You're listening to a Mamma Mia podcast.

Mamma Mia acknowledges the traditional owners of land and waters that this podcast is recorded on.

Mamma Mia Out Loud!

Hello and welcome to Mamma Mia Out Loud.

It's what women are actually talking about on Wednesday, the 23rd of August.

I'm Holly Wainwright.

I'm Mia Friedman.

And I'm Claire Stevens.

Thank God.

She's back.

We're back to the threesome that we should rightfully be.

I quite liked the two-hander.

I have to say, I didn't want to give you that feedback because that makes me redundant.

But I thought Monday was excellent.

Thank you, my friend.

But we missed you and I'm glad that you're feeling less poorly.

Thank you.

On today's show, Mia thinks Carrie Bradshaw is a monster and she's not alone in that.

But today we found out that, and just like that, has been renewed for season three,

so we're all going to have to live with Carrie a little bit longer.

I need to unpack it.

Plus, is there a way to have a respectful debate in 2023?

The fate of iconic political show Q&A suggests not.

And do the people who've known you the longest know you the best?

Why were encouraged to cut relationships that represent the old us?

But first, in case you missed it, a new story has pointed to a different kind of problem we're facing in classrooms.

According to the Sydney Morning Herald, a Senate inquiry into disruptive classrooms

has been told the story of a teacher who had recently started at a new school

and was asked to give one student some improvement strategies.

The child went home and complained.

The principal asked that that teacher apologized to the parents

for making that student feel stressed.

Australia's classrooms are ranked among the worst in the world when it comes to discipline and the responsibility for that should not fall solely on teachers.

Education experts have told a federal Senate inquiry into disruptive classrooms.

I think it's us. We're the problem.

Oh, God. Yes.

A report earlier this year said the disciplinary climate in Australia

was among the least favorable compared to other member nations

while Australian teachers felt less capable when it came to dealing with disruptive students.

The inquiry is expected to report its findings by November,

but why I found this particularly interesting at the moment

is because also in the news this week and now plan results and people love reporting the now plan results in the context of teachers do better. So the now plan results show that one in three school students throughout Australia are not meeting minimum numeracy and literacy expectations and one in 10 are so far behind they need additional support.

What about Children Try Harder?

Children Try Harder.

But it really reminded me that considering this Senate inquiry about discipline in schools, if teachers don't even have the authority to give students improvement strategies,

how can we be expecting an environment of rigorous learning?

Do you remember? I don't know if it was like this in the UK hole in Manchester.

Oh, dear.

The cane.

We used to get it.

Oh, my God.

People love to get it, Lord.

I'm not saying bring it back, but I'm just saying look how far we've come.

In our lifetime, we were hit with sticks on our hands and on our bottoms.

And that didn't work.

And our parents were like, meh, I shouldn't have done that.

Oh, my God.

Imagine that.

Look how far the pendulum has swung.

Teachers, every time now plan results come out,

teachers get a big whack from the media.

I had breakfast TV on this morning and there was a big whinge about it.

And I'm just like, teachers need medals and crowns.

Much more money because they are dealing with things

that no other teachers have had to deal with.

Like my kid goes to a school where they're just all watching TV on their iPad.

You know what I mean?

Like my kid in class.

That didn't happen in my world.

Teachers weren't competing with phones, technology,

very, very reduced attention spans.

And also I'm on Team NAPLAN's bullshit anyway.

Love that.

Love that.

Says the person who bought her daughter a cake,

sang well done for even going to NAPLAN, which was compulsory.

Year three.

It was announced overnight as Holly mentioned that,

and just like that has been renewed for a third season.

So love it or hate it, there's going to be more of it.

I have to go on the record and say I was wrong

because I openly have said in written posts

and on the show that I thought it was done.

I was wrong.

It's a little bit of wishful thinking because I too was hoping that it would wrap up.

But having said that, I will watch every second of every episode.

What's even wrong with us?

I'll never stop.

The season finale drops tomorrow.

And even though many felt and some even hoped, as you say,

that this would be a wrap on Carrie, Miranda, Charlotte

and the 245 new characters we've met over the past two seasons,

the world of Sex and the City will officially live on.

But has Carrie outstayed her welcome?

No.

Is she actually a monster?

No.

And we've just not noticed until now?

No.

Well, I wrote an opinion piece about this for Mama Mia this week.

There's a link in the show notes and it has ignited a passionate debate,

which is the kind of debate I can really get behind

because it involves imaginary people who wear high heels

with their pajamas in their own apartment.

Now, we aren't going to recap last week's episode

where Carrie pushed so many people over the edge

because we already did that.

We'll put a link in the show notes to our subscriber episode.

But the general gist of my view in my opinion piece

and right now in my heart,

is that even though she's always been the main character of the show,

her main character energy has really tipped into narcissism.

And look, this is the cardinal sin on this show,

being a very bad friend.

Holly disagrees verminly.

Holly defend Carrie.

I think that people have such an issue with Carrie

because they don't like child-free women over 50 or 40.

Disagree.

Everybody struggles with the depiction of a woman

who has centered herself in her life

and everybody else has other people to deal with and take care of.

And Carrie lives her life exactly how Carrie wants to

and I am bloody here for it.

Interesting.

Also, your piece was great and it went nuts

because lots of people agree.

I know that Carrie is very unpopular.

She was awful this week.

She was an awful friend to Miranda.

I will put my hand up and say like the not following Miranda

out of the comedy concert where she got roasted.

She was confused about everything

and I was confused about why I was fucking her.

I have to go.

Sorry.

Same place.

Sorry.

This is the same place.

Ish.

That'll go in the annals of the bad friend.

But there were other things she did on that that were fine.

Like I relate to her and how complicated it is

when your friends with your friends X is

and there's an event and who's going to get there

and you can't always side with your friend

and be like you're right.

I'm going to cut him out of my life

because you cut him out of yours.

That's not real.

But my main thing is that I love that the depiction of Carrie

is like she's complicated

and sometimes she does shitty things.

Let her who has never ever done a shitty thing

throw the stones at Carrie.

I've had times in my life when I haven't been a great friend.

I've had times in my life when I haven't shown up for someone.

In that piece you cherry picked lots of times

that Carrie had been bad

and you ignored lots when she'd been good.

Like the time when she was the only one

who went to Miranda's mum's funeral

and stood up and walked down the aisle with her

to support her.

No, they all went.

They all went.

Stood up and went down the aisle with her

to be there for her.

She was there when her children's friends' babies were born.

She's a great friend.

Lots of the time.

I don't know.

Are those things just really obvious,

basic things you should do for a friend?

Absolutely not.

I remember watching Sex in the City

and always thinking Carrie was the worst.

No one knows.

Lots of people thought that,

but that's because she's selfish.

But when you originally watched the show,

your point about it's because she doesn't have children,

when I was watching the show,

all of them didn't have children.

Yeah, but they were all heading for that storyline

whereas Carrie was like, no.

There are so many instances where Carrie has been awful

and just thinking about this topic,

I was like, oh yeah.

Remember when Carrie sent Aiden to go and help Miranda

when she had slipped a disc in her back

and Miranda was naked on the bathroom floor?

That's a cancelable affair.

That was the point of the show.

It wasn't like, oh, that was fine.

Miranda was really upset about it

and she called her friend out for a like,

do you really want to watch a show about people

who only ever do exactly what they're supposed to do

and never upset anybody?

I think Carrie Bradshaw is materialistic.

She couldn't afford to put a deposit on her house

so she made Charlotte sell her ring

where she had \$40,000 worth of shoes in her apartment.

Misrepresentation of history.

She did not make Charlotte do anything.

She made her feel bloody guilty.

She did what?

She guilted her until she did it.

Oh my God.

She did.

You people are so boring.

I think my biggest problem with her

and that's really interesting what you say about

the fact that she doesn't have children.

Or dependents.

She doesn't even want a cat.

She has a cat now.

She has a cat.

But that's what I mean.

She didn't even really want a cat.

We struggle with women like that.

We don't know what to do with them.

I don't know because I didn't feel that way

about Samantha necessarily.

And I know we haven't seen her in this new iteration

of the women in their 50s

so it's probably an unfair comparison.

To me though,

I'm the most materialistic, superficial,

shoes kind of person that exists.

So that doesn't bother me.

Neither does the wearing silly clothes.

I love all that.

I think I feel that she hasn't grown.

That she's still the same in her 50s

as she was in her 30s

and it's not cute anymore.

See, I disagree

because I think in these two seasons

she's much calmer.

She gets all the funny lines.

I think she's great.

I just,

I sometimes think I must be watching a different show

because to me,

I think she's grown an enormous amount

through the death of big.

I think there have been times when all of them

have been bad friends

and inverted commas.

And inverted commas remember at Big's Funeral

when Charlotte was making it all about herself

and Carrie had just going to leave it.

There are times when all of us fail

the people around us.

And I also struggle with the idea

that we only want to watch stories

and clearly we don't

because that's why this show is so iconic

with people who are perfect.

Like, I like that Carrie's flawed.

I like that she doesn't always follow the script.

I like that she's designed a life

that works for her.

Why not?

I think she fails the people around her a lot

and in quite painful ways.

She cheated on Aiden.

I cheated on people.

Am I the world's worst person?

In my history, I have done it.

Holly Wainwright, no.

Who hasn't?

You're not the worst.

But she cheated on her two big loves,

Aiden and Big.

Her not having children,

I don't mind.

I know lots and lots of women of that age

who don't have children.

But looking at Carrie as some sort of person

to put on a pedestal

because her life is exciting

and that kind of thing,

which we really did in the 90s and 2000s.

I'm sort of re-evaluating that

and thinking that this is a woman

who has no family.

She doesn't have to care for anybody.

It's not funny that she's never referenced parents.

None.

She's made one off-hand comment.

No parents, no siblings.

Even her friends are all pretty bloody self-sufficient.

I am looking at a woman

who it's not about children.

It's about not caring about anybody else.

But that's just not true

because her friends are her everything.

This has always been the point of this show.

You're saying it's not that she doesn't have children

but subconsciously we're uncomfortable

with a woman who isn't taking care of anyone,

whether it's aging parents or children

or her friends when they need it.

But I actually think it's unrealistic.

I think there are many examples over the canon of the show

when Carrie has turned up for people.

We're just not picking them out right now

because the whole point of the show is about friendship.

And how important it is to her.

And we can all say yes she did a shitty thing to Seema

when she forgot about the beach house

but she was mortified about it.

I just think when she was outside

the hairdressers talking to her about it

when Seema was like, we'll be fine.

We will.

I just need some space.

Carrie was devastated and she said

I know what happens when you give friendship space.

But Holly, that's about what Carrie wants,

not what Seema wants.

And actually would use that as another example

of Carrie not being a good friend

because Seema was saying I need space

and Carrie was saying I don't want that.

You're not allowed space.

But look, I think it's interesting

and if you remember this whole series

and the character of Carrie was based on Candice Bushnell

who wrote the original Sex and the City book

which was a novel.

But the character of Carrie Bradshaw

was deeply unlikeable.

Not every character has to be likeable, I agree.

But then when people say

that was awful,

you can't then insist

no, in fact, she is likeable because

I think she's both, like all of us.

I think there are some things that she does

that are very unlikable and flaky

and not great.

And I think there are some things that she does

that are brilliant and wonderful, like all of us.

She's complicated.

And I like complicated women in fiction.

I understand.

I just think that we've been sold this idea

even to ourselves

that she feels almost sacrilegious

to say,

is Carrie a bit of a dick?

And then think about her through this lens

because she's always been sold to us

as everyone wants to be the Carrie

who are you in your first group I'm the Carrie

like the main character,

aspirational, that's who you want to be.

And I think it's been interesting now to go

don't really want to be her life.

Actually that's not who I want to be

because I don't think her life seems

very fulfilling

and so judgmental.

But I would think the same thing about a man.

No vou wouldn't.

I think the same thing about big.

I thought he was a monster.

So now we know that the show

is coming back

which I was as I say on the record saying

I don't think it is.

So I was like they're going to leave her on her own

in the apartment with the kitten.

Is that what's going to happen?

No. I don't think so.

It puts a lot less pressure on the last episode

coming back and they obviously knew this

when they wrote it because

you know the writers have to know

whether they have to do a complete

bow around the whole idea

and how are they going to leave Carrie forever

or whether they've got another chance.

So I think that what's going to happen

is that Aidan will say I can't come back

my kids need me.

I just actually don't really care

which I'm really surprised at.

I really don't care.

I'm not invested in her being with Aidan.

I'm really invested in her

and that's a shame.

I do care and I reckon

there's something about that last supper.

There'll be some tension in it.

I don't know if it'll be like a clear break

or a clear there together.

I think there'll be some ambiguity

to keep us watching for the next season

but something in that last supper

whether he says he's not coming back

and then he turns up for that

or somebody else turns up.

I just think that they've made it a big, big moment.

They love the symbolism of it

so I just reckon they're going to hone in on it.

Out Louders will be recapping it, of course,

as soon as it drops.

So you'll be able to listen to us talk about that

on a subscriber episode.

And just like that

I realise

you never know

Mother Mia Out Loud!

In 2023.

can a show

like the ABC's Q&A

still exist?

I ask this question

because since the original host Tony Jones

resigned from the show in 2019

there's been a revolving door of hosts

many of whom have expressed

that the amount of personal abuse

and trolling they have received

was untenable.

First, there was hanging out

with Tony Jones

who was untenable.

First, there was Haymish McDonald,

an experienced journalist who was Jones' replacement.

He held the hosting role for 18 months

before stepping down

and saying that while he didn't go into the job naively

he was surprised

by the extreme abuse

and how it jumped from social media

into the real world and real life

so frequently.

So he talked about people coming up to him on the street

people coming up to him at his home

and abusing him for things

like that on the show.

Most recently, Stan Grant resigned

from the hosting job in May of this year

citing grotesque racist abuse.

But he went a step further

and alluded to a far broader problem

with media.

Here's some of what he said.

Now, Grant has walked away

from the ABC and the media

after four decades

because he wants to change the toxic

global news culture.

He'll be working out of Monash University

in a role as Professor

of Social Media

and he'll be working out of

Monash University

in a role as Professor

of Social Media

and he'll be working out of

Monash University

in a role as Professor of Journalism

and Director of the Constructive Institute.

He said,

I've been in the crosshairs

of the worst of our cultural pylons

and I think we can all learn

including me, we can all learn

how to do this better.

Of his decision, he said, this is not just some sort of abstract idea our democracies around the world are in retreat. The media framing, debate and discussion around conflict is a cancer on our society and the fact that while we the media are part of the problem, we have an obligation to be part of the solution. While the huge pylon against Grant started when he spoke on the ABC about the impact of colonialism ahead of King Charles's coronation, I don't think it's a coincidence that it was during his tenure as host of Q&A that he decided to guit a four decade media career. Q&A is now being hosted by Patricia Cavellis and the future show according to some reports is uncertain.

I believe that Hamish McDonald, Stan Grant and the rotating roster of hosts are a symptom of the fact that a show like Q&A simply cannot exist in 2023.

Public discourse has broken down too much we do not know how to engage and disagree respectfully anymore and we're too intolerant of people with opposing views to our own. The complaints and the abuse and the demands on a public square show like Q&A therefore make it entirely untenable.

Mia do you think the current cultural moment is incompatible with shows like Q&A? I think that's a really interesting idea there's a few things that are very specific to Q&A first of all it was built not on the back of Twitter but the idea of the tweets alongside was very much

the sort of Greek chorus of everybody could have their view right and that I don't think was good I know it was groundbreaking at the time and they only just stopped it like a couple of weeks ago. That then turned it into a sort of a gladiatorial picker team. The second thing I think the coincidence and it's not a coincidence of why the hosts that came after Tony Iones were trolled so mercilessly Tony Jones was a straight white man the hosts that they've had afterwards have been more inclusive in terms of Hamish McDonald is gav Stan Grant is an indigenous man Patricia Carvelas is a gay woman so we know that if you are in any group other than a straight white man you cop it a lot more. You are trolled a lot more online and the kind of abuse you get is different it's much more personal it's often much more violent and threatening and you feel obviously much more threatened by it. Another thing I was going to say is that the ABC has not done itself any favours in that it used to have a time slot of 9.30 on a Monday night that's when everybody watched it. The ABC moved it to Thursday and that proved to put it in prime time because Four Corners is on an 8.30 on a Monday so they couldn't move it to Monday 8.30 so then they decided to move it and it became immediately relevant everyone had been rusted on a little bit like 60 minutes used to be on at 7.30

on a Sunday night and was iconic in that time slot but who knows when it's on now and I think that can really erode a brand because people just can't find it anymore having said all that I think you're completely right I think that no one wants to change their mind anymore and I think that when Q&A first started that was different. People were more open to hearing other people's views and I know that we found this on the internet is that it's different now people don't say I disagree with you they say you need to delete what you wrote or you need to apologise for what you said or they will say unfollow I can't listen anymore because I disagreed with something that you said. A hundred percent I think you're absolutely right Claire I think that it's almost cute to think about what O&A used to be when on a Monday night it set the agenda for the week the news agenda for the week it had its hashtag quanda it was like join the conversation it's almost cute to think about that now because that conversation is such a toxic mire of yelling and hatred that it's actually so depressing to see what has happened during that time and I think that it is as you say me people don't want to change their minds but more than that they don't want to tolerate listening or seeing a person who puts forward a different position and look Lunderstand that

so it feels dangerous in times of big debates to be presenting the other side of an issue like the voice right or like marriage equality at the time and there are lots of very valid arguments about platforming people who are arguing about human rights issues is it's really thorny but on the other hand the level of expectation that you say just interrupt for one second you say the other side the people on that side think that you're the other side right so it goes both ways so say the marriage equality debate there are plenty of people in the LGBTQI plus community who believe that giving a platform to people who were arguing against their right to be married just gave a free pass to a whole lot of bigots who were debating their basic human rights and whether or not they had a right to love in the same way that straight people did was that helpful? Well you'd have to say the government shouldn't have put it to a referendum like that's not the fault of Q&A necessarily no no no it's not the front of O&A but what I mean is those kind of examples and massive cultural moments the idea of like showing both sides is tarnished and tricky like what I'm saying is I understand the argument about not platforming views that can be co-opted in hate right I totally understand that

do we have to have

or in misinformation like anti-vaxxers

100% so you're like we've got a COVID debate

the person there who's saying the vaccine is invented by Bill Gates and they're trying to control us all so I understand the limitations of free speech and I understand all those concerns but for example this week on O&A and the viewership has plummeted a really long way they had their lowest ever viewership fair enough it was up against a Matilda's game or something a couple of weeks ago when they barely got 100,000 people in five cities to watch that show which is amazing it used to be newsmaking exactly now nobody even knows it's on but this Monday just gone Tina Arena was on as a musical guest so she wasn't sitting on the panel I think they started doing this when Stan Grant was presenting they often would finish with the song I actually really liked it yeah me too on Monday's show they finished with a song from Tina Arena she was not there to give any opinions or whatever but of course a storm erupts or at least the media report that a storm erupts because Q&A is platforming Tina Arena who during the Covid lockdowns was critical of Dan Andrews' Victorian Government and who has been a supporter in the past of Scott Morrison so it's like oh Q&A platforming Scott Morrison's mould you know like oh my god and you're like she's just there to sing a song Patricia Cavill is just literally at the end throw story and goes and Tina Arena is here with her new song Blah and so if platforming people has become so fraught I mean I spoke on Friday about this around Kitchen Cabinet and Annabelle Crabb and last night she had Peter Dutton on like it is so fraught

to say I want to talk to this person this person is on my TV screen without it being an endorsement of everything they've ever said and everything they've ever thought and we're definitely I mean I just think that it is actually impossible for a show like Q&A to exist in the way that it did six years ago and that's actually really sad because I remember watching it and seeing like it could be a really hot button political issue I agree there are certain ones that they never touched like Vax stuff now it feels like it has to be somewhat safe in order to go to air I think the other thing that's made it really hard is identity politics and having a gay man or an indigenous man as the host means nobody can possibly see them as objective because it's like oh well you're going to sympathize with the left because I just assumed that that's what political party you align with because of your identity and in both cases both Hamish McDonald and Stan Grant are excellent journalists who do not have predictable perspectives on anything and were actually very good at moderating debate but I think the other thing I've noticed in clips that I've seen of O&A over the last few months is that the tenets of disagreeing respectfully don't make for the entertainment we're demanding which is kind of what Stan Grant is saying right when he says that the

media has a part to play in this because we only really want to report on Q&A if people are throwing shoes and yelling at each other. Exactly we want contempt we want anger and in order to win the audience you do have to make things personal you do have to call people names you have to talk over people you have to make it about your own lived experiences you have to use I which often is something that if you're teaching somebody how to disagree respectfully it's not just using your own personal experience you do have to put down other people's beliefs and if you listen you're seen as being complicit. Literally if you were teaching a child how to disagree respectfully all of those things do not translate into television in 2023 which is such a shame are we just addicted and programmed by all our algorithms as we know for extremity is it just boring if people are sitting there debating politics and political issues and they're not trading insults and so therefore it's not good TV yeah I think that they've also been hamstrung by the nature of the show has meant that fewer and fewer people have wanted to go on it so you know I've been on it a couple of times but a long time ago I said I was never going to go do it again because it's just like there's nothing to be gained there's only stuff to be lost which is awkward because because our favourite person in the world, Jesse Steven is going to be on it on Monday I'm sure there'll be something to be gained from him but anyway go on well good luck Jesse

seriously good luck Jesse she'll be able to handle it she's incredibly careful and well considered and has less impulse control issues than me correct obviously I don't do any more live TV any more because it's the worst format for me but when the producer said to me that every time she approaches a woman the woman says no and then suggests another woman whereas she said the men never say no the men say yes not all the men say yes I think now more and more people who just have moderate views don't want to go on the show because if you have a moderate view you are attacked by both sides and so you end up with a show full of people with more extreme views who want to go on grandstand and virtue signal to their tribe on social media and also are looking for claps from the audience we have a joke me and a friend when we watch it about how all you have to do is say we should do more and everyone claps like about any issue we should do more and then that'll make everybody clap and it's just it means nothing I think the other thing is the questions that people ask have become laden in contempt you're asking something to somebody and you're angry at them when you're asking them and you're also asking often times impossible questions I feel like it's times just come it's been nice I agree but the reason I think it's sad

is because I think public debate is really important and it doesn't even have to be debate I don't even want to frame it in like so somebody wins and somebody loses 100% but one of the things that people always tell us that they like about our show not to be all back patty about this is that we can disagree without it becoming toxic and I think that that is something that people actually do want I don't think it's that they don't want it I think if you frame everything as a competition and a debate it becomes too tricky to actually make any changes and I just think it's a shame that that show is dying in lots of ways because there's information from all the same old idiots on the internet or us of course different idiots who are clearly not idiots that is an outrageous comment I just said you might you might need a bit of cleaning of your ears because I just said I can go on and on and on we know if you want to make mum Mia out loud part of your routine five days a week we release segments on Tuesdays and Thursdays just for mum Mia subscribers to get full access follow the link in the show notes and a big thank you to all our current subscribers do the people who've known you longest know vou best I read a remarkable advice column this week where a woman had asked a question about her obligations to be a good daughter to her mother even as her mother's actions were in her view, thoughtless and selfish and the advice columnist Catherine Jesemorton

wrote some wise advice about actions you can forgive and those you can't but then she wrote more broadly about how culturally we're being encouraged towards eliminating relationships that no longer serve us and I'm using that a little bit in guotes because that's a phrase you hear a lot or who we want to be whether they're our friendships or our family let me read you a bit that really made me think she said it's easy to forget in the midst of coping with flaws that it's rare and important to be known by someone for your whole life I sometimes wonder if all our lifelong journeys of entrepreneurial self-improvement are making it too easy to lose sight of the pleasure of being intensively known by someone our culture's emphasis on self-improvement whether it's through wellness or professional accomplishment or whatever suggests that our old selves weren't worth knowing anyway we're looking for the people who will celebrate our future selves, not our past selves and we move through life banishing the past in a flurry of insistence that we have no regrets I was also really thinking about this advice in terms of siblings because Claire had brought an article that you really wanted to discuss about the longest relationship of our lives and I was like oh I don't know if that's true so we've been discussing it we asked some of the mum and me a team what their relationships with their siblings are like in my family I am 10 years older than my siblings who are twins growing up

because it was such a big difference we didn't really have anything in common ever when it became a teenager that gap became a lot more obvious it was really hard for me to build a relationship with a teenage boy yeah we just never saw eye to eye and didn't have too much to do with each other and I hope that that bridge will close as we get older I have a twin brother, we've both been really close growing up we went to the same primary school but obviously being twins we do have that competitive side that takes over every now and then we're both now 23 so we do butt heads because we are still living at home and sharing a car in a bathroom but he is someone that I run to first for advice and I know that I'm the same for him and I think we just have an extra close bond because we are twins and we've gone through every stage of life together biologically I'm the girl in the middle of two brothers but my stepsister is my best friend we've been in each other's lives for 26 years and while we used to absolutely hate each other growing up we're best friends now I've always thought there's something magical about the connection you have with your siblings or for those who don't have siblings cousins or family friends or just those people that you've known for most if not all of your life and for a lot of people sibling bonds are the longest relationships of our lives we know siblings before we meet our partners and we'll know them after our parents die what I loved about

the article I read this week which is called the longest relationships of our lives in the Atlantic was it talked about this idea and it's what the advice columnist. touches on a little bit this idea of dynamic re-centering which the author Angela Chen defines as the process of siblings coming together later in life to evaluate and re-write their bond and often it can be triggered by an external event like the death of a parent or pregnancy or birth and so on what does that mean like they come together and re-establish a new relationship as adults without the baggage of their childhood relationship yes and I reckon there are a lot of siblings who don't know that you can do that that you can redefine your relationship but a lot of people notice it across the lifespan I think it's very hard to redefine any familial relationships like parent, child siblings one of the things that can be quite funny when you're an adult and you might have a family of your own but then when you're back with your family of origin you regress and you become someone that your partner or even your children doesn't recognise because you have a certain role in your family of origin that's obviously different to the role that you take in your relationship or in your family that you've had if you have

a really prescriptive way of defining a particular relationship with your mum or your dad or your siblings I think it's often going to fall short and there's going to be those tensions where you go back into it and you feel like you regress so many people I know and I feel it as well go back to my childhood home and start doing things that I'm like what the hell where did teenage Claire come from the ghost of teenage Claire it's mostly becoming petulant I find but I spoke on this podcast about being scared about Jesse having a baby because our relationship would change but our relationship has never been as close and uncomplicated as it is right now there's something about a major life moment that allows for that dynamic re-centering where you're just focused on something different and you're playing different roles and you can kind of leave behind any tensions and I think perhaps maybe former generations were better at doing this you just kind of accepted that things were fluid over the lifespan whereas now that we're very into toxic family members and people who don't serve us and people holding us back setting boundaries, cutting people off yes we look at people and think that we can just let them go by the wayside when there actually is something incredibly beautiful about having a lifelong relationship with someone that changes

over the course of your lifespan Holly I feel like you feel differently about this I just have a different relationship with my family and I think that both of you have very close relationships with your family they're very very central to your life right whereas I probably represent a different group of people who I love my family I have to obviously put that disclaimer up in front like I really really adore them I wouldn't argue that they know me best so I have a brother and I love him but we have not lived in the same city since I was 19 nearly every big event that's happened in my adult life and all the things that have happened to me and the same for him has happened out of sight and same for him so we love each other and we know each other very well because obviously we share parents and we lived in the same house and we know the childhood version of Holly and Tom really well but his old friends would know him better than I do his former partners would know him better than I do his kids would know him better than I do do you know what I mean like I think that it's not necessarily true that for everybody it's somebody who knows you excellently and I do know that for some people and this isn't necessarily true for me because my brother is awesome but I know for some people there is a real problem and tension with always being categorized as the person you used to be especially if you've made a lot of work put in a lot of effort to try and change certain things about yourself

it can be and I hate to use the word because I know everybody hates it but it can be really toxic to walk back into a house or back into a relationship with someone who refuses to see you as anything other than who you once were and that can be little diggly things like oh as if you like exercise because you are shit at PE at school or it can be big things like a way you might react to a big emotional moment that you've actually really struggled to reprogram how you're going to do that but they're going to be like of course you're going to be upset about that or of course you're going to think this like that can actually be really limiting and difficult for people so the idea of cutting off all relationships with people who don't serve us has become a little bit aspirational that you should only have people in your life at all times who are like your greatest cheerleaders and who think you're amazing and who are useful to you in some way on your self-improvement journey I don't like that, that's bullshit but I also really respect and understand people who do not want to be held back family or old friends who have an old outdated version of them stuck in their head. I think it can be both because I felt the significance of the sibling bond recently when my mum lost two of her brothers in a relatively short period of time and speaking to her one night we were kind of trying to unpack her grief because obviously she was grieving for her brothers and their families and their futures but she was also grieving

for the living part of her and her family's history that had gone with them so there's a line in the siblings article that says siblings are a form of memory that lives outside ourselves and so for you Tom is the only person who holds the memory of your childhood that isn't you and so there's something really special and profound about that that obviously coexist with all the frustrations of being a sibling but that is also quite similar to what the advice article said about considering a relationship with somebody in life and considering a relationship with them after they've died which really struck a chord with you Absolutely, I mean I think it's interesting because having said all I've said about my relationship with my brother last year I went back to England by myself for the first time since I've had kids and my parents weren't there which was also for the first time in a long time they were on holiday so my brother and I got to spend time together as adults in a way that we have actually never done like ever done it was amazing, it was great because we live in different countries if we're together it's because of the whole family's together and my parents are the social directors of that relationship or we'll go over to Tom's for dinner we'll all go here, we'll all do that me and my brother have never had a dependent established relationship as adults

really, like that's familial because we don't live in the same place So was it like getting to know... It was great because it was stranger Really, well no, I'm not arguing that we don't know each other at all, of course we do and it was great because I can see all these familiar family traits in him and me and my parents and we're all like the messy mess like we all are and like of course that's what's happening and we also of course are deeply bonded by our parents and what's going on with them and all of those things and it was actually amazing and I loved it and it made me really question what our relationship will be like when our parents aren't there anymore because it would actually be really easy for it to disappear for it to disintegrate because he's a man who's not going to call me every five minutes like he's not that guy we've been talking a lot during the World Cup on our whatsapp family whatsapp it would actually be quite easy to let that relationship almost entirely disappear and that would be terrible because it is as you say, living history and obviously his kids are part of and all those things I think for me that experience isn't frustrating it's got some poignancy to it but I do have people in my life for whom it is really frustrating where they walk back into their family and they're immediately put in quite a negative frustrating position because they're not allowed to see who they've become outside of the family but it could redefine your relationship

that whole kind of de-centering could happen once your parents are gone because your sibling is the only person who understands and a lot of people say that there's a bonding that happens because there is only one person who can stand there and understand exactly how you feel and all the complicated emotions Matilda's fans which is everybody, I have a recommendation and it's a special bonus episode of No Filter, we're dropping tomorrow with Mackenzie Arnold I'm so excited I did her only podcast interview in Australia she's about to leave, I think today she leaves to go back to England where she plays for West Ham what is West Ham? It's a London football team West Ham is a London football team so lots of Matilda's play in England some of them guite a few play in my hometown of Manchester but lots of them play in London including Sam Currie plays for Chelsea and obviously Mackenzie who plays for West Ham she's the goalie if anyone's wondering she's the Australian Defence Minister I'm so excited, was she great? she was great

I wish she'd come in, I would have all cuddled her though

and it would have been uncomfortable

embarrassing, I nerded out

and asked all my questions like

when we see you getting off the bus

you've all got your headphones in

what are you listening to?

I actually am quite different

I listen to a bit of country

I like just chilling out

I don't like to be stuffed in my ear

it sort of gets me going a bit too early

you still have at least an hour

it is when we get into the changing before we head out to Warmer

I asked her about Nervous Wheeze

I asked her about

pre-game rituals and post-game rituals

I asked her about all of those things

I display my appalling knowledge of

soccer, I apologise profusely

for not knowing the rules better

I made up for it with my enthusiasm

out loud as if you want to listen to that interview with Mackenzie Arnold

there will be a link in the show notes

if you're looking for something else

to listen to, on yesterday's

subscriber episode

we released a special Ask Me Anything

by we, it was me and Holly

because you were not here

so we sold it on

it was, where Holly and Mia spoke about

how they cope with imposter syndrome

and share a unique insight into their friendship

and how they manage it at work

I'm personally really excited to listen to this one

I haven't listened to it vet

and I'm really keen to see

what the dynamic between you guys was like

when it was a bit more private

I'm so keen

I know we were quite honest about things

it was really weird us being in the studio talking to each other like that

just felt like us being out for dinner

I quite loved it

there's a link in the show notes if you want to listen to that now

thank you for listening to Australia's number one

news and pop culture show

this episode was produced by Emily Incazillas

Blackman with audio production

from Leah Porges

we'll see vou tomorrow

bye

shout out to any Mamma Mia subscribers listening

if you love the show

and want to support us as well

[Transcript] Mamamia Out Loud / How Carrie Bradshaw Became A Monster subscribing to Mamma Mia is the very best way to do so there is a link in the episode description