resulting in a doubling in profits.

For this post, though, I'll focus on Netflix,

as its management has better hair and they're not mendacious fucks.

A year ago, Netflix was losing 1 million subscribers per guarter

and had shed 75% of its market cap.

It was the worst performing stock in the S&P 500.

Fast forward one circumnavigation of the sun,

and Wall Street is gushing over its beautiful results

while the rest of the industry flounders.

However, rebounds are not new for Netflix.

Since it delivered DVDs and envelopes, the company has defied the odds.

Think about it.

A DVD-by-mail company turned internet platform, turned Hollywood giant,

that would eventually join the same power acronym as Apple, Amazon, and Google.

We've discussed entertainment's woes at length this year,

but Netflix has replaced Disney, Discovery, and Paramount

on the content-iron throne and boasts a market cap equal to all three combined.

Five months ago, I predicted the writer's strike would do more to help Netflix than harm it. My thesis?

The strike would force a universal reduction in spending

while actually increasing the relative value of Netflix to consumers.

The streamer was able to cut costs without materially affecting the user experience,

as it already had a content library as deep as the Mariana Trench.

Also, it helps to not be cable because unlike news, late night, and sitcoms,

Ozark and Bridgerton aren't perishable.

In addition to a 20% profit bump,

the company is expected to generate \$6.5 billion in free cash flow this year.

That's up from \$1.6 billion in 2022.

Meanwhile, revenue is also up, but only slightly, 8%.

Which means the multi-billion-dollar windfall is a direct consequence of lower costs,

that is, not having to spend \$20 million per episode on the Witcher.

Analysts are even cautioning against too much optimism as the, quote,

resolution of the writer's strike will bring higher costs, unquote, and thus depress profits.

Put another way, investors don't want the strikes to end.

Would we be surprised if we found out that the folks running the WGA and SAG-AFTRA were covert assets working for the streaming giant?

Net espionage, if you will, couldn't resist.

Anyway, Netflix's strength in the face of the work stoppage was both a function of the striker's lack of long-term strategy and Netflix's abundance of it.

Over the past two decades, the company has employed several simple but important business strategies that have endured.

Let's review them.

I asked ProfG.ai to explain the value of diversification.

Its answer, quote, diversification is the Kevlar that protects you from fatal financial industries.

It's a defensive strategy that limits your downside, even if it is a non-profit strategy.

It limits your downside, even if it limits your upside, unquote.

I'd hate to hang with this guy, but he isn't wrong.

Netflix has invested heavily in diversification in the form of international content.

The company is shifting spending away from Hollywood and increasing investment in local language productions.

In the past two years, spending in Asia has increased to \$2 billion, and European investment has doubled.

More than half of Netflix's scripted titles are being produced abroad.

Compare that to Warner Bros. Discovery, a third, and Paramount, a guarter.

The effect has been protection against supply chain interruptions, i.e. content shortages, because while American actors and writers went on strike and TV orders declined 25%, the foreign production gears kept grinding.

In addition, Netflix has diversified its library with a mix of original and licensed content. One of its more creative moves this year was approaching NBC Universal to buy the rights to suits.

After debuting on Netflix this summer, the 2011 legal drama, featuring the divorcee who saved a prince from the horrors of Buckingham Palace,

performed better than any original Netflix show this year.

Scratch that, better than any show, period.

Suits was the most streamed show across all platforms for three straight months this year, hitting the record for most ever weeks at number one.

This is the Netflix effect in action.

Take a solid series, reheat it, and make it the most consumed content on the planet.

The species that survive aren't the strongest or fastest, but the most adaptable.

As we've discussed before, the most valuable companies in the world all have one thing in common. They build a thick layer of innovation on top of investments made by the premier VC in history, the U.S. government.

Apple used DARPA's GPS to build the iPhone.

Facebook built an app on top of a government-funded hosting service called The Internet.

And Netflix, like Amazon, leveraged the nation's largest content distribution platform,

the U.S. postal system, to send DVDs by mail.

Mailing DVDs sounds dense now, but it was a great business.

The company launched in 1997, went public in 2002,

and reached a billion dollars in revenue in 2006 by addressing a pain point,

that IED in your kitchen drawer, the VHS of Turner and Hooch You Forgot to Return.

The company's leadership could have settled and backed away from the massive investment required to pivot to streaming, but CEO Reed Hastings recognized another multi-billion dollar investment in broadband would soon render DVDs obsolete.

Hastings said, quote, don't be afraid to change the model, unquote.

And in 2007, Netflix introduced streaming to the world.

Streaming was not the company's only bold pivot.

In 2011, despite its reputation as a platform,

Netflix decided to foray into original content.

At the time, it seemed absurd.

The company was going up against Universal, Paramount, Warner Brothers, Disney, and Sony,

Hollywood Titans known in the industry as the Big Five.

Still, Netflix dove in headfirst, spending \$2 billion on content in year one.

One of its first original series, House of Cards,

went on to earn 33 Emmy and eight Golden Globe nominations.

Peter Drucker said, invest in your opportunities, not your problems.

Few have done this better than Netflix.

For a long time, the company's obstacle was plain to see.

It was burning hundreds of millions in cash every year.

But Netflix knew brute force was its strength.

Specifically, it recognized the market viewed it as a tech company,

so it did what other media companies couldn't.

Massively invest, lose money, and grow.

In 2015, Netflix registered negative \$840 million in free cash flow.

By 2017, that number was negative \$2 billion.

Two years later, negative \$3 billion.

Fearless spending was its differentiator.

Capital was a weapon, if you will, specifically, cheap capital.

Original content spending at Netflix grew faster than at any other streaming service,

and by 2021, the company was investing \$18 billion on content per annum,

with free cash flow still in the red.

Meanwhile, the legacy media players were beholden to a different investor base

that wouldn't tolerate the losses needed to go toe-to-toe with the streaming platform.

Netflix is now firmly profitable in all aspects of the business.

It is the only entertainment company with a profitable DTC streaming business,

and the legacy players are playing catch-up.

Netflix's decision to increase subscription prices this quarter

reflects the strength of the platform.

It has reached utility status.

There are 140 million households across the U.S. and Canada,

and 77 million Netflix accounts.

Consumers no longer consider the cost benefit of a subscription.

The question isn't if you subscribe to Netflix,

but rather what other platforms you decide to accessorize it with.

The premium plan is now \$22.99 per month, up 15%.

Meanwhile, the standard ad tier remains \$6.99.

Netflix has correctly adjusted for the most fundamental economic trend in America, income inequality.

It has adopted a means-based pricing strategy that retains low-income users

while squeezing more from the upper-income households.

Plus, research has found that introducing lower-quality products

actually increases sales of your higher-margin premium products.

I was skeptical of Netflix advertising at first,

but it may ultimately drive more users to the premium product.

I'm tempted to say the only thing that can stand in the way of Netflix is Netflix,

but that's not true.

It's TikTok and YouTube.

We've discussed TikTok's ascent before.

The Chinese juggernaut is stealing eveballs, especially young ones,

from the streamers and generating \$25 billion in quarterly revenue in the process.

By the way, that number is up 34% from last year.

Less discussed, however, is the threat of YouTube.

Netflix gave it a casual mention in its shareholder letter,

but the implication was so relaxed as to be tense.

The letter read, quote,

Our share of TV screen time in the U.S.

is greater than any streamer other than YouTube,

unquote.

That was meant as a not-so-humble brag.

It isn't.

YouTube is the most popular TV streaming service,

and that doesn't account for the minutes it gets on laptops and mobile phones.

I assume, hope, management recognizes this is a problem.

I'm going on Bill Maher tonight.

It's my fourth time, and when they introduce the panel,

a wave of fear always envelops me that this will be one of the times I have a panic attack.

I get them 0.7% of the times I speak.

It's important to me as I respect Bill and his team,

and my 93-year-old father only watches two things,

Maple Leafs Hockey and Bill Maher.

He recently called me to announce I was on as if it was news to me.

He's struggling.

I'm sitting in my room on the 60th floor of the Wynn Hotel writing this.

Every year for the past 35,

my three closest friends and I have come to Vegas for my birthday.

The trips changed.

We now spend more time in the spa and less in the casino,

but the company remains the same.

I look out my window and see Summerlin,

where I lived for seven months, 20 years ago.

My mom battling cancer for the third and final time,

asked to die at home.

I moved in with her.

She had raised me alone on a secretary salary.

And it was the right thing to do.

It wasn't much of a sacrifice for me as I had no real relationship and no real career

and found the experience rewarding.

During the day, I'd manage her health care and we'd watch Everybody Loves Raymond and Jeopardy together.

At night, I'd venture downtown where I'd party with a friend,

a young guy who'd moved from Houston to open a cigar bar and strippers.

One night, I met him at the Rio Hotel and he gave me a healing candle for my mom,

whose breast cancer had metastasized to her stomach and was terminal.

A candle.

People are strange and wonderful.

Today's appearance on Mar will happen, but not really.

Whenever anything really great happens to me, I instinctively think to call my mom

and bask in our collective victory.

We made it.

Her response would cement it, make it real.

These days, wonderful occurrences sometimes don't feel as if they've happened as she's not there.

I am 58 and two decades later, still not over the death of my mother.

And that's okay.

I hope my boys feel the same way about me when I'm gone.

Life is so rich.

From the moment Twitter was founded, no one knew what

it was supposed to be exactly, which was kind of great.

Some people would look at it and say, this is the future of communications.

Others would look at it and say, this is the public square.

Eventually, it became not so great.

What we didn't foresee was that everybody having a voice might produce a global, eracious mob.

And there was only one place that that was happening.

It was Twitter.

A year ago, in what was essentially the world's most expensive impulse purchase,

Elon Musk bought Twitter.

That made him Twitter's most important user.

But he's certainly not the only one to fall for its spell.

It's a spell that promises attention, connection, and power.

I'm Peter Kafka, and I'm hosting Land of the Giants, the Twitter fantasy.

It's from Vox on the Vox Media Podcast Network.

This season is sponsored by Mint Mobile.

To learn how Twitter got started, how it got where it is today,

and where it's going next, follow Land of the Giants wherever you listen

and get new episodes every Wednesday.