I can't see what's on your hat. What does it say super gut? One of my most exciting companies called Oh, holo. Oh mahalo

I still have mahalo.com. No, not mahalo unrelated completely unrelated nothing whatsoever to do with mahalo

I remember mahalo great product

sorry to

Become against, you know, we were making ten million dollars in revenue

At the peak. Yeah at a hundred people writing like human curated search result pages

I remember that look at chat gbt. You're a great guy to reference the chat gbt thing

Coming out of that. Well, then what happened was they did the panda update and we went from 10 million down to

500,000 in revenue overnight. What was the panda update? They looked at who the top sites were eHow

Mahalo

Etc and they just said nobody can get any more than this amount of traffic and they literally throttled the number of unique users

they would send to you and

That was it game over and

Then everybody I knew at google wouldn't return my emails and they were like, we don't have partnerships

With anybody that's what matt cuts told me are you saying that mahalo's lack of success had nothing to do with the poor product

In execution. It was google's malfeasance. It got really rave reviews. It was backed by sequoia So did the movie but it sucked

You know when you build a company that gets to 10 million in revenue in 18 months

You can talk trimoth, but basically looking at your resume here. I see that you've created nothing in your entire career

When you get in the arena

The arena and you actually build a product then you can talk to me

I had the number one blog in the world one of the top five tech magazines in the world

And this is the number one tech and business podcast. So good. I touched a soft spot

I got to the warm underbelly there. Check out so rarely goes after chimoth when he does. It's just gold

It's like it's incredible. You're talking to three founders here chimoth

You're the odd person out. So when you want to talk about product then make one

Okay, so gross and yet i'm the richest it's so tilting. Let's tilt you totally fine. So is kim jong-un. So is putin

Okay, there's a lot of rich people in the world. Oh my god, but these are three products. Okay dictator

All right, let's get started everybody. It's been a big week. There's a lot to talk about. Uh, might be a little bit of a controversial spicy agenda today here on the all-in podcast with me again

All right, let's get started everybody. It's been a big week. There's a lot to talk about

It might be a little bit of a controversial spicy agenda today here on the all-in podcast with me again

The sultan of science who is tearing up the youtube comments everybody asking the sultan of silence To contribute more and to speak more. But when he does speak my lord, he drops those knowledge bombs. How you doing sultan of science?

I'm hanging in there. You're hanging in there. Okay. Well, I'm hanging in there

Man a few words. I guess hanging in there. Okay, great with us again, of course another android david sax sax. How are you doing?

I am doing fine. How are you?

Wait, let me ask gpt. What's the question?

Hey, how are you doing? Let me ask it. Hold on. Let me see what it says. I mean, basically chat gpt 4 is the ultimate

Asperger's equalizer you guys are going to benefit most from this. I asked it. How are you? It says as an ai language model?

I don't have feelings or consciousness

So I don't experience emotions or states of being like a human does however

I'm here and ready to help you with any questions or topics you'd like to discuss

How can I assist you today? That's pretty similar to how you feel except you don't offer to help anybody

Okay, also with us is the dictator himself. We're here to talk about topics

Looking forward to today's episode and with us the dictator with a shockingly

Shockingly low cut. There's only one button on the shirt. Only one button. Okay made from a tiny albino baby

Like right here. They were like, should we put buttons and they're like

Why put buttons? Why put buttons?

It's a button conservation movement

Exactly. No, no, no, no. The one button is what counts. That is a baby albino rhinoceros that was killed

Fed to Chamath on his vacation and then

Attached to his linen shirt. I guess let's just get this out of the way. Trump was a

Arraigned, I guess is the term. He was charged in New York

With 34 felony counts of falsifying business records Alvin Bragg

Alleged Trump orchestrated a catching kill scheme as well

To suppress damaging information before the 2016 election

Of course, Trump pleaded not guilty and did a feisty

press conference or speech rally

Moral logo after that prosecutors say the scheme involved falsifying business records to conceal payments to stormy daniels

We know all that

Same thing Michael Cohen went to jail for the indictment wasn't a speaking indictment

Where it explained all the details. So Alvin Bragg

Hasn't tipped his cards by explaining in detail what the legal theories are and which information he has and he was pretty clear about that

That he was not going to tip his cards, which makes us even more

Hard to understand what's going on and creates even more divisiveness

Predictably the right is framing this as a witch hunt, but surprisingly many on the left including former sdny head

Preet Barara of the amazing podcast stay tuned with Preet, which I love

He felt this was the weakest of the four major investigations that trunk is under and he expressed some concerns

On his podcast that it was light and not detailed

and he might have

Not actually pursued this

Unless he had a 99% or something like that chance because it is the president's

Sacks, I guess everybody's expecting us to fight over this but to just be a little preemptive here

As much as I think trump is like the most unethical person to ever hold the office

This does seem a little light and I'm hoping bragg

Has the goods on him because why bring a mr. Mena case?

You know and these are all I guess

Mr. Meaners that are being elevated to felonies because of tax evasion possibly or election interference. So

What are you a steel man or just generally speak about the the case here because I know that you're a man of law and order

well many

People on the left are criticizing this case. Uh, jonathan chate who's a liberal writer for the

New york magazine wrote a good column about it and look here are the reasons why number one the underlying behavior here is that

Trump engaged in a private settlement

With stormy daniels. That's not illegal. Even if it is, you know, so-called hush money. That is legal.

You're allowed to do that

number two

Is that he used personal funds to do it

He did not use campaign funds and this is why alvin bragg has had to make this kind of

Ridiculous claim that he should have used campaign funds to do it

But does anyone believe that if trump had used campaign funds that wouldn't be alleged as the crime

So he's kind of damned if he does damned if he doesn't here

I think that you know what the law is trying to do with these rules around campaign funds is protect donors

From canada's misappropriating them and trump had every right to use personal funds to engage in the settlement

It's a major distortion of campaign finance rules. Third

These campaign finance rules are federal laws. They're not state laws

So it's not up to alvin bragg to

Enforce them and in fact the feds looked at this and decided not to prosecute it

Because if they did they'd have to enforce similar laws against hillary clinton recall

That hillary clinton had a problem where she used campaign funds

To fund the payment to chris for steel to write the steel dossier

And that was a real problem and it was miscategorized as legal fees

And she had to pay a fine for that but no one talked about locking her up over it

And no one was talking about you know indicting her and sending her to jail

And so part of the reason why I think the feds

Didn't want to look at this is because they'd have to look at similar cases that are even more egregious

And then finally the last thing is that we're well past the statute of limitations on this whole matter So alvin bragg is really out here on a limb. He's passed the statute of limitations

He's enforcing laws that are not his business to enforce. They're distorted interpretations of those laws and the underlying conduct here is fully legal

So I think everybody is kind of like wondering why he's doing this and I think there's two theories either alvin bragg is incredibly stupid

Which is not or he's incredibly smart. Yeah, and the the sort of three-dimensional chess explanation that an colter has is that

This is all a giant honeypot

For republicans because they're all rallying around trump here because they perceive I think correctly that he's being railroaded

And he's being he is the victim of a political prosecution

But in rallying around him to defend him you see that trump's poll ratings among republicans in the primary are going through the roof

Descensors are going down and so what in culture fears is that this is all an elaborate ruse to make trump the nominee

Because biden would much rather face a reelection against trump than against a young youthful vigorous governor like a desantis

Do you think bragg has a bunch of more information and maybe that tax evasion is the issue? He'll go after because that seems to be the other theory here is he's not going to do the federal You know election stuff. He's going to go after the tax evasion

Which is what they already got the trump organization on when weizel I guess the cfo is going to jail for six months

It's going to be hard to connect and they did 1.6 million fine for that company. Good. These are misdemeanor crimes

And to convert them to a felony

The crime needs to have been done

In the process of aiding and abetting another crime

And tax evasion is the concept here

When he listed the bullet point list of all of the reasons that were all of his evidence It seemed to point to what david said, which was just these campaign finance violations Yeah, this whole thing just seems like such an enormous waste of time just think about

the amount of money that will have to be spent $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{$

on

Just securing new york city every time he shows up

The five car motorcades the secret service the police the this that the disruption to people

For what really ultimately I think is right is kind of like, you know, it's a bit of like man

This case should have been brought years ago. Well, not at all in relation to that

They were told to stand down from doing that because of the you can't indict a sitting president and that's paused

So that's another legal theory that's going to have to be tested Jason the feds looked at this years ago

And they decided not to press charges. They were told to not do it because he was just sitting president

No, they were no, he's been out of office now for a couple years. Why didn't they move forward?

They were that was the process they were doing

So that takes two years to decide the previous da

Said he was told to stand down

Because sirens man decided not to prosecute on the same underlying offenses. That was his decision. He decided not to

Who told him to stand down? No one could tell him to stand down. Yeah, the office of

Sirens vans decided not to move forward with this very same case

When the statute of limitations had not expired now it has an album brags move forward

Yeah, you really have to stretch here

to come up with any kind of plausibility to this

To this case and I mean you have to wonder why he's doing it. Is it just naked partisanship?

Or are they trying to make trump the republican nominee?

Well, here's what van said on meet the press this weekend

I was asked by the u.s. Attorney's office in the southern district to stand down on the investigation

And they were asked to stand down as well because of the you can't indict a sitting president

So anyway, all this stuff is going to get done in the wash

I don't think we have to spend too much time on it because

We'll find out I think in the coming weeks. Do the democrats actually want him to get convicted?

Then what he's going to be under house arrest in moro lago because he's not going to be sent to jail

And then what then to santus actually will win the nomination

So what exactly is the perfect outcome which is to

Create this theater waste taxpayer resources only to have trump acquitted

Just so that he can win the nomination and then he can go against biden and lose this seems so farfetched and idiotic

Move on

Right closes chapter and move on totally. This is ripping the country apart for no reason and such a stupid case

It's such a stupid case

Even the people who would like to prosecute trump

Like you jason, I think have a problem with it and one of the reasons why

This is going to be counterproductive even to the

The anti trump forces is that by going first with this case

Yeah, alvin bragg is poisoning the well for any future case. He might bring against trump because now

All future cases against trump are going to be seen as painted with the same brush

Which is

Enacutely partisan sort of witch hunt

And there may be other cases out there that have more validity to them

But they're all going to be seen as of a piece

With this sort of alvin bragg

Yeah, that was pre exposition. I think it's a it's a logical one. I don't disagree. What do you think of the other three cases?

january 6

The interference in georgia where they recorded them on tape and then the stolen documents and the

obstruction of justice or do you think all four cases are politically motivated and none of them have any validity to them sex?

I mean look the question you have to ask is if donald trump was a private citizen who never ran for president

Would he be the target of any of these prosecutions? I mean he was

You know high-profile business figure for decades and he wasn't prosecuted like this and so that's really the question

You have to ask and

Cohen did go to jail for the same crime. So the answer is yes

What michael cone wasn't prosecuted till after trump was president. No, what I'm saying is you asked if

If he was not do you really believe he would let me finish you asked if if trump was not

A president if he would have been prosecuted for this crime in fact another person michael cone was prosecuted for this crime and did

Serve jail time. So the answer is yes, he would have been

And they've and they brought these cases the spanking kind of cases and they brought the other one for the

1.6 million fine and weasel were going to jail. So I think they would actually but anyway

I don't think so. I think this is I think that do you think all four are politically motivated is my question to you

Or you think any of them have a look I can't I don't want to comment on the other cases until I see what

Case is actually made and what the merits of them are however

I don't believe that all these prosecutors all over the country be looking at donald trump this way if he was just a

Private citizen who never ran for office and I think we have a big problem in our political system When political disagreements are criminalized and this has been a nasty trend that's been going on for many years

It was usually

I guess practice against staffers, you know here or there you'd have some

You'd have some executive branch staffer would you know find themselves on the wrong end of a prosecution

And they'd end up going to jail. Maybe they get pardoned or not

But now it's reached all the way to the top and we have presidential candidates basically being Prosecuted and I do not believe they'd be prosecuted if they were not major political figures And it looks really bad for the political figure who is leading

Right now in the republican party to be joe bynes opponent in the next election to be prosecuted by one of joe bynes political allies

I mean if this were happening in some other country, you know, I used to be criticizing it as some sort of

You know banana republic type move

So this is not the direction we want our politics to go and and look I don't I I don't want trump to be the nominee

I supported a different candidate, but I think that to be interfering

In our elections for alvin brack to be interfering in the political process this way

Is a reach and it's setting a horrible precedent for the future. I mean we're talking about major presidential candidates

Let me ask a question then by local da's. I mean, why would we want this?

So let me ask a follow-up question then do you the doj is currently investigating hunter biden And then obviously that goes up to the big guy with the 10%

Do you think the doj should be investigating hunter biden or do you think we should be giving a pass to presidential?

of presidents and their families

Well, the hunter biden case. I mean, this is one where you've got foreign governments basically paying off hunter biden for

political access now that may ultimately be legal because I think influence peddling is

Kind of a business that takes place all over washington

But that actually does speak to the integrity of our political system

At the end of the day, would I send hunter biden to jail? No, I don't think so. Like I said, I don't like criminalizing political disagreements

But what hunter biden did was definitely pretty shady and you know

Hunter biden and trump and his family are both shady is my feeling on it

We got got to get better candidates in here. Nikki haley your candidate chamath raised 11 million dollars last week. She's on fire

Freeberg, you want to jump into this and and touch the third rail you want us to move on?

Uh, let's move on. All right. So there's been a lot of twitter

back and forth about the D

ation and if it's real if it's happening if it's not last week china and brazil struck a deal to trade In their own currencies, uh, the brazilian government announced the two countries were no longer used the us dollar as an intermediary

I don't know if that's for everything they trade or for certain things. They're trading. It'll be a straight one for reyes

Trade china is the top u.s rival obviously and brazil is one of the largest economies in latin america What are your thoughts generally speaking freeberg? I know that you have some exposure here

You know with your company that I think you spacked recently and you have some knowledge of the space, right?

Well, I mean china and brazil are pretty sizable trade partners

I think it's around 150 billion dollars a year bilateral trade. So

china historically has made a lot of investments through their companies in

railways infrastructure waterways ports and infrastructure to support

the agriculture manufacturing economies

In brazil and it's a very deep tie obviously the closeness of that relationship

china became a bigger trading partner for brazil in 2009

surpassing the us by the way china has had similar trading strategies in africa in australia

They've bought several companies in australia. They've made massive infrastructure investments the currency of trade

It's one element of a broader

Interpointing that china has kind of enabled by using its resources to invest in infrastructure development and then participating in the economic value and gain

That arises from that it still also supports the local country the local population the local economies in a meaningful way

I think it's worth noting that the anti-globalization

Moment that we're having in the u.s. And it may be a longer term trend

Doesn't mean that globalization and global trade is going to slow down between china and other really important well resource nations

Around the world. So the brazil-china summit that happened a week ago

Where a lot of brazilian executives went to china and had a very deep

Set of dialogues, but they also signed a ton of agreements on trade and also in some of those cases the trade being done in non-us dollar denominated currency

It's worth noting is being that if the u.s.

Does continue to push for de-globalization

We can only leverage our side of those relationships

China will continue to make investments continue to develop trade and continue to develop really deep tie-ins

with countries around the world from a resource perspective from an economic perspective and ultimately

The leverage will sit with them on what currency folks are going to trade in

So, you know what we're seeing with the china-saudi discussion around the petro yuan, which doesn't seem to be really a standard thing yet

But we're starting to see inklings of some deals happening in vuan

But it's more related to the depth of the chinese trade relationship with all these nations around the world

S0

The more we kind of as the u.s. Think we want to

De-globalize and reduce our trade relationships with other nations the more

You know, we're out of the way in allowing china to do that

I think it's worth observing

That the chinese economy will grow the depth of their relationships will grow potentially

The strength and importance of their currency will continue to mount as they build these really deep infrastructure and investment tie-ins around the world

Tramath, what does a party trading in one do with the one?

Do they buy a business?

With the one do they buy a bunch of stuff from china?

What happens to the one?

This whole thing is a huge nothing burger

This is the third deal that the china has done the other two countries are pakistan and brazil

And the reason why is i've seen like people on twitter now breathlessly

rambling on about de-dollarization and all of this stuff and

I think if any of these people would think from first principles the first thing that you would know is that the

Yuan is pegged to the us dollar

And so as long as it's pegged

Whether you trade through the us dollar or you don't and you directly go to yuan

Your index to the us dollar and then you use a dollar swap to convert it into the currency you need

So I don't know. I think this is kind of like a lot of folks who don't really know what's going on

What do you think about the the depth of china's trade relationship?

so forget about the denomination of the currency but the fact that

Um, the statistic now is that you can't forget the top trading partner with 120 countries

You know, they surpassed the us with many of these countries over the last, you know decade or two in particular

And continue to increase the the scale relative to the us where we're kind of decreasing our dependence on

On nations and reducing our trading the reason why china has had

So much dominance as a trading partner

And the reason why china's central bank has the largest amount of foreign us dollar reserves about three and a half trillion dollars

Is exactly because of the thing that you want to ignore in order to have this high-faluting intellectual conversation

It is pegged to the us dollar

And until it is unpegged in a free-floating currency, we will never know

What the real market clearing price is and just to be clear. China's been able to hold on china has been very effectively able to

Manipulate this currency

Since they were brought into the wto

In order to engender that trading partner status. They were able to artificially

suppress the value of their currency so that exports from china

Could gain traction in countries all around the world. You have to take into account this currency peg and you have to ask the question

Where would the currency be if it wasn't free-floating and then what would the incentives be for folks to replace?

the dollar

And I think that there's a lot of interesting questions or that are worth asking

But I think you have to be a little bit more intellectually honest to have the discussion and just for clarity

chumat you said they already have these deals with

pakistan and pakistan russia you met pakistan and russia i think they already have those deals with those two pakistan russia

Right. Okay. Good. I just want to make sure it's clear there

sacks

Do you have any thoughts on this is is this an example of people just maybe

Taking the ray dalio book and it fits a certain narrative and over hyping it or do you think this is an actual real trend in the world?

To be concerned about I think

D dollarization hasn't happened yet, but I think it's a risk and I think there's a bunch of reasons why the risk is growing

So first of all we have 32 trillion dollars in debt

Someone has to finance that debt and the bigger that number gets the more unattractive our debt is because they have to be concerned

That we're eventually going to monetize the debt pay it back by printing a bunch of new dollars So that's point number one is we have these massive deficits and debts number two is that we

Have I think in the last couple of years really weaponized the dollar

So if you look at like what we've done with ukraine and russia, we basically seized

Hundreds of billions of dollars of russian reserves that were held in dollars

We've excluded them from the swift banking system

We've imposed massive sanctions on them and in fact we now have sanctions

On a huge number of countries all over the world. So we're very sanctioned happy all of which makes these countries

view the dollar as an unreliable store of value. Why would you store your money

in something that can be taken away by the us and specifically by the state department

So I think this is a major change in the way that we view the dollar over the last couple of years Is we're going to use it as a weapon that again that makes it

View that's not the first time we've ever done that right we've done sanctions against many different We've done sanctions, but as far as I know we've never seized foreign currency reserves and excluded a country from swift

Which is the banking system so as as an instrument of us foreign policy

So I mean I could be wrong about that, but this was a major event when it happened

Remember we also did stuff like seize the the yachts and the

The foreign holdings of russian oligarchs remember their ill-gotten gains. We suddenly decided they

were all gotten

Let me tell you

We didn't think they were ill-gotten when those russian billionaires were buying those yachts or buying new york real estate

Or london real estate or investing in facebook or investing in

Companies here or buying sports teams or what have you

So we didn't think they're ill-gotten

Hold on we didn't think they were ill-gotten at the time that they were actually made and spent But we decided subsequently we're just going to seize those things. Well, again if you are A foreign country or a wealthy person in a foreign country or just trying to decide where you're

going to keep your money

You may not want it to be liable to the vagaries of us foreign policy

So I think all these things do matter and when you're running the kind of debt and deficits we have And you're making the u.s. Dollar less attractive. You are running a risk

I mean, that's we should be keeping people we have disagreements with on the dollar standard because that's good in terms of power

For us, which I think opens us up to a broader discussion

Okay, just to clarify this it's not even the dollar standard right like what this deal was

Is to use this thing called sips and sips

is the non-dollar

competitor to swift and

So you settle right

Across let's just say you have two trading partners in

Two completely different countries that use a bank in each of their local areas

They typically swap to dollars and then they transfer right using this this backbone of the financial infrastructure called swift

China has built a competitor to it called sips cips and China's been going around and signing folks up makes a ton of sense

Right. Hey, listen, if we're trading between each other, let's just use that

So I think it's important to not paint this with more of a brush than it should be

I'm not saying that de-dollarization couldn't happen

I just think that everybody tries to take one random data point and conflate it all together to

Reinforce a redalia point from a book three years ago. I do think there's a group of

Catastrophists who maybe are maybe hoping this happens or it's a great twitter fodder

I think we are headed for some sort of government debt crisis. I said that there's going to be three prongs to this financial crisis

One was these long dated bonds having unrealized losses, which is causing problems in regional community banks the second piece of it's the commercial real estate crisis, which I think is

Metastizing right now, which is also going to be a banking crisis once all those unrealized losses come to you

And the third piece of it is government debt crisis

We have this 32 trillion dollar debt that we're now having to refinance at much higher interest rates

I've read somewhere that half our government debt, so 16 billion is going to come to you

16 sorry trillion. Yeah, and it's going to have to be refinanced in the next three years. The average

Rate on that debt is 1.7%. Well, if you want to refinance it at 10 year rates

You're going to be looking at somewhere between three and a half of four percent maybe more

So you're looking at a doubling of the interest costs, and I also read that by 2030

We're going to have over a trillion dollars of interest expense

Ode by the US government every year that is money. That's not funding anyone. So security. It's not funding anyone's health care

It's not funding one weapons program. It's not funding anything. We're not funding anyone's health care

It's not funding anything we want. It's this the big it's going to be more than a quarter

Of our total federal budget. Yeah, and this is where you start gambling when you're chasing that big payment

You start taking risks. This is also where you have to expect the Fed

Will really want inflation to stay high

That's sort of what we've said before the only way out of this mathematically is to keep rates high But the other thing David that you when you mention all of this is what about every other country? If you think that's happening in the united states

I think it's important to make sure we at least consider every other major economy because it's not as if they're pristinely sitting on the sidelines

While this happens to the us

This is my whole argument the whole time which is if you're going to have this argument You need to do it thoughtfully and relatively

Right because the euro is in the same amount of trouble

If you look across it's not as if china is actually sitting pretty and smelling like roses either Every major economy or trading block in the world is going to go through this at the same time So it becomes a relative trade argument and there I just don't know enough to know whether The us is poorly positioned versus europe or china

But it just seems like you get back to a place where it's like, okay

We need to find the flight to safety. What is the canonical flight to safety if it's not a commodity like gold?

It's probably the dollar until it's not

Yeah, okay freeberg

Obviously other countries have even more cube problems higher debt to gb gdp, which means higher debt payments

just rounding third here on this issue any final thoughts on

de-dollarization and

servicing our debt

look, it's we're in trouble

and it's uh

It's unclear the timing and the path, but

The arithmetic is pretty simple

In addition to the point sacks made you guys saw the news

The city of chicago has a 44 billion dollar

pension hole

That's just the tip of the iceberg on unfunded pension liabilities

from both state government city government

Even private institutions

this is

again

hundreds of millions of people worldwide that are expecting money coming to them from institutions

That ultimately the federal government of the us is likely going to have to backstop to some degree So that's another huge check that's going to need to be written

But the federal government is inevitably going to have to write because we're not going to just let all these people have

No money and come starving

And social security right now is projected to go bankrupt sometime between 2030 and 2035

So we're going to have to write a check

to cover the hole there

Plus the interest payment checks

So a bunch of moxpoint freeberg of like

relative to other

Let's see if we're finished

relative to other societies other countries

yeah, so

the very likely case is that

Relative wealth will decline

So in the near term, I think it's inevitable

We have higher tax rates

I've said this before because in order to kind of meet the gap even if we have these

austerity measures or reduce costs or reduce the budget as the republicans are going to push for is the state ceiling

Debate reaches its apex in

60 days from now, which you better believe this is going to be pretty pretty damn dramatic

And there's going to be real questions of what happens if the us defaults on its treasuries

If the us defaults on obligations it has on treasuries

There will be a real shift away from

Using those assets as the baseline of the risk-free rate worldwide what what the net what the other thing will be I don't know

I I'll speak about the challenges. I see with bitcoin

You know if we want to at some point

Oh, did he hit but did he hit a million yet?

But if you put all of this together

You're going to have to source income somewhere

You're going to have to take a piece of the assets and a piece of the income

Away from the private citizenry. So you're going to have to tax

And that tax will be used to kind of fill some of the hole and then more of the hole will be filled by printing money

And that will lead to this kind of inflation of asset values

Which ultimately means that the relative cost of things go up and relative wealth goes down

And I think that's the point to take note is that anyone who's concentrated assets is going to have them effectively

Lost in the washout sacks you want dead

Well, yeah, I just want to add a couple of details there because freeberg went pretty quickly over a couple of those examples

So just to take that that chicago case the numbers I saw in an article this week

I think I was in the Wall Street Journal was that 80 percent

Of the property taxes in chicago are now going just to pay for pensions

So 80 percent are going to pay former workers not current city workers and moreover

Those pensions are only 25 funded

So they've already overpromised by 75 percent

Benefits that they can't afford

How is this going to work and you saw that we just had an election there and rather than fix the problem

They voted for a candidate brandon johnson who is even

softer on crime than lorry lightfoot

And the reason for that is because the

The government workers unions basically all supported him

So you have a situation in these blue cities and states where there is a massive civil service

They are the strongest special interest in local and state politics. They have already

taken

Huge appropriations out of the state budget in the form of these pensions

Which aren't even adequately funded. We can barely afford them as they are should we have pension sacks?

What do you think? Should we just have people doing for our one case?

Sure, so what the what happened in the 1980s when there was a lot of pension reform

in the private sector is you move from

Defined benefit to defined contribution

So you start having more defined contribution like 401k

The way that these public pensions work is defined benefit. So

You know, what they'll do is they'll say that we're going to take your last year of employment With the city or state

And whatever your whatever the amount of money was you made that last year you're going to get 80 or 90 percent of it

For the rest of your not just your life, but your spouse's life, too and more over any overtime

You earned it becomes part of that calculation. So everyone knows this game. And so what you see is in their final year

State or city employees will load up on the overtime. They'll earn twice as much and then that's a

great

80% of that. Yeah, they get 90% of that for the rest of them and their spouse's life

We just can't afford to have rules like that that don't make any sense

to have rules like that that don't make any sense. And so but the point is that

The benefit that's been defined bears no relationship to the amount of money

That's gone into these pensions, right? And so you have a there's a simple solution here. You have a huge on funded liability

Yeah, but to freeberg's point every blue city and state in the country

Is going to have this problem and who's going to pick up these expenses?

You bring up great points, but you just mash them all together in this mashed potato of random things like

Unfunded pension liabilities

You want to remember re eyes trading and they're like the same thing. They're not the same thing They're driven by totally different subjects here, but we're talking about listen

I mean you just kind of throw them in this like amalgam soup and outcomes all the conversation So I'm just saying like if these are important topics, but I'm just saying I do think they're motivated by totally different things

And they're not related as much as we think they are related. I think they're related

Let me walk you through how they're related

Okay, I want to hear how the re eyes you want trade is connected to the chicago pension system the holes need to be filled

so

The money is not going to just not get paid to the pensioners social security is not going to go away Just like we saw in france

If you start to do that you have revolutions in the street

There's literally bonfires at intersections in france in paris today

Because people don't want to wait another two years before they get their pension payments

So ultimately that check has to be written when you add up the column of how much money is not on the balance sheet today

How much liability is not on the balance sheet today that is ultimately going to have to get paid out And the u.s. Government is going to print money to pay it out

It indicates that there is a higher degree of uncertainty on whether or not

I'm actually going to get the value back for the bonds that i'm buying in u.s. Dollar denominated form

Or that the u.s. Dollar is actually going to be strong enough to cover the cost or has enough kind of You know or has too much volatility

Because of this uncertainty

And I think that that's really where people start to say well

Maybe the u.s. Dollar isn't that risk-free rate where it's a strong economy with a great balance sheet great economic growth

There's certainly extraordinary potential because of the freedoms that we have to operate in this country as individuals

Through the enterprise through the innovation through the entrepreneurship through the attraction

of talent from all over the world to come here

But at the end of the day we do seem to have a very big set of checks that we're going to have to write

And as those you know liabilities start to mount there becomes a real question on do I really want to hold dollars?

Maybe I want to hold something else

And maybe I diversify a little bit and maybe instead of holding just dollars

I also hold other things and as that starts to happen you see a little bit of a shift

It's not an overnight thing. It's all catastrophic one or the other

But it starts to bring into question whether the u.s. Dollar is the standard defacto

System that's used for trade around the world. That's the point and sacks there is a solution to this superannuation is

done in the uk and in australia where you

Contribute you're forced to contribute to your 401k essentially, but you get to learn how to put money away and you become a little more

You have a little more authority

over your future with these pensions where

You're responsible for saving and you're kind of forced to save and it seems to have worked really well in australia and other places

Where people have great savings and you don't have this major debt load by the government doing it So it's something for people to look into sacks. Did you want to add anything to this? Yeah, I mean being this one to the death

Just make it quick. Yes. Well, I don't think we're necessarily beating it to death because I think it is a huge issue

I mean look the part of chamois argument that I agree with is that you do have to evaluate

The dollar on relative terms and you know, you can argue that

The u.s. And the dollar it's it's still the

You know, let's let's call it the most eligible bachelor in the leper colony

um, you know, nothing started falling off on the man yet, but

Um, but that doesn't mean that it won't

That's really good

That's really good. By the way, the first default might be the noses falling off, you know

The economist Herb Stein once said that if something cannot go on forever, it won't

What we're doing right now cannot go on forever

We are running deficits and debts and unfunded liabilities

That we cannot afford and so it will stop and the only question is how it stops

Well, I think there's yeah, and it may stop in a way

That is

Not vault. It's not a voluntary choice by us. We crashed the car basically

You guys want to make a bet a friendly wager for charity. Oh about what happens in june

I'll make a bet with you guys. Do you mean the debt ceiling? Yeah, what do you guys think happens? You think this is going to be a frac just chaotic thing where the markets get roiled? No, I think it's going to be a pretty

Rubber stand forward deal where they're going to it's going to come down to the wire

But my guess is no one's going to want to default on the debt

Yep, and there's going to be some concessions on spending

and ultimately

The debt ceiling will get extended and that those concessions on spending will allow

The republican party to save face with their voters and say look we we got some concessions here

I'm not sure they're going to be enough to really address any of the major problems

That the us is facing over the longer term

but you know certainly letting the

Debt ceiling hit and defaulting is catastrophic everyone knows that with freeberg

I think the majority case is a bunch of hand wringing and then they make a concession people that are interested in this topic

I would go use the wayback machine and go and read all of the articles in the 80s

Where you could replace china with japan

And what happened with japan is that japan just hit a demographic wall

Not to similar to what china is about to hit in the next 15 or 20 years, right? It's a good counter argument

Yeah, that's a really good point and I think that there is this element of you know china as the primary threat

But I think the the bigger problem chimat is that we have voted ourselves into a stupor. We have allowed

ourselves to accrue these liabilities

That are in many cases not on the balance sheet that we simply cannot afford to pay

And the social unrest that will arise if and when we don't pay them or the economic cost of us actually paying them

Either of those are going to be pretty significant, but that's under that's water under the bridge And it has nothing to do with china

It has just everything to do with how the u.s is spending. Thank you for being intellectually honest. This is my point

I agree with you about the importance of these unfunded liabilities

I just completely disagree with you that this argument about these things being so hyper connected Or that all of a sudden we're at the cliff of de-dollarization

I don't think it's rooted in facts and I think again it ignores this unbelievably important piece of logic that all of you guys

That say this tend to ignore and it's still and it's not even well addressed in dalio's book Which is it is a pegged currency

And the minute you unpack it none of you know what happens to it except that it probably isn't where it's trading today

And if you actually then have to factor in

Dollar reserves that everybody holds that thing would skyrocket in value and it would crush the export value of the yuan

And it happens to all currencies

And this is this funny thing that has happened to the united states

Which is that it ran forward and it transitioned its economy to a service-led economy

Faster than other countries and other economies and other currencies did

And nobody wants to just talk about that

Except it's the fact we're talking about and that's what sort of drives us

I don't think the big risk is that all of a sudden the dollar gets replaced by the yuan as the world's reserve currency

I think freeberg lays out a more intermediate path, which is people start to hedge their dollar exposure

And decisions that used to be automatic like trading oil and dollars, you know the so-called petro dollar now becomes a little bit

You know more of a decision. So, you know, that's what happened

That's what happened with the pound sterling

It was a similar story and it was not an overnight collapse. I mean, there were certainly these kind of punctuated moments where there were hits

but

You know the history is that there was a slow devaluation over time and the

You know as a result of obviously the economic pressure and the uncertainty actually, you know What happened to pound sterling was that it was pegged to the u.s. Dollar and then it became unpegged

So exactly what i'm talking about. No, even post that chimac even post that if it's a free floating currency

Yeah, you're proving you're proving like no, dude

You're proving my point when soros broke the back of the u.s. Dollar what he forced george brown or what he forced the chancellor

The extractor to do was to basically depeg the pound and then yes, you're right. It's been like this ever since

Yeah, that was what i'm saying if you let it be free floating nobody wants to trade in that other currency everybody wants the dollar

As bad as the dollar is david's right. It is the the worst affected leper in the leper colony This is why you have to have if you're going to be intellectually honest just have a relative conversation about all of the currencies

And all the things that they're also going through which are also not not perfect the debt payments for the emerging and the frontier markets are

Extraordinary and just realize that if you want to go and peg your economy to somebody else's back They also come with their own trials and tribulations that you have to risk manage as well

And now you have to decide on balance. Do you want to risk manage?

A centrally governed economy? Right from a central bureau or a free-wheeling democratic like these are all the discussions that people should need to have

Yeah, there's a lot of

choices there just back to the the non-currency part of this for a second. There are a lot of like connections between these things

I actually think there is a strong connection between

What's happening for example in chicago with the out of control civil service and the unfunded

pensions all the way

To the dollar status, but there's also a connection between commercial real estate and these pensions

So on a previous show we talked about the commercial real estate

Looming crisis

And a lot of people thought that you know some of the comments where we're just talking our book, which is not true

I don't own I don't have a dollar invested in any of these office towers

But you know who does pension funds. Yeah, who owns these office towers?

So you're talking about pension funds that are three quarters unfunded

And they may have a lot less funds than they even think they do because we're about to have a huge reckoning

We're all of a sudden these office towers that were supposed to be blue chip

That we're supposed to have the best collateral there was

In major american cities

Now all of a sudden they may not be nearly as valuable as they thought they were

And and if they don't own the building they definitely own the debt

100% for sure in the fixed income portfolios of all these pension systems are the debt that was used to finance these buildings

By the REITs and by, you know, the big real estate funds have put those things together. So you're absolutely right

They are

100% impacted by what's about to happen. We're not we're not going to allow given the civil unrest and social unrest risk and

Obviously as a democracy, we're not going to allow that all to go

To zero and we're not going to let pensioners not get into the debt

To zero and we're not going to let pensioners not get paid. Ultimately. That's just a kiss of death Maybe pension payments are reduced to some degree. But again, Paris is a really great example Of as you start to try and shift the economic guarantees that have been made to pensioners even slightly

Yeah, what was it two years in retirement?

62 to 64 or 64 to 62. Yeah, and it was certainly like

You know, you could sit here and argue what people for years for their whole life

Have this expectation set we have all for our whole careers invested in the social security

Benefits that were owed as retirees through every paycheck that we've received

And those social security payments may not end up coming back to us if social security is allowed to go bankrupt

So ultimately the government has to step in and issue new dollars to make that up

Then the economic question is what happens to the value of the dollar

What happens to the value of the economy and so on as you issue trillions of dollars to fill these holes?

Let me ask a question. That's a little more positive here, perhaps

Which is is there a path

out of this

You know debt cycle we're in and what are the

Top ways in which we're going to get ourselves out of this

I I have three that come off the top of my head. Hold on. I have three off the top of my head number one is austerity measures number two is productivity through

 $technology \ and$

Perhaps number three is maybe recruiting more entrepreneurs here to start more companies and You know fill some of these jobs. So intelligent immigration, which is higher taxes

Yeah, number one is higher taxes in terms of what's likely to happen or reduce spending

That would be a fourth one. That's austerity. Yeah. So look higher taxes because you can go after assets

You can go after wealth. So there will be higher taxes. Okay, so that's what I still think I still think we'll end up seeing 70 percent tax rates on the wealthiest people in the country 70 percent

I don't see I don't see it being like unpopular. I think it's going to be unpopular with the wealthy It's going to be popular elsewhere to fill the hole second is cut back on spending

But that's a really hard thing to do because you know as we've talked about in the past People vote to get more stuff

So you put the politician in is going to vote to get you more stuff. You don't vote people in to go cut spending

So generally, you know, we're going to likely see

Number one happened first

Maybe there'll be a reckoning where you kind of reduce spending

It's going to take extraordinary political will and an extraordinary depth of education and diffusion of understanding of this this key critical economic problem amongst the voting class Which is a really hard thing to realize. I think number three you're saying get the public

To understand to understand that we have to have austerity measures

Yeah, and then number three and and basically people are going to have to make sacrifices So the first sacrifice will be the wealthy

They're going to have to sacrifice through higher taxes the second sacrifice will be everyone else By seeing reduced spending and reduced kind of services the services, etc. The third is

The hopeful one, but we don't have a guarantee on this which is do we see

Economic growth through productivity gains. Can we create leverage with our resources and our people by using new technologies to get more with less?

And you know anytime. Yeah, like again, I gave the example last time

But when a tractor was introduced in agriculture all the people that were farming the ground didn't have a job anymore

But what happened is new jobs emerged in making tractors and servicing those tractors in You know gas pipelines to get gas to the tractors all these economies emerged as a result of that economic

Innovation so I think as we see AI and other innovations hit the market

You know new economies and new industries. Hopefully

Really blossom and and we can benefit from that economic growth and also lower costs for people

On purchasing goods and services. We've identified four things when Reagan came in Wasn't wasn't the highest tax rate like like 70%? Yes. Yeah, that was the top marginal tax rate is 70%

70% is not unheard of it will happen again in the us. Well, and but Reagan unleashed an economic boom by flattening the tax structure because

marginal tax rates created a disincentive for people to work and produce more

And so there is a big economic hit from this. Yeah, and remember what this 1970s were like It was the malaise days of jimmy carter

We had a horrible economy with high inflation and everybody was paying high tax rates $\frac{1}{2}$

And the government wasn't making that much revenue

Because there wasn't as much economic activity going on

And during the 1980s we had an economic boom and the government actually collected more revenue

With lower tax rates because so much economic progress was unlocked

Sacks we identified four things just like we've learned nothing. Which one is the most important here?

Which ones are the most important? We talked about austerity. We talked about increasing taxes We talked about innovation and efficiency and then we talked about immigration recruiting more Highly talented people when you look at those four

Do you have any to add to that list to get out of this and which ones do you think are the most important and why? When you look at

federal

tax revenue

Over a 50 year period go look at the fret charts. What you see is that?

quite independent of the top marginal tax rate the amount of

federal receipts that the government's able to collect is roughly around 19 percent plus or minus 2 percent

And so you can only get so much blood from a stone. You can try to raise the top marginal rates, but Then rich people have an incentive to basically find more tax protected strategies

So the history of this thing going back 50 years is you can only extract

So much from taxes and what you're better off is a lower tax rate that is broader based And you go for economic growth that produces more activity, but look if you if you're spending Too much there's no way out of that. So austerity critically important and entrepreneurship I mean when Bill Clinton left office and I think Reagan through Clinton was the biggest 25 year period of economic boom

We've ever had

federal spending

As a percentage of GDP was 18 and a half percent

He got it down from like 22 percent and he did it through economic growth and he bragged about it Yep, so, you know look where you want to be is I think federal spending

Should be in the low 20s. I think you want tax revenue to be in the high teens 19 percent

You can have a small deficit. Those are the conditions for economic growth Jamath

Any thoughts here on our way out? I'm going to take the complete opposite of all of this, which is the

Um anti chicken little version, which is I think not much at all changes. I think that jet debt to GDP Will continue to rise

Not just for us, but for every other country in the world whose fate is worse than the United States And I think that

On a relative basis the United States will continue to be exceptional

and that

This will not really be an issue in our lifetimes

Okay, and I'm not saying that's a good thing

And I'm not saying that's a just thing

And I'm not saying that's what I want to happen

but pragmatically I think that

That's what will happen and I don't think that there is a magic number

Where all of a sudden things start to break where there's some magic number for jet debt to GDP where all of a sudden everybody finds religion

Instead, I think that it just creeps higher and by the way

If you look at where debt to GDP was at the turn of the 19th century and then what happened through the world war two

What we've really done is, you know, we've retraced a lot as well

So there have been moments where we've been out over our ski tips a lot

And so I think it's just important to keep in mind that

Sometimes

What works just continues to work and I keep asking myself the relative question, which is what country

What economy

What group of human capital

Is better positioned than the united states and despite all of the things that are screwed up with this country

It's hard to find a better example

So yeah, unless you happen to have the lucky

mineral or oil

Club like Norway or Saudi Arabia. You're you're you're going to be hard pressed to find a better place to plant your money

And I think entrepreneurship and immigration are the two most important things we can do

As well as austerity and I think Joe Manchin is like or these moderate candidates in the middle who might actually be able to talk about cutting

But by the way, you said something you said something really interesting

Which is if you look at Norway, Saudi Abu Dhabi

What are those countries effectively becoming by monetizing the oil?

They invest in all of the economies that are working perfect seque. Thank you

It's not as if the Saudis and Abu Dhabi and the Norwegians aren't trying to invest in America

They're trying to put as much money to work as possible. They're just trying to

Pace it out so that they have time diversity and asset diversity

So to your point Jason, it's so this is a it's sort of a bit of a self-fulfilling prophecy

I think that what has worked continues to work and then

The burden for disruption gets higher and higher that changes. There's two things that work in the world

Having those natural resources or having entrepreneurship. Let's make a segue here

Wait, can I add the final point on that? Oh god, we've been final pointing for 20 minutes here. Go ahead quick final point

This is an important discussion. I think okay

So Adam Adam Smith once said that there's a great deal of ruin in a nation meaning

It takes a lot of local bungling to screw up something as big as a nation, especially a nation

That's the number one superpower in the world that has the world's reserve currency

So we are in some ways the beneficiary and coasting on hundreds of years of excellence of economic performance and

great political leadership in this country and the question to ask is not

Whether we can still coast on that

But whether the political leadership we have today is living up to the standard we had in the past and I think it's clearly not and the only question is when it breaks and

It's hard to predict exactly when it's going to break

But what I do believe is that if we keep going the way we're going it it will have to stop well said

We're definitely bending it right now and when you bend it sometimes it breaks

Right and and by the way the treason why we are going to pursue ai at breakneck speed

Even though it may lead to some sort of weird dystopian future

Is because we need that productivity boost. We have no choice now

Because we are so frankly better us than the next guy

Absolutely, whoever gets their first choice

Another perfect example is two major stories this week

That we need to discuss the first is Saudi Arabia's public investment VC arm

Took a very interesting PR step of listing

Their funds that they have backed and it's a significant list

everybody from Andreessen Horowitz to koto not surprising there and

Mark Andreessen and Ben Horowitz and

Adam Newman had a major keynote at a

Saudi

Startup conference. I was actually asked to keynote the next one in riyadh, which I'm debating doing and then

In sync with that happening at the same time there has been a debate of should

LPs in America

Be backing

firms like sequoia china matrix china etc because those firms are now backing open ai competitors and

If we believe ai is the big race here

Should we as a country we don't we're not allowed to to back military stuff obviously in these countries, but

How do we on this global?

Chest board decide should we be taking money from saudi?

Should we be investing money in ai startups in china?

So I think traumatic. You've got a big perspective here globally. Let's start with you

Two separate issues any surprises here by saudi the kingdom actually releasing the list of who they're backing and why would they do that at this

point in time and then

Us investing in china because we are at a moment here across roads

I think of should we be engaging or not engaging and building bridges with china and saudi

You know for obvious reasons look Saudi Arabia Abu Dhabi all of the UAE

These are countries that are really important on the world stage and increasingly so because they manage peace and prosperity

Regionally now right they have huge balance sheets that can accelerate all kinds of projects all around the world

And so they have to be taken seriously and so this is a very smart marketing move

By the pif which is to essentially say look, we are an established blue chip LP

Of the blue chip organizations that you're used to hearing about and celebrating

And I think that's very smart of them because what it does is it reinforces the feedback loop that Other great firms should be going to them to raise capital when it comes time for them to raise their N plus first fund and so I suspect especially now

It makes even more sense because everything we've heard Friedberg mentioned in a couple of episodes ago

The United States limited partner market is essentially closed for business. They have

Huge misallocation problems. The endowments are sort of closed. The universities are closed. A lot of the family offices

Are licking their wounds?

And so this is a perfect time for folks in Saudi Arabia and the UAE to basically put the foot on the gas and basically tell everybody

Hey, we are open for business

So I think that that makes a lot of sense

And I think that it'll be successful. It'll work, especially in a moment now where

US dollar flows from US dollar limited partners are

very difficult and

Harder to come by

Well, and we're also selling billions of dollars in weapons to the kingdom

And we are a major partner there are valuable. They're a valuable security partner of the United States. They're a valuable economic partner in the United States

It's no different than doing business with any other country. I think it's smart by the pif on the other thing though with us firms investing in

Chinese AI, it should not be allowed. And I do think that

The folks that are responsible for sypheus need to get a handle on this

Look, I've done a bunch of deals where I have had to jump through a bunch of sypheus hoops where explain sypheus, please

So basically sypheus is the committee on foreign investment in the United States. Now what that

means

Is if folks want to invest in certain things that are on a list of things and I'll and I'll tell you the things that I've been involved with that

That came under sypheus rocketry

And certain chip technologies

Are so advanced that the United States has very specific rules

That limit the ability for foreign actors to invest in those businesses

And in those situations where a few folks invested beside me in some of these companies

We had to go through a process to get sypheus approval

Before that investment was allowed. Now. What's interesting about that is that's about money coming in

But I do think that the reverse now becomes important because if us dollars are going

To go and see these extremely complicated advanced technologies abroad

especially into the hands of

Countries that are frenemies at best of the United States

I think we have a responsibility to have a point of view on that

And so I think keith rebuy was the one that was very definitive and said this should not be allowed I do think it is so early jason. We talked about this. We're on this curve of fuck around and find out which means

There will be some crazy examples of stuff that are very uncomfortable

Yep, I don't think we want us fingerprints on this stuff being perfected outside of us borders Sacks when we look at the history of engagement with china, maybe we can take a multi-decade globalization perspective here

When you look back on it the engagement with china created so much prosperity so much Interquined dependency iPhones being the the best example possibly. We're selling them in china.

We're making them in china

China loses apple as a customer. That would be absolutely

Devastating for them and it would obviously be devastating for apple as well. So when we look back on that as a general rubric here

Do you think we enabled a competitor?

or we

Avoided future conflict because of the interdependency and where do you sit in terms of thinking about engagement versus

Maybe isolation or something in between those two frenemies best of frenemies, etc

The policy of constructive engagement as it was called 20 something years ago

The the idea behind it was that if we engage with china economically and help make them rich then that they would become more like us

They would somehow turn into a democracy and they would have tremendous gratitude towards us and we'd become friends

It's not the way it worked out. There were people who warned that this was a foolish approach So most notably the realist scholar John Mirshimer at the University of Chicago

Warned back in 2002

That that was not the way this is going to play out if we made china rich they would seek to convert

That wealth into political power

And then they would act the way that all other great powers have behaved throughout human history

Which is they want to dominate their region and they would seek to push the United States out of Asia and the future that he predicted 20 years ago is the future that's come true

And I think the you know all the constructive engagers. I think has some egg on their face now I understand where they're coming from. This is a fundamental

Difference between whether you see the world in economic terms, which is about creating positive sum

Games basically trade or whether you see the world fundamentally in geopolitical terms

Which is about the balance of power, which is more of a zero sum game

Which is about the balance of power, which is more of a zero sum game

And I think that both views they're both extremely important

We want to engage in positive sum relationships that generate more trade and more wealth through the United States at the same time

We have to be aware and concerned about the balance of power

We do not want a number two country

In the world who can rival the United States in terms of power who basically could win a security competition with us

And we certainly don't want a country in the world to be more powerful than us

So I think this is sort of the yin and the yang as geopolitics versus economics

And I think what's happened with China over the last several years is it's flipped

I think we used to see the relationship primarily in positive sum economic terms and now we see it in geopolitical terms and I think there's a lot of firms now

In the United States who haven't embraced this new reality

And to go back to your question about you know, when should a venture capital firm take

Money from a foreign country when it should and I think there's a very simple

Rule for this which is if the country is a u.s. Ally

I think it's fair game because the u.s. Has said this is a partner of ours

So why can't you do business with them? But if the United States government has said this is an adversary

You're putting yourself in a really difficult precarious spot

By doing business with them because then you have to explain yourself to the u.s. Government Yeah, this is the very simple rule we would use is I don't we would never consider taking money from Russia or

North Korea

Any country that the u.s. Government says is an adversary of ours

But if the u.s. Government says this is a partner in an ally, then I think you can consider it

Do you think there's a way sacks to salvage the the relationship with china and make it productive?

Or do you think it's a far gone conclusion at this point because

One might argue and I've heard people argue this

Maybe china would have invaded taiwan already if it wasn't for the interdependency

So I know we're dealing with you know a lot of we're doing a lot of predictions here

But do you think it could be salvaged and do you think it would have been a worse relationship if We hadn't had this interdependency that's been built up. I'm not quite sure that the economic interdependence theory

Preventing war has been definitively proven if you go back to war war one for example It was the case that

Britain and germany actually were each other's number one trading partners and they still got in world war one

For reasons that in hindsight seem really silly

SO

I'm not sure that economic interdependence can prevent

It certainly doesn't prevent security competitions from arising and and therefore I don't think it can necessarily prevent a war

Although, you know having business ties can lead to positive interactions

So I I'm just saying the jury's still out on that jury

But I think that like I said, I think once you're in a security competition the way that we are with china

I think geopolitics rather than economics is in the driver's seat and that's what's happening right now

Freeberg intellectually way. I think to process this you would never invest in a north korean ai company a russian ai company or

an iranian ai company

How do you think about china and then just generally this topic of when to engage?

When venture capitalists when startups, uh, you know and trade partners should engage with various countries

How do you think about a free bird in what role as an investor?

Well, we could we could take multiple roles here

Founder investor would be the top two for this program. I think

Or taking money from any of those three possibilities very few

Portfolio companies that don't benefit some way from the trade relationship with china So

You know to sax's point. I'm not sure you can really

Say china is a true and complete adversary in the sense that we're on opposite sides

There's obviously deep inter interdependencies. So

You know, it's hard to kind of say

I draw the line at this kind of technology investing there

But I benefit from their technology investing that's going on there in other ways with some of my other businesses

Right. I think that that's really where you kind of run into a

A bit of a conundrum that we do have a deep

interdependency

So, you know like with respect to like investing in china

I don't know. I think the investing in china thing is pretty difficult

Given that there is a single

Power that gets to decide what does or doesn't happen. I mean look at what happened with alibaba A lot of shareholders got pretty wiped out there

these

these governments where you have like

The the potential of getting completely wiped out by government action is a pretty scary place to invest in general

I'd be more oriented as an investor around those concerns than I am about

You know, it's it's really hard to do the calculus on on am I helping or hurting america versus china? You know, you could argue

100 ways each of those sides. What do you think of sax's framework if we're partners?

You know fair game if not partners not a good idea to put your neck out

But we're part. Sorry. Are you asking are you saying like we're not partners with china?

Well, it seems like the u.s. Government has said we're adversaries now and that we're in a pretty dogged competition

Well, jake, I'll just be specific. I'm talking about a situation in which you're taking money from them.

The situation where you're taking money. I do think that selling them products

that are

You know not

Are, you know, not like super strategic like I think selling them our most advanced chips is dangerous

But you know, I look I think if you're selling them products that help restore the trade deficit and correct that

I don't have a problem with that. Yeah, I don't have a problem with

Us selling movies or cars or something like that to china the question is though. I think if you're a venture capital firm

Do you take their money? That's what I'm specifically talking about and I think whether you're allowed to or not

We don't because

We just don't want to have to think about what complications that could cause down the road Also getting your money out of china also a difficult task. It seems these days cash app creator bob Lee

Aka crazy bob on twitter. That was his twitter handle was stabbed to death tragically in san francisco earlier this week

He was squares for our ctm. He worked at google on android

He was the chief product officer at mobilecoin

Also an angel investor in a ton of companies figma space x clubhouse

Well known in the industry officers responded at about 2 35 a.m. To report of a stabbing on the 300 block

Of main street and arrived to find lee who had been taken to a hospital and succumbed to his injuries there

No arrests has been made

a lot of uh, san francisco

Politicians are sending their thoughts and prayers, but obviously san francisco is still

A very dangerous place. It seems any thoughts on this and how it might act as some sort of

Crossroads or not and thoughts and prayers obviously to his family. I think this um

This was a pretty tragic event

There's a lot of people who I knew that were pretty close with him

I got several messages on his passing. He was I didn't know him personally. I think we met maybe once or twice

He worked on android at google and obviously had a key role at square in the early days and Was a pretty impactful and important person, but also supposedly I didn't know him very well again But everyone says just such an incredibly kind and generous person. So

A tragic loss. Um, I used to live two blocks from

Uh, where the the event happened

I'll I'll zoom out. Where is it freeberg? Is it a bad place? It's in soma that ring con center Right by the big condo towers there and that's right where the sales force uh offices used to be And

You know block from the water front for the market area. Is it is a part of all that drug craziness? No, necessarily. No, it's not in the heart of the camping district. It's just a nice area in soma quiet area

Right. So at night, there's no one there. I went to san francisco a few weeks ago

I told you guys I pulled up to a restaurant

On the embarked arrow and I joked with my buddy in the car

I'm like, oh my car is going to get broken into while we're at dinner because I'm parking on the street

We went to dinner 90 minutes later. It came out

Of course my car had been broken into the trunk had been popped up and it's just like

This is the fancy area soma chamath very fancy area soma

Look, here's the thing if you park at a parking meter in san francisco for eight minutes too long You get a 60 to a hundred dollar parking ticket and san francisco has become an upside downtown What I mean by that is I think that like so much of the response that we've had

In the last couple of years to power dynamics and concerns about the powerful having too much influence

Over those who are less powerful who have less influence and who suffer as a result of their demeaned influence

The response has been to turn things upside down

Which is to give those who were lacking in the power structure everything and to try and take everything away from those who are at the top of the power

Structure so if you want to deal drugs in the open air if you want to walk into wallgreens and steal thousands of dollars of goods

And walk out nothing will happen to you because you were embedded with this powerless kind of Position in life

But if you have a car and you park at a parking meter and you say at the parking meter for more than 10 minutes

You get a ticket and the consequences of responding to power dynamics by flipping the power structure upside down is obviously

Can be more negative as we're kind of experiencing. I think acutely in san francisco

But also around the nation and by the way, I think that this applies in a lot of other ways in terms of how we're doing college admissions

In terms of how we're selecting people for jobs in terms of you know recent applications for pilots for doctors where

The assessment is less about

Did the person who was disadvantaged at the beginning of their life or career or trajectory or educational path be given greater opportunity

And greater resources to catch up and to get there or did we just flip the power dynamic upside down and just give them the end point

And as a result there's a massive kind of detriment that I think can't arise and it's not necessarily always the case that it will arise

It is not necessarily the case that selecting someone based on some demographic profiling to be an airline pilot

necessarily means that that airline is more likely to have airplane crashes

but in certain cases when you don't prosecute certain crimes like robberies or

People walking into stores or breaking windows or dealing drugs in the middle of the street or camping on the street

And you fast forward a couple of years that power dynamic the flip of that power dynamic causes the whole town to go upside down

And everyone who's sitting on the bottom ends up becoming a victim themselves

And I think we're starting to see inklings of this in san francisco

We certainly have for years sacks is ranted on about this with respect to some of the non prosecution that's happened historically

And I totally agree with him

On those points and I think that it's come to a breaking point in san francisco

But that's really a beacon for what else is going on and you know, some people call it woke ism I think maybe this notion of woke ism is one small element or segment of the broader issue With how we are tackling with and dealing with embedded power structure issues in this world

With how we are tackling with and dealing with embedded power structure issues in this world today

And the flipping of those power structures upside down doesn't necessarily yield the outcome we all want

And I think we're starting to see reasons why sacks any thoughts here. That's my rant. I can't disagree with you

Yeah, so similar to freeberg. I didn't know bobbly, but I know many people who knew him and I was getting texts and

obviously we feel really bad for

Him his whole family his kids as a father as co-workers friends

We don't know exactly what happened yet

But I think we suspect and I would bet dollars to dimes that the story is very similar to a case We had an LA recently the brianna cup for a case where a young woman was basically stabbed

For no reason by a psychotic homeless person who had been through the revolving door of the jail and criminal justice system

Who could have been locked up who was arrested multiple times that was not kept locked up Because of this push for decarceration

And you can argue that maybe it'd be better for that person to be in mandatory treatment

Or in a even a maybe a mental asylum, but this idea of just releasing these people onto the street I just think is an outrageous abdication of responsibility by

Our elected officials who run the criminal justice system who pass our laws and the thing I just wish is that I could lock

for 24 hours

The the people like our supervisors or our governor or the people who basically make these laws or the people who are pushing

For decarceration of these violent offenders by these nonprofits

I wish I could lock them up in a room for 24 hours

With the people that they think are safe

To release on our streets

Let's see if they really would take that test

Because it seems to me that these these elected leaders and these nonprofits who are pushing for these outcomes

they

Are setting loose on us a predatory

criminal or psychotic element

That jeopardizes our safety and makes these cities unlivable

And we should not tolerate that and quite frankly the responsibility goes beyond those elected leaders

It goes to all the voters as well because we keep putting up with this

And where was our governor when this happened?

He was in florida doing some sing-along at some high school where he was trolling

Ron DeSantis because DeSantis has taken on dei at that school

So that's where newson was and he's extremely popular in california fighting culture wars instead of saving culture wars in a distant state

instead of basically

Fixing the criminal justice system in california

It's even worse than that because he's actually shut down two prisons and released lots of people So where is the push for criminal justice reform in california?

I'm protecting the citizenry

And until the voters in san francisco in california start demanding this there's never going to be a change

And at the same time start listening to gary tan

You know just vote for who are the gary tan tells you to vote for okay. I think it's a probably good I can't disagree and this the supervisors seem to control a lot of this and

Uh chumath shared just this week. Mayor francis suarez is talking about on his twitter the reduction in homicide

shootings

and they have literally counted the

If you want to say

A drug addicted mentally ill homeless

There's obviously three or four different things going on here when you look at the population that's living on the street

Some number of them down on their luck some number mentally ill some number

Addicted to drugs and some number a combination of those things

He seems to be getting it done in miami

and

You know other states seem to and other cities seem to have gotten this under control

Is there any hope for san francisco chumath or is this just going to take five or ten years to bottom out?

I mean it takes regime change

I think new york

Had a long period of lawlessness

Where people were afraid to walk down the streets. It took a handful of mayors to draw a hard line in the sand

to increase policing

Sometimes to introduce some pretty controversial concepts at the time

We're at the time that we're supported which now seem controversial. You're talking about stop asking frisk

I think it was called the broken windows theory of policing

Yep, take care of the wearer

Take care of the little things so that the little things don't compound into the big things

But whatever you believe needs to get done

I think it's pretty clear that what is being done isn't working

And so the real question is

Can people see through

The naked partisanship to agree that this is not working

And sadly what I would tell you guys is that I don't think

They're there yet

and the reason is because america is the most divided it's ever been

especially on issues of race and social justice and

social norms

and I think that crime

Has gotten caught and painted with that brush

which means that the idea of very

Aggressive policing and safety

Are now viewed as opposite and antithetical to social justice

And I don't know how that happened

But the result of it is this which is these folks will never agree that this is not working

And you'll have to go through

Recall election after recall election and even then it's not going to be enough because the smart politicians will say

What they want

in terms of like

safety matters

But then a lot of voters will vote the opposite the example in chicago that david brought up earlier is really interesting because

It was essentially a social justice candidate versus a law and order candidate through their democratic ranks

And the social justice candidate won the progressive candidate won and the person that wanted to tax

Businesses and individuals won and the person that wanted to sort of focus on law and order lost So what does that say it says that we are still in a moment where we can't agree on what is important

Yeah, that's really scary. And so I think you

Kind of have to unfortunately vote with your feet if you are lucky enough to do so

I think that's the key. Yeah

Who's left over or a lot of people who are not in a position to just up and leave

And then they are unfortunately left behind

tragic situation

all around

And I will never host a conference

or any event in san francisco until this is solved because I um

When people ask us to do events. I'm like

People don't want to come to san francisco. They're afraid so I do my events in napah or in san mt Nat nat started a

Bilingual school italian english that is on the ib system

International black calorie system and it's a sister school to a school in the city

We had a fundraiser which was literally right downtown in that encampment area

And when I pulled up I was like is this for real? It's an open-air drug market where

Folks are doing drugs selling drugs right in front of you

They're passed out completely incapacitated about a third of the guys are wearing balaclavas

So you can't identify them. You have no idea what they look like

I grew up in brooklyn in the 70s and 80s when it was legit dangerous and

When I walk in san francisco it feels much more dangerous

Than that crazy era it feels random

It doesn't feel like there's organized crime gang crime

Like I grew up in a pretty crappy neighborhood and you knew who the gangs were

You knew who the tough guys were you knew how to avoid trouble

They didn't come and randomly come and stab you to death

Right and so yeah jason you become street smart growing up in a culture like that because you know how to avoid it

You know how to be alert. This doesn't feel like that. This is just like

A bad roll of the dice and you could get stabbed to death just walking down the street that does not Where where are the politicians to stop this?

Yeah, I mean they don't care. There's a the level of corruption in san francisco is unbelievable. The incompetence amongst those supervisors the mayor the da's everybody. It's just incompetence and nobody has

The hutzpah the wherewithal to say enough and I think the other group to blame

Are all the rich people and powerful people who just haven't been active in politics and I know some of us have

In different ways, but I think it's going to take a coordinated effort by people who really care to vote out all these supervisors and bring in

It's got to be regime change

And I just don't know if there's the wherewithal to do because every time

As a person who has some means or is successful in some way that you stick your neck out there like you have done sacks

The the attacks that you will get from this insane

I don't want to even use the word woke. I think it's a different derangement

I think it's actual corruption where they're making so much money

Offer of this homeless industrial complex. They're getting paid so much money that the grift is so deep

That they are going to fight for this and it's going to take some really courageous people

Like gary tan and maybe david sacks and other folks to back a slate of people

To change this and we need people to run for government who are brave and who want to put their neck out there and say

Enough is enough. We're going to police the city. I just don't know if it uh, it's going to happen. All right, listen

Yeah, I mean the the issue is that it takes it takes more than one election

So listen, I think we made a positive change by removing chase of boudine. I think brook jenkins

Has the right attitude. She cares about victims. I think she wants to prosecute

The issue is that you've got a police department that's 50 of the number

Of officers that they want because they flirted with this whole defund the police movement

You've got the board of supervisors and you've got led an oversight board

On the police that basically make their jobs harder and it's not it's not one election because

Even the mayor doesn't control it because the board of supervisors really has all the power in san francisco

So they take a job that really should be one or two people's jobs like the da like the mayor and they break it up into

this like board of supervisors where

You've now got to be familiar with

A dozen different races in order to effectuate a change. Well, the machine knows how to do that

But the average citizen doesn't so they make it really hard to effectuate change

But there are groups that are springing up

in san francisco like grow sf and you know people like gary

Who are on top of it and that's why just follow them and and
Vote for their recommendations because they're actually cracking how to make a difference
All right, I think on that we will wrap
up for the dictator trimoth polyhapitia the rayman david sacks
and the sultan of science
for a veda freeberg
I am the world's greatest moderator. We'll see you at the all-in summit 2023
Besties are gone
We should all just get a room and just have one big huge orgy because they're all
It's like this like sexual tension, but they just need to release somehow
We need to get merchies