This episode is brought to you by Sundays for Dogs, ultra high quality dog food without the prep or mess. I want to give my pooch Molly the best of everything. This is especially true when it comes to the ingredient quality of her food. I've tried tons of different options, but most healthy dog foods are an expensive frozen mess. I've tried them. It's a disaster. They're pain in the ass to thaw and serve and the prep work eats up time. I'd rather spend hiking with Molly, let alone the cleanup. So there's a lot that goes into it. And I was looking for other options. Sundays for Dogs solves my problem with air dried, high quality dog food I can store and pour right from my pantry,

no mess at all. The magic behind Sundays for Dogs is in their proprietary air drying method. To lock in nutrients, they gently dry the meat low and slow. And unlike other brands, which are filled with hyper processed grains and synthetic vitamins and so on, Sundays for Dogs only uses all natural poultry and USDA grade beef. Meat makes up 90% of their recipes. The other 10%

are fruits and veggies, ingredients you'd find at the farmer's market, not at a pharmacy. Sundays for Dogs and I've worked out a special deal just for you, my dear listeners, get 35% off of your first order of Sundays for Dogs by going to Sundays, that's plural, SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or by using code Tim at checkout, that's SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or by using code Tim Tim at checkout. One more time, that's SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or code Tim at checkout. Upgrade your pup to Sundays for Dogs and feel great about the food you feed your best friend. This episode is brought to you by Shopify, one of my absolute favorite companies, and they make some of my favorite products. Shopify is the commerce platform revolutionizing millions of businesses worldwide, and I've known the team since 2008 or 2009. But prior to that, I wish I had personally had Shopify in the early 2000s when I was running my own e-commerce business.

I tell that story in the four hour work week, but the tools then were absolutely atrocious, and I could only dream of a platform like Shopify. In fact, it was you guys, my dear readers, who introduced me to Shopify when I polled all of you about best e-commerce platforms around 2009, and they've only become better and better since. Whether you're a garage entrepreneur or getting ready for your IPO, Shopify is the only tool you need to start, run, and grow your business without the struggle. Shopify puts you in control of every sales channel. Doesn't matter if you're selling satin sheets from Shopify's in-person POS system, or offering organic olive oil on Shopify's all-in-one e-commerce platform. However, you interact with your customers, you're covered. And once you've reached your audience, Shopify has the internet's best converting checkout to help you turn browsers into buyers. Shopify powers 10% of all e-commerce in the United States, and Shopify is truly a global force as the e-commerce solution behind Allbirds, Rothes, Brooklyn, and millions of other entrepreneurs of every size across more than 170 countries. Plus, Shopify's award-winning help is there to support your success every step of the way if you have guestions. This is Possibility Powered by Shopify. So check it out. Sign up for a \$1 per month trial period at Shopify. That's S-H-O-P-I-F-Y. Shopify.com slash Tim. Go to Shopify.com slash Tim to take your business to the next level today. One more time, all lowercase Shopify.com slash Tim. No question.

Hello, boys and girls, ladies and germs. This is Tim Ferris, and welcome to another episode of The Tim Ferris Show. This is an old-school Tim Ferris conversation in the sense that we go all the way back to many of the tools, many of the principles from the four-hour work week, and that includes virtual assistance, delegation, processes, massive elimination, minimalism for maximum leverage, all sorts of great things. And this time, I was in the student seat because my guest today has mastered, I think it is fair to say, so many facets of everything I just mentioned, and it was a pleasure to take so many notes myself to follow up on. His name is Sam Corkos. You can find him on Twitter at Sam Corkos, C-O-R-C-O-S. Sam is the CEO and co-founder of Levels, an A16Z-backed startup that shows you how food affects your health using continuous glucose monitors and other biosensors. Fascinating company, incredibly, incredibly practical technology, easy to use, easy to learn from, changes your behavior, changes your life. Check out Levels at levelshealth.com. But first, please enjoy this incredibly practical, incredibly tactical conversation with Sam Corkos. Sam, nice to see you.

You too.

And I've been looking forward to this because I know how organized and systematic you are, and for people who didn't see what came before this, I asked you, as I asked many of my guests, what would make this a homeowner time well spent? And you said, well, I know that is one of the questions you like to ask based on my research. So let me open my notebook and you had answers. And one of them that we can mention is an ambitious goal, but I think it's an achievable goal, which is to make this one of the most comprehensive tactical guides to delegation. And within that, there'll be a lot of process and I have a lot to learn. But let's begin at the beginning. When did you start taking delegation seriously? Well, so true story. It came from reading your book almost exactly 10 years ago. And I posted an ad in Craigslist for an EA. I had nothing for her to do. I just knew from reading your book that this is a skill that I need to develop. I ended up hiring Lori, who's been working with me now for 10 years. She showed up. I thought, okay, what do we do now? What now? What now? I made it my mission to find things that I was doing that I could hand off to her. And some of the most common things that Lori does for me now are probably the most helpful one is during the course of the year, when I see something that makes for a really good Christmas present for somebody, I'll just send her a note and say, hey, get this for my brother for Christmas. And then, you know, November comes around and there's the scramble to think like, oh man, what do I get for Christmas? And she says, well, you already purchased these 11 things for all these people. It's like, oh, cool. Fantastic. Yeah, she wraps them and she sends them. So it's pretty easy. Problem solved. Yeah. So for our work week, Craigslist, Lori, and 10 year anniversary, pretty remarkable on a whole lot of levels. When you first began working with Lori, what were some of the mistakes that you made? Or if this is easier, broadly speaking, because you've seen so many examples of people attempting to delegate, you've seen the good, the bad, and the ugly, you've tried a lot of different things. But what are some of the more common mistakes that people make? Or if we take a step back, why people don't delegate in the first place, perhaps? I've seen a lot of them. I think probably the first and simplest one is people have tried and had a bad experience. And a lot of it comes from a lack of experience from the person who is doing the delegating. But sometimes it's just a bad match. We work with a lot of EAs at levels and

we probably have to rematch maybe 30% of our of our EAs just because there wasn't a fit. And a specific example of that was Zach, our head of legal, we paired him with an EA, really didn't see any improvement there. And so we asked him how it's going. He said, it's fine. Everything's fine. It's fine. Exactly. So we decided, all right, let's rematch. Maybe we'll find somebody who had a legal background in the Philippines. So rematch, just so I'm clear on terms, doesn't mean that you're matching that person with another person in the organization. It means let's find an alternate option. Let's just find a different person to be your EA. A whole new process, different people, different background. And then the second time around, it was night and day difference. She understood all the terminology and his output easily doubled and his stress levels just really dropped. He was able to manage his time, had way more time for deep work, and it really just improved his satisfaction. So I would say that's a big one, is just don't, if you have one bad experience, don't assume that you can't have a good one. Other reasons, one is imposter syndrome is another one. I'm reminded of a recent conversation I had where somebody was struggling to delegate. And one of the things they said is, well, who am I to tell them to do this task that I don't want to do? And I find reframing is usually a good way to do it. One would be, if I delegated this to you, how would you feel? And they said, well, I'd be really great because it shows that you trust me enough to do this task. They said, well, by not delegating this to that person, you're actually stunting their career growth, and you're not giving them that opportunity to prove themselves. And so those sorts of reframes can be helpful. That's another very common one. I have a couple more notes here. So I want you to refer to the notes I want to plan a seed, which is where we're going to go shortly. So I'd like to zoom in, zoom out, just to talk about some of the things that make levels different, right? Some of the characteristics that make the company different in terms of process, tools, principles, etc. So we don't have to go there right now, but what other line items might you have? Yeah. So another one is people feel like they don't have enough for a full-time person to do. I feel like this is very, very common. It's very common. They're like, what would I even have them do? And usually when you really push them and they finally get one, they say, why have I been waiting so long? This is so much better. But a big part of it is, remember that they are working for you to help you be more productive. And where a lot of people struggle is they end up creating busy work when it's really not useful for either person to be doing work that is not adding value. So if you find yourself in that situation, just say, hey, I only have 20 hours of work this week, read these books, take a vacation, something that they can do, it's like, hey, do anything else other than create more work for me. That's really the way that the relationship needs to go in order for that to be effective. Are there any, we're going to bounce around a lot and we are going to zoom out to the company level in a second, but read these books. Are there any books that you strongly recommend or require as reading for two groups, people in the company, so employees, and then EAs of people who work in the company or your EAs? We don't have a required reading list for the EAs. We have a strongly recommended reading list for a lot of people at the company. What does the strongly recommended reading list look like? So we actually, we have a team book club every month where we read these books and we've been around long enough to where we've recycled some of them and they've come back up. Some that

really

come up a lot are No Rules Rules, which is the book on Netflix culture. 15 Commitments of Conscious

Leadership is another one, which I know you're familiar with. Yes, indeed. Another one that I know you're familiar with is Nonviolent Communication, which really should just be required reading for

all people. For all humans. Yeah. I have found one sticking point with that book is the title. I recommended it to a friend who had the exact situation that this book was meant to solve and he said, well, the problem I'm dealing with doesn't involve any violence. It's like, no, no, no, it's not the point. It's really, it's about non-threatening communication. It's about how do you have these conversations without triggering that fight or flight mentality? Great framework, not the best brand idea. If you were, I'm going to explore this a little bit more and there may not be much to explore, but if you were to have your EA's read books that you think would aid them in their capacity in being a remover of obstacles, a smoother and creator of process, perhaps. Are there any that would come to mind? Maybe those same books? Who knows? It would probably be something process oriented.

Like the checklist manifesto. Yeah, exactly. Something like the checklist manifesto would be a really good choice for that where it's like thinking about process.

I've been thinking about rereading that myself. I actually had that book face out on one of my bookshelves for several years just to remind me,

keep it simple, stupid, like you don't need to. It doesn't need to be improv jazz every day.

Definitely. It's a short read too. It is a short read. All right, so company level levels.

What are some of the things that might surprise people out there who have image A of what a startup or company looks like?

It would probably be a lot of things. We're trying some pretty radical experiments in organizational design. For example, we're building in public. All of our investor updates from the beginning of the company from day one are public on our website. All of our team all hands weekly are public on our website. We're super transparent. There's a phrase that we took, I think this might be from Netflix, which is treat people like adults.

I would say a lot of our values as a company are really

downstream of treat people like adults. When thinking about company culture, what that means, I really think that it means it is the set of assumptions you can make about the other people around you that you work with without having ever interacted with them before. If you can make the assumption that they are not going to gossip, that they are going to close the loop on your communication so you don't have to set reminders for yourself. Give me an example of that.

Yeah, so closing the loop would be something like when you deliver something, you then send them a message saying, I completed this task.

And so it just, the more of these open loops you have where you feel like,

hey, did you ever finish that thing?

Exhausting. It's exhausting. Exactly. And so just closing the loop on that. And some of these are very simple.

So the assumption is people will carry something to completion once you have assigned it. A couple of questions just on those two as examples. So I feel like treating people like adults is one thing, but there are a lot of gossipy, mean spirited, unreliable adults in the world. So probably like mature, competent adults, right? And are there tools that you use to remove some of the need to have follow up, or is it just assumed that people will have their own set of tools like an Asana or something else? I'm just wondering how much the toolkit enables you to help treat others like responsible adults. There are definitely tools that can help. I would say that a lot of it is really just a commitment to following through. Right. These are the expected outcomes slash responsibilities slash commandments of being responsible of the adult. This is just table stakes for the culture that we're building here. Yeah. Like we have a memo internally, which is I think also published externally. Most of our strategy memos are published externally, but it says that a lack of communication is a lack of performance. And this is something that I think a lot of people mistake is they say, while I did my job, I just didn't communicate well. They say, well, you know, sure, I can always improve my communication and they feel like it's not an important thing. And we've really taken that to say, okay, well, your communication is part of your performance. So I don't care how well you think you did on this task. If you failed to communicate it while it was being done, and you failed to close the loop to let the people know that it was done, who you were accountable to, that is a failure of performance, not a failure of communication as like a separate category. It's like an ancillary minor component. It is an introvert part of the entire puzzle of performance. For sure. And I would actually add to the tools for the gossipy part as well, that we record all of our meetings. So there's just a setting on Zoom, you can set at the organization level, just default record. And so we have that on. And we tell people it's default record, not mandatory record everything. But over time, people get used to it, and you just record more things. How do you explain that to them? We have a memo. Yeah. I think Bridgewater did this. Ray Dalio's outfit, although he's not operationally involved much anymore, I don't think. But what was the in the memo, what was the aist

of explaining why it's not a surveillance state? Yeah. Or the reason for it being a surveillance state. Yeah, the gist of it is that the intent of recording is that it is way easier to just get first person information. So if, let's say, me and an engineer have a call, and we're working through a problem, and somebody else also needs context on it, your options are, okay, every person who could conceivably need this information needs to be in this meeting in real time right now. Or you translate in some clumsy fashion and things get lost. Or here's the record. Or here's the record. This is literally what we said at the time that we said it. There's no misinterpretation. There's no anything. It's just it is what was said at the time it was said. And there have been many, many times where that has been a useful resource. We've actually taken this a step further recently, where we now default share all meetings, including one-on-ones. That doesn't mean that you're required to. If something comes up, if you say something you didn't mean to say, this is not meant to be like a gotcha thing. If you say something and you say, hey, I don't want this shared, then you don't have to share it. There's a process for auto-sharing

that you can just stop one of these recordings from being shared. It's totally fine. What is the logic on the one-on-ones being recorded? So the one-on-ones have been recorded for a long time. The recent change, which was the sharing, it's because the intent is that it's a forcing function to prevent gossip. One of the things that we took from Netflix is whenever somebody says something to somebody else in maybe a one-on-one context where it feels like gossip about somebody

else, the auto response you're supposed to give is, oh, well, what did they say when you told them about that directly? And if you don't get a good answer, that's a problem. And they have for at least what I've read in the book, enough antibodies in their organization to prevent that from becoming a commonplace. The nice thing about recordings is that it is the forcing function. That one-on-one, unless you say otherwise, is going to be shared with the team. And many times, the EAs will tag people who are in related in the conversation

and you'll get to see. Gossip is what I would maybe describe as chronic inflammation of an organization. And it turns chronic inflammation into acute inflammation, which is, hey, what the hell? I heard what you said in this meeting. What was that about? And you say, wow, you're right. I'm sorry. Here's the chapter on clearing conversations and 15 commitments of consciousness leadership. Exactly. Let's roll up the sleeves and get this over with. Exactly. And those things can become chronic problems for months, even years in some cases. And at a minimum, if you end up getting to the end of the conversation and you say, hey, can we not share this conversation? Because I feel like I need to talk to person X about some of the things that I said here before they see this. At a minimum, it's a forcing function for that. So it's really helpful to just create those reinforcement mechanisms.

So for people listening, we are going to come back to delegation and many aspects of delegation, but all of these things tie together. They are interdependent pieces.

And I feel like they're also as low as I am to use this word, but I do use it occasionally,

Let's talk about one tool and neither of us have any vested interest in this company or this tool, Lume, because I had used Lume occasionally and then I saw your use and your company use of Lume,

which is synergistic. These have a multiplicative effect in some respects.

which took it to levels I could not even previously imagine. So how is this used? And maybe then you can just touch on a few other tools and then we're going to come back to EAs and I think we'll probably start with sourcing and then move on to better delegation. But from a tool perspective, let's start with Lume, what it is, how you use it, and then maybe you can mention a few others. Lume is probably the most important business enablement tool of the last five years, certainly in my experience. It's a very simple tool, which is it's a low friction way to record your screen and then some sort of an async message. You have a picture of yourself, you can record your screen, and it is really easy to record a Lume. And it is ready to share pretty much instantaneously when you finish recording. So there's no lag time for uploading something to a sharing service. Totally. And what's interesting about using this sort of tool is that anything that is in the form of content scales effectively infinitely in a way that in-person time or phone calls do not. Content scales time does not. It's

pretty simple calculation. But what's interesting is there's a mental shift that needs to happen for people where it reminds me of in the early days of radio, you maybe know some of the history of this, the first radio programs were just theater plays read out loud on the radio because they didn't know what to do with this new medium. It made no sense. And then eventually they figured out instead of copying our old ways, we can create new patterns that amplify this even more. And I think this is where we are with a lot of these remote work tools. Whereas in the past, pair programming was two people sit behind each other and you watch each other code and you talk and you're doing it at the same time. Or you have a really good programmer who's just doing his normal workflow recording on a Lume and he's just narrating what he's thinking while he's doing it. And then the other person can watch it at two and a half X. They can pause it. They can rewind. They can take notes. You don't have to do this live. It's a piece of content. And then every engineer at your company forever into the future can see the same piece of content. And so there was a really interesting example recently where I shared something with somebody externally and they were commenting on like this is a failure because only 40 people watched this and they said, this was an internal meeting. Could you imagine like if we had an internal meeting with 40 people in it, you would say like that was a lot of information being transmitted. But there's this cognitive thing of like, well, if it's a piece of content, it needs to get like a million views or it's a failure. Right. Yeah. So just a curiosity, if you're open to saying, and you're open to saying a lot, but I understand if it's not everything, what was the loom of the 40 person meeting that was shared externally or why was it shared externally? The loom that was shared was one of our Friday forums. It was a What is a Friday? Oh, sorry. That's our team all hands. We do it every week on Fridays. And how large is the team now? The team's 40, 50 people. 40, 50 people. And so many people show up live so they don't watch the recording. So that's fine. And then some people watch it after the fact. We also have a number of all of our memos. We also use Notion pretty religiously. Once you learn how to use the Notion database features, they're actually extremely powerful in the amount of leverage you can get from it. All of our memos have a summary loom at the top, any sort of meaningful memo. And so somebody will do a five minute walkthrough of something that they wrote. And many people just watch those looms. So that's another thing where people can share. What is the format or the agenda for the Friday forum? Every meeting obviously has some intent. And I think it's important where a lot of people fail is they lose the intent of the meeting. We even explicitly state this at the beginning of our Friday forums, which is this is the place where we celebrate the wins of that week. The first few minutes are highlights of the week. Then we have usually a special guest, which is oftentimes one of our members from the company who's had some really positive experience using our product. Then we do highlights from maybe the growth team, maybe highlights from the product team. So the goal is to have people feeling really good about the things that were accomplished during that week. And how long is that? It's typically an hour. And we do at the end, maybe 20 minutes of personal updates, like personal highlights from the week. And just for disambiguation for folks who may not be familiar with the company, members, you mean customers. And then if we double click on both Loom and Notion again, because I really feel and I have

double, triple, quadrupled my use of Loom. I have a couple of specific questions. Where have people been doubtful employees of using Loom? And I think you gave me, and we can always clip anything out

of this interview, right? But an example of a videographer, a video editor at one point, which I think is a good example. So maybe you could give that. And how do you make these easily searchable and findable? Because what I find is I use Loom most often in a one off capacity, say running through a Google Doc, and I don't want to add 75 different comments. So I will use that as a way to give my verbal feedback and next actions saves a ton of time, or at least a ton of my time. So I'd love to know perhaps some use cases, which will challenge what people might perceive as what is possible or not possible with a tool like Loom. And then I'd love for you to say a little bit more about why you use Notion instead of other options, like what the use case predominantly. And I'm speaking of someone who doesn't really use databases. I don't really use spreadsheets much. I probably should, but I just don't at this point. I think one of the things with Loom, part of the assumption is that one of the rules that we really try to push people for is only ever do one take. Just do one take. Because so many people, when they start using it as a tool, they stumble and say, oh man, I have to rerecord it. And they'll do eight takes for something that really did not need to be. And so we really try to push people towards, if you're in a meeting and you stumbled over your words, nobody would care. And they also don't care that you did it in a Loom. So just relax. It's fine. A lot of my Looms, I have multiple minutes in a row of pausing, of just thinking, what would I do here? And then in people's minds, it's like, oh my god, this is so uncomfortable. I'm on a recording and there's this empty space. What are they going to be thinking? But if you're in a meeting, that would be totally normal. And so one of the things that we do during our onboarding, I believe it's now week three of our one month onboarding for new

employees is the entire week, third week is async week, where you are only allowed to do verbal and video recordings for communication. You're not allowed to write anything, you're not allowed to type anything. So if you want to say, hey, great job, you have to record an audio note, and you have to send that, or you have to do a Loom of yourself saying, hey, that was good work. Thank you. And then that's it. And just get used to that. How do they send the audio note? So Loom also has an audio feature. I see, audio only. Yeah, if you, I think it might only be on mobile, but you can do an audio note on Loom mobile. And yeah, it's really, really uncomfortable for people. This is why the forcing function was we had to make this part of onboarding because it was so strange for almost everybody. So what would you say to folks, and then maybe we'll talk about the video example as another training tool? But what would you say to people who have the visceral reaction of, I'm very fast at reading, video and audio memos are really slow. And I saw this meme going around with this guy standing on the street corner with this big sign over his head, sort of like someone who would ask for money, but it said, no, I will not listen to your three minute voice memo. So how would you address that concern that this is just going to end up taking more time during, say, an async week? And maybe that's okay, because you're really just trying to force people to get used to working asynchronously. But what are your thoughts? I mean, that's definitely the case. There's no perfect medium. The medium matters, depending on the message that you're trying to communicate. And so long form memos should be in writing.

Because

you're going to skip over, you're going to find the parts that are relevant. Writing is a tool for thought. And doing these audio notes is a different tool to convey different types of information. And so I think the short answer is you should use the right tool for the job. A lot of people find that what they use loom for would be instead of sitting down at a blank piece of paper, they just record themselves with whatever thoughts they have for what will go into it. They send that to their EA, which maybe today would be ChadGPT, but they send it to their EA who outlines it. And then it's just, it's a lot less painful to come into at least partially outlined piece of work rather than starting from a blank page.

Yeah, the blinking cursor. Yeah.

All right. Would you mind describing, since I've teased it excessively now, but the video editing example, and then how you make things searchable? Because, for instance, I have a very small team, but at one point I was curious as to how people were currently tracking tasks and projects. Yeah. Because people have a good amount of flexibility and their pros and cons to that. We have used a lot of, say, Asana in the past, but some employees work differently and have different approaches. So I wanted them to capture a loom of their flow. And if you were to ask me right now, gun against the head, you have to find all of those looms within the next 30 minutes, I would be concerned for my safety. I might not find them. So the video example, and then how you organize things or naming conventions, maybe I have no idea. Organize these looms such that you can find them later.

So one of the things I would say is that I probably record, in fact, I know this is like, I probably averaged about 10 to 20 looms per day I record. And to be perfectly honest, I maybe share half of them. A lot of them, I just turn it on because maybe I'll want to use that information. It is costless to record a loom. And so if nothing happens, then nothing happens. It costs nothing. It costs me nothing to record it. It costs me nothing to not share it. The searchability, it has not been an issue for at least the looms that I record because they tend not to be things that I would share. They're not to be things that I would come back to. They're more in the moment things. I would be willing to bet, though, that over the course of the next maybe six to 12 months, that as these AI tools continue to get better, search is the most obvious use case for this.

And as far as I can tell in the last, I don't know when this started, maybe a month or two, loom has already begun to auto title your videos based on, I assume, I'm not sure if it's AI, but some type of transcript, exactly.

Yeah. And so there will be a point in the future where you can say, hey, can you find me that video that I did with this specific person where we talked about this? And it'll definitely be able to find it. So I think some of that will just be solved. So my laziness has a shelf life. Yeah, exactly.

Yeah, the technology will catch up and solve my lack of process. I'm exactly. But I will say on the other side, which is the searchability for the recording of tasks, this is what we use notion databases for. So our EA is every process that they do for somebody on our team has its own dedicated page in notion. And that page has a linked database to a much larger database, which is all tasks that any EA has done for us.

And you can connect those two together. There's something called a relation, and you can relate those together, and you can create a sub view within that. So imagine you have a task, that's a recurring task, task A. At the bottom, you can create a linked database that filters only for tasks that are related to that. And so in each of those entries, the EA will include a link to the loom of the date

that. And so in each of those entries, the EA will include a link to the loom of the date that they did the thing. And so if you want to see when's the last time somebody did this task, you can go to that specific task page and you can see, oh, they did it April 26th.

They did it March 3rd. And you can see each time they did it.

And you can click on the recording and you can just watch them do it.

And this is most useful. For one, it's just knowing that it's there.

If you say, hey, did that get sent? Yeah, there's proof of work.

Proof of work. Did that get sent last week? You can go there, you can see that it is,

and it's just reassuring to not have to reach out to them and make sure. The other is whenever there's a bug in the process where this happens often,

somebody gets a message, you're like, that's weird, because why would they get that?

You can look at the process and you can see, oh, because they pulled the information from here and they didn't know that it was actually communicating with them over here.

So they thought it was one of these kinds. And so you can just say, hey,

I saw that you did this, not a big deal. But next time, check both of these sources and see which one's most recent. And then they update the process,

So coming back to EAs and sourcing, how many EAs would you say work with

and you don't have those issues, as opposed to just ambiguously having it fail and not knowing why. Easier to debug video. Oh yeah, so there are so many good examples of this.

I'm open to more examples. There are so many good examples. So one of the specific tactical things that I always recommend for people for getting better at delegating is just workflow recording. And this is really as simple as when you sit at your computer, turn on a loom, and then that's it. Just do your normal work. And a specific example was Tony who runs multimedia for us. He was doing a lot of video editing. And Josh, who does our Friday forums as well, they do this whole process of video editing, of creating the slides, and almost everybody in their own role says, nobody else could do this. It's way too complicated. You have to pull from here, you got to pull from there. And I think almost everybody overestimates the complexity of these tasks. It's really just pattern matching. And if you record your workflow doing that. True for most tasks. Yeah, it's true for most tasks. For multimedia, he was saying, well, how are they going to know what five items from this interview are the most interesting? How are they going to know that? And then how are they going to know to edit and cut those in this particular way? And then it turns out you record yourself doing that two or three times, and then an EA can replicate that with 90% accuracy. And this is with some type of casual running commentary. Yeah. Sometimes not, Ethan. It's just doing it, and just they can just see what you're doing. Okay, well, he went to this place, he went to that place. Almost everybody that does this is surprised at how quickly somebody can match whatever pattern it is that you're doing. There's a lot less secret knowledge than people expect.

you and your employees? I think I personally have four right now that just work from me doing

my tasks. I think we have maybe 20 in the whole company. All right. So what are the options or the better options for sourcing EA talent? And the follow up, because I like to just put things in the hopper. So in gestate, when you talked about rematching, I'd be curious as to how you assess and decide when to kind of keep or cut someone if there's a process for that. I imagine it's not purely subjective feel, but I'd be curious to learn more about that. So sourcing, what some of the better options are, and then how you evaluate.

There are several ways you can go about sourcing. I've always found the best way to do it is to work with an agency. We work with Athena. They're an agency out of the Philippines. They're really the higher end of agencies, and they cost more. And so it really depends on how much you value that. Other options would be you can source them directly, which tend to be cheaper. I think Athena is \$15, \$20 an hour, typically, and you can find talent for \$5 an hour if you source it yourself. How would you source that directly for people who were interested in the lower end? Yeah. So Upwork is a place where people often go to find them. There's also another agency that's they're on the cheaper side, which is Shepherd. My friend Nick is involved with them. They tend to be more like \$5 an hour, but it requires a lot more overhead. So they don't have their own management team. It's really you and them figuring it out as opposed to having more of a structure around it. And Athena came up numerous times for me in the last, I want to say a year and a half, and they were actually very, very helpful for sourcing my new chief of staff, which maybe at some point, whenever it makes sense, we could delineate maybe EA from chief of staff and what those terms mean. And in the case of sourcing through Athena, those are all full-time employees. How many of them are dedicated to one person as opposed to spread across multiple employees? We have this concept of an EA pool where it's just available EA talent for whoever needs a specific task done. Over time, they become more and more dedicated as a specific person needs more consistent resourcing or as context becomes more relevant. We recently shifted one of our EAs to full-time on product support. It was in the EA pool, but we just have enough tasks now in product that it makes sense to have a single person with dedicated context on that. So I don't know the specific numbers. I would guess we probably have 15 dedicated and maybe five in the general pool at this point. Just a quick thanks to one of our sponsors and we'll be right back to the show. This episode is brought to you by AG1, the daily foundational nutritional supplement that supports whole body health. I do get asked a lot what I would take if I could only take one supplement. And the true answer is invariably AG1. It simply covers a ton of bases. I usually drink it in the mornings and frequently take their travel packs with me on the road. So what is AG1? AG1 is a science-driven formulation of vitamins, probiotics, and whole food-sourced nutrients. In a single scoop, AG1 gives you support for the brain, gut, and immune system. So take ownership of your health and try AG1 today. You will get a free one-year supply of vitamin D and five free AG1 travel packs with your first subscription purchase. So learn more, check it out. Go to drinkag1.com slash Tim. That's drinkag1, the number one. Drinkag1.com slash

Last time, drinkag1.com slash Tim. Check it out.

How do you think about, and maybe it's 100% say Athena slash overseas, that type of EA or support staff versus those who are in the U.S. or in other locations?

One of the biggest challenges that often happens overseas is the time zone difference.

The interesting thing about the Philippines specifically is that there's such a large contingent of people who work for U.S. companies that there's effectively a subculture of people who just live like America time zone hours. So that tends to be less of an issue or at a minimum there's significant overlap in lifestyle. I would say that it really depends a lot on what the tasks are that you need. And this actually does, I think, tie into the chief of staff question, which is there's this spectrum of declarative and imperative of what you would expect from somebody. And so declarative being go write a growth strategy. And imperative is here are the tasks that I need you to do consistently over some time period. And there's a spectrum between those two. And a chief of staff is somebody where you say, we have this problem go solve it, where an EA tends to be somebody where it's more consistent tasks like scheduling is a common one, which I actually don't really do. I use Calendly. I think that's something that you can just offload to technology. But it's those sorts of things where it's more, I don't want to say routine, but that might be a better term for it is things that happen. They're also very explicit. They're sort of the discreet, well defined tasks. Exactly. And they tend to be things that are done consistently over time. You really don't want a chief of staff to be stuck. I would describe it more like a gardening role. Chief of staff should not be the person who's doing a lot of the stuff that you're doing that's just sort of keeping the lights on. They should be somebody that you can trust to take on more meaty projects. Or in general, they tend not to be happy if they're in the role where they're stuck doing simple things like preparing meeting notes beforehand. That tends to be something that you would have somebody who's able to do that on a more routine basis. This is one of the learnings that I had working with Laurie is that old saying different strokes or different folks. And working with Laurie for as long as I have, my worst nightmare is being stuck wrapping Christmas presents all day. I could not do it. I find it pretty meditative. No, but I have a very high degree of sort of, I find certain types of monotony very soothing. Find other types infinitely grading. But yeah, different strokes for different folks. Maybe I could do your wrapping. Exactly. And so I'm just relentlessly novelty seeking. I really struggle to do any task more than just a handful of times. I play a lot of board games. I can usually only play a game maybe five or 10 times before I've figured out the strategy, and then it's boring. It's just like a mastery thing of, okay, well, I already know how to win most of the time. And now it's just, how do I get slightly better? So gamers find the good wheel closest to salmon. You'll find a lot of overflow of perfectly good board games. Got it. Okay. How do you choose your board games? Mostly through recommendations. But what are the criteria the recommenders are using before passing to you? Yeah, it's, I really only play complex strategy games. So they tend to be the Euro style games. It tends to be games that if they come recommended from somebody who I know is a very serious board

gamer, then I know it'll be good. So I'm playing a Twilight Imperium on Sunday, which is like a full day long board game. So Sam's recreation, you know, I do love tabletop games. It's something that we could geek out on maybe over dinner, but I'm very into it. I don't want to take us too far off scripts. So we're talking about EA's and Chief of Staff also. I mean, I think the degree to which things overlap. For me, it's always been important that like no task too small,

no task too big in part because the org size is so small. It's like I have three full-time employees. But let's come back to both say onboarding and how you assess performance and decide on pass, fail, go, no, go with EA's. What is the process of vetting and pairing and onboarding look like? Onboarding is super important. People put a lot of time into recruiting. They'll put \$50,000, \$100,000 into hiring somebody. And then as soon as they start, they just like throw them into the deep end and hope for the best. And so having really good onboarding is super important to that attach rate of people really being able to work effectively.

Attach rate is, I guess, is it the same as retention?

Yeah. I mean, however, different companies have different definitions of attach rate. But yeah, it's like the ability to work adequately with an EA. And so I think one of the most important things is setting super clear expectations early. Setting good process really helps as well. Something that we look for is proactivity. Somebody who goes out of their way to reduce your workload instead of somebody who creates work for you.

How do you test for that? Can you test for that in the vetting process or do you have to kind of wait and see? Yeah, you might be able to test for it. This is one of the benefits of working with an agency is... They get to know what you need. Yeah. And specifically, Athena was started by Jonathan from Thumbtack. And his whole thing is 10x delegation. So they have a whole internal training program to try to make them be more proactive as opposed to hiring somebody who is completely fresh and you have to teach them all of these things. So this is the trade-off of time. You can train somebody up and it would be less expensive, but it requires more overhead at the beginning. I think the nature of how you train them and how you set these expectations, one of the best ones... This is another tactic is the playback, which is you set a task and you say, repeat back to me. And this is almost always done in a loom. Repeat back to me how you will do this task. And oftentimes when you're just getting started with somebody, they'll propose how they're going to go about it and it's completely wrong. And that's okay. And you say, okay, that's good to know. This is how I want you to do it. And going forward for these kinds of tasks, I want you to do it over here. And then they repeat it back to you and you say, great, that's right. Is there any type of, I guess, threading for looms? How are you... Because this is all... You do it frequently async. Yeah, it's frequently async. So how are you communicating these zoom... I'm definitely not the first person who's done that. Loom links. So you do it in threads, but it wouldn't be in looms specifically. You do it in emails or we ended up building our own internal communication tool because we're really not happy with Slack. Slack is... It's a slot machine. It is the opposite of what you want in a workplace communication tool. So what do you mean by slot machine? It has the same dopamine loop as Twitter. I see. You feel like you have to compulsively check Slack because it's like, oh, is there a new piece of information that I want to check? And there's a lot of data on this. RescueTime did a study that I think most tech workers can't go more than six minutes without checking their communication tool. And a lot of that is because of Slack. And they're just constantly checking. You're trying to write code, but they have this compulsive need to just check. Oh, there's new information. RescueTime, a little in fact, way back in the day, one of my first ever angel investments. Is that right? 100 years ago. Cool. Yeah, yeah. It's great mission, great mission. I want to talk

about information inputs. This may be a good place for a sidebar on this. So avoiding slot machines,

new sobriety term I've used, which I think is probably quite similar is sort of the low information diet. But what is news sobriety and what does that mean for your day-to-day month-to-month experience? I've been fully news sober for almost 10 years. I read Ryan Holiday's book, Trust Me, I'm Lying, that really frightened me about the state of the media and convinced me this was originally just a one-month experiment. I said, for a month, I'm going to consume no current events in any form. No news, no television, no articles, no social media. And instead, I'm just going to try a one-to-one replacement of reading books during that time period. And I read eight books that month, which is more than I probably read in the previous five years. Everything about my life was better. I physically felt different. I felt less anxious during the day. And it was interesting when you have that separation for long enough. And I have friends who are panicked about something that they heard, and I would find myself asking, but does that matter? And it's like, wow, I would have been in the same frenzy if I was paying attention. And it really doesn't matter. Almost none of these things are important. So how do you, and maybe that is the answer, but I'm curious, since I've received this answer when implementing this to probably a lesser extent, the question of how do you stay informed? Aren't you worried that you're missing important things or some variant of that?

I have my own way to respond to that, but I'm curious how you respond to it. Yeah, I think it is one of the cues of the news industry broadly that they've managed to convince people that watching the news is what responsible citizens do. And much the same way that the orange juice lobby convinced people that orange juice is healthy because it has vitamin C, even though it's really almost indistinguishable from a can of soda. It's just they've convinced us that this is what responsible people do. They read the news, and it's really trash information. I'm usually extremely bad at trivia night, which surprises a lot of people because I read a lot of books, but the reality is that my retort is that I just don't know trivial things, so I'm bad at trivia. It's always, you know, who's Kim Kardashian dating now? I have no idea, and I really don't care. It's like, what place in the world had this thing happen? I don't know. If it was important, it'll eventually end up in a book that I will read, but it is exceedingly rare that an event happens that makes any difference to my day-to-day experience.

So, practically then, and tactically, does that mean you have no social apps on your phone? What are some other features or lack of features that are a consequence of the news sobriety? So, I don't have social apps. I have profiles on a lot of them. I used to have a problem with Twitter, and so I created some buffer, which is I don't have access to my own Twitter account. My EAs have access to my Twitter account. They have my password. If I want to post something, I send it to them, and then they post it, and then I don't get that dopamine feedback loop of, oh my god, X number of people liked my post, because also they don't care. There's no ego attachment from them on how well my post did, and so they will look at my notifications empirically and just say, hey, this might be something that you want to respond to, and they'll screenshot it, and they'll send it to me, and they'll say, hey, do you want to respond? All of my DMs on Twitter are managed by my EAs. All of my LinkedIn messages are managed by my EAs.

What are your rules for managing any of those accounts? What are the criteria for flagging or not flagging? This is another one of the tactical things, which is semi-automation, where there are a lot of things that you can do where people are often afraid to give access to somebody like an EA to manage their social media account, because they think what if they post something, or what if they do X, but you can always semi-automate, which is they take a screenshot, and then they propose what they think you should do, and they'll say, I propose that you just like this tweet, or they'll say, I propose you respond with this message, or I propose that you respond with this message, and over time, you get better and better at teaching them what is like, you can just ignore that one, just delete it, or you say, oh, yeah, I actually know this person from this other place, which you can find in this other category of information, and I tend to respond with something like this, and over time, they get better to the point where I'm probably at like 95% of the messages, I just say yes. It's very, very simple, but I don't trust them enough for them to 100% fully automate all responses to things, but their proposed recommendations are generally good enough now to where I just say yes to most things. I want to highlight something, and you can correct me if I'm getting this wrong, I don't want to misrepresent. Well, I'll speak for myself, that I think it is frequent for people who want to maximize or optimize, fill in the blank, to look for areas where they can remove or reduce friction, but the opposite is really valuable. Where can you add friction such that your lesser self doesn't hijack your behavior? That would be a good example. And I don't have any social on my phone right now except for Instagram, because I used to interact with a handful of my friends, but by and large, that is not a part of my life. It is used for mostly broadcaster communication, but it's largely one direction. And then someone on my team similarly will highlight sort of notable or interesting messages that I might want to respond to, and then they would typically respond on my behalf when I draft, because I do not have high degree of confidence that my willpower can overcome teams of data scientists and gamification. I'm bringing a knife to a gunfight, so I'd rather just not go to the gunfight in the first place. Let's come back to onboarding for a second, repeat back to me how you'll do this task, and then you can iterate on that as an example. What are some other practices or mistakes either that you've seen in onboarding or

checklist in Notion. We have a template, we copy it for each new person that joins, and they have a set of tasks that they do each day. It's pretty well guided. I can share the template with you if you're curious. That'd be amazing. I would love that. Is this for all employees or EA specifically? All employees. Okay. And there is a video of me at the start of each week, it's a loom where I specifically say, Hey, at this point, people usually want to skip onboarding

is people really want to jump into it immediately. Now, by people, do you mean the people who joined? Yeah. Who joined? They want to jump into it immediately, and we have an onboarding

ways that you guys have refined your approach to onboarding? Because, I'll speak for myself, personally, I've become, I think, much better at hiring over time, especially in the last few years. I still think almost certainly I'm very mediocre on onboarding, and there are a hell of a lot more

books on hiring than there are on onboarding. Totally. And I've read a bunch of books on

hiring, very little said about onboarding, generally. Yeah, I think the biggest thing

and start jumping into their tasks. Don't do that. It's always a mistake. Really take onboarding seriously. Our onboarding process is a full month, and we don't expect people to start producing for a month. It really does take that long for a lot of people to get fully up to speed, and we help guide them in more slowly. Read these books, read this documentation that we have about how we built our culture, especially for our case, because the way that we operate is very different than a lot of people's previous experiences. And so it's pretty jarring when you see a lot of the transparency of when your first one-on-one gets published to the rest of the company, it's pretty jarring. And so we try to ease people into these things. You know, it's also going to be jarring as if you become a public company CEO. Things will have to change a bit. Yeah, probably. But yeah, continue. Sorry. That's a drop. That's true. And over time, people get used to it over the course of about a month. I think the biggest thing is the cultural assimilation. In our case, has been the biggest hurdle over the course of onboarding, is getting people reading the memos, practicing some of the things. One of the cultural values that we have is everything's written in pencil, but also you can change things here. And one of the things that we do is at the end of onboarding, everybody is required to update the onboarding process for something that was out of date, and then post to a channel confirming what they changed and just giving a list of what they changed. And it's pretty weird for people, especially those who come from larger companies, like when they've had, you know, the same onboarding process that the company's had for 20 years, and then they go in the actual files and edit it themselves. I'm a new employee. Is there always stuff out of date or do you throw in like favorite with an OU just to see if people catch it? There's always something out of date. There are maybe 50 items in this. And sometimes we deprecate an old memo and replace it with a new one. Or there's some new piece of information that came about that people add in. This ties into

one of the concepts that I bring up a lot in the company is organizational entropy, which is any artifact that you produce immediately starts rotting the moment that you have created it. It's like driving a new car after a lot. Yeah. The moment that anything is published in the company, you write a memo, it is already rotting. It is already going to be out of date. And so the concept of entropy is always increasing. And so the only way to keep entropy at bay is you have to add more energy into the system. So you have to create reinforcement mechanisms for any piece of content that you have. If you have a database of all your memos, you have to check them every once in a while to make sure they're up to date. You need to create more energy, always has to go in in order to keep things fresh and functional.

What are some of the key, this is going to be a cheap question and I recognize it in advance, some of the key modules of those 50 that people may not fully appreciate, maybe from the outside looking at if they did a quick scan. Are there some where you're like, you know, you should pay particular attention to any of these or some that you might draw attention to. I ask people this quite a lot, authors, I'm like, you've had this book out for a year, what are you bummed people didn't pay more attention to, right, that kind of thing. Some of them are very specific to the way that we operate, which is async week is a good example of video and audio only for an entire week, because we have to force people into that so you can get comfortable with it. Other things around just cultural assimilation and setting clear expectations, that might be something that is

universally important. I think another one that's probably under invested in, at least for remote companies, is setting aside real committed time to talking to all the people that you will likely work with. Having a 30 minute interaction with somebody when you're in a remote company early on has a huge impact on your ability to work with that person later on. It just lowers the barrier for, hey, I need your help with something versus I don't know who this person is and I don't know what they're going to think about me. And so we really try to make that a priority within onboarding as well. And that's probably universal for remote companies. And we've been remote from day one. So there is a tactical guide to working with EAs, how to make delegation your superpower, this piece that in your very beautiful, meticulously prepared notes, I got to say, it's just kind of like my dream type of guest where you're like, wow, okay, I would feel very confident if you were drafting my responses for LinkedIn. Very, very well put together and the flow, everything is well thought through in this particular guide. And this can also be more expensive than the guide. I'm wondering what other recommendations around delegation you have received positive feedback from where people try something and they're like, oh my God, I can't believe I didn't do this earlier. Or I didn't think that was going to work. And it actually really worked. Anything that comes to mind? The biggest one is using Lume and just doing workflow recordings. I've worked with a lot of people to help them get better at delegating. And the thing that I really emphasize is figure out how to reduce your perceived risk of doing this thing. Just lower the threshold for how much work you think you need to put into it. That's another one of the things into what into training someone or I see. Yeah, just lower your perceived risk. One of the reasons why people often fail to delegate as well is they make a lot of assumptions about how much effort is going to go into it. They're like, oh man, well, I'm going to have to write a whole process doc and then just reduce your assumptions and just say, all right, what is the simplest thing you can do? Do all of the work that you were going to do anyway exactly the same as you were going to do it and just turn on Lume and record it. And just try that. It's effectively zero effort, zero cost. And you can have a three hour Lume and just share that with your EA and say, is there anything in here that you think you could do that would help me? And almost every person that's done this is amazed at how many things come out of just a simple workflow recording like that. So just figure out how to lower the perceived risk of it. This is something that's different about remote versus in person is that when you're remote, remote first is a concept that I think GitLab came up with, which is whether you're in person or not, you have the same principles as you would if you were remote. And if you're remote first, everything that you do is on a computer and it is therefore necessarily content if you want it to be. And so typing at your keyboard could be content if you wanted it to. It's like a Twitch stream of your actual work, as opposed to something that you're doing in the real world where you don't have that. If you're on a Zoom call with somebody, that could just be content in a way that it wouldn't be if you're going on a walk. So really leaning into what's possible with this remote work style. Not just reading the stage play into the radio mic. Yeah, exactly. If you really lean into what's possible with the everything is content model, the amount of leverage that you get is much, much higher. This is the shift as a people are not super comfortable with that yet. I've been working with Kozuma who runs a product for us and she's been

just really getting used to, there's a lot of processes. Kozuma? Kozuma, yeah. Oh, Kozuma, great name, great name.

Yeah, she's been trying to figure out how to offload some of the tedious things in product to where it's like, make sure these things are up to date, shuffle tickets around, set reminders for things, which often is what product managers do, and we're figuring out how to offload those to our EAs so that the product people can spend their time doing higher leverage things like strategy and much longer term thinking. And it's been interesting to see how she's overcome this is something where she, it's really uncomfortable to just record yourself doing your work. That is a big hurdle for a lot of people is just turning Luma on for three hours. I wanted to ask you that. So to play devil's advocate slash myself, I imagine bringing on Nie, this is someone I don't know very well. And I would have, and I want you to disabuse me of this, or at least to attempt, be like, if I do a three hour loom, I'm going to be getting into all sorts of sensitive stuff. And then maybe if I were to try to create another objection slash type of resistance, it would be, I'm just going to be doing probably a bunch of random stuff. I mean, people who've read my books and so on might imagine

like every day is just this like surgical tron race where I'm just threading needles and it's just this like masterful iron chef display of performance. That's not what it looks like. Even on my best days, it's like, looks like I'm either staring, watching paint dry, or it's a lot of juggling different things. So I would be like, I'm not convinced this is going to be super helpful. And I might have concerns around privacy or security or whatever it might be. I can't be alone. What are your thoughts on that? I mean, I think maybe out of the box, you are probably much more embracing of like full transparency and public sharing than I am. But I would imagine there are some people who come from maybe a different company or different experience who would have maybe similar thoughts, I'm not sure. But what would you say as my podcast quest slash involuntary therapist? I think a big part of the answer is that the worst case scenario is you turn on a three hour loom and then you delete it. It's not a live stream. No, it's not a live stream. That's the thing that people really struggle with is like the first assumption is if it's recorded, it's going on the front page of the New York Times tomorrow. And then at some point, you just realize that it's not. Yeah, it's so stupid. But it's just like, no, you say it, I'm like, yeah, duh, like it's so obvious. And yet, right? It's like, okay, it's like worst case scenario, you delete it, or even more realistic, you just don't share it. Yeah. It's like, I don't know. I went over some weird things in there. I'm just going to not share it. And then you don't. Here's a technical question. Can you blur if, for instance, I've had this experience where I record a loom, it's a really good loom for an external party. And then I noticed like, ah, shit, like I have a tab or something open that displays some sensitive or like my eye messages off to the side. But I did a full screen recording and it's like, I don't want to compromise the people I'm communicating with in that way. Do you have the ability to blur or crop or anything like that? You can edit within loom. I think they might have introduced a blur feature somewhat recently. Yeah, or just a cropping capability, kind of a check. That would be very useful. Yeah, they might have it because they have an editor within it. If not, there's

definitely like, our EAs do this already for a Friday forums, we sometimes have sensitive information in there that we don't want to share publicly. Sometimes it's the most common one is something related to a third party that they don't want shared. And so we still talk about it as a team. But then we cut the audio for that part, and we blur out anything written about it. And so there's definitely a capability to do it. It's either done in loom or it's done externally, but it's not that hard. Okay, we are going to weave back to Calendly because we were kind of joking slash seriously discussing how a lot of people get offended with this tool. But that is the micro level. I don't want to go immediately to tools, although people might think that's where we're going next to do list to calendar. Yeah. Could you explain this transition or what that means to go from to do list to calendar for you? This is probably the single most important tactic in time management for me personally. I tend to be overly optimistic about how much I can achieve. Okay, can I pause for one second? So my ex-girlfriends have always found this hilarious because I'll be like, okay, it's Friday. It's 2pm. We have a dinner at six over the next four hours. I'm just going to do ABCDEF and G. And by ex-girlfriends who I've been with for any period of time will look at me and they'll say, you're never going to get away. I've seen this before. There's no way you're going to get even half of that done. So yes, please continue. Yeah, totally. I've worked with a lot of people on our team, people who are friends of mine through this. And the thing that almost always happens is they have this long to do list and I say, all right, here's what I want you to do. Take everything on this to do list with the dates that you think they'll get done by, which is usually this week or next week. And I want you to just put them on your calendar with the amount of time you think it's going to take. And then we'll have another follow up call next week and we'll see what happens. And then we have the call. And then they say, this process doesn't work. They say, why is that? So while I tried to move it over there, but there's enough space in my week to fit all these items. Like, yes, that's the point of the exercise. There literally is not enough time. Your time is finite and the number of digital items you can add to it to do list is infinite. You are working with the wrong constraint, which is like the amount of items you can fit in a database row, as opposed to the number of things that you can fit in your finite time of your calendar. And so we then work on, all right, you probably need slack during the course of the day, usually like 50% as a good target because things come up. Not the tool slack, but yeah, but space, extra space. You need extra space in the day. And so we work on things like, for me, I process a lot of email. And when you say you need space in the day, could you just briefly say more about that? Because the way I'm hearing that is that you have 50% of your time open. Now, is that, for instance, like tomorrow, you would have 50% of your time open? Or does that mean that in advance of scheduling other things, like three weeks from now, each day is 50% open? It's generally 50% open, just fully. Got it. And as you get better at it, I would say I'm probably at 25% open, because I've been doing this for many years, at least five years, probably longer, to the point where I can estimate how long it will take me to do something with maybe 90% accuracy. It's like, I need to write a memo on this thing. It's going to take me three and a half hours. And I just know because I've written so many of these, I just know how long it's going to take. And so it takes time to hone that, I would think, right? If you ask most

people, like, how many calories do you eat at lunch? They'll be like, 6,000 million? I don't know. That's right. Seven calories. But then over time, you can calibrate. Okay. Especially if you retroactively update your calendar as well, which is how long did it actually take me? And when you realize that your estimates were off, or they were right, you can start to hone that skill. So I always try to have people put it at 50% open space, because something's going to come up. A friend calls you, something happens during the day, you get a message

that kind of throws you off. The problem is that it's way easier to pull something in from tomorrow into today, because you had extra space, than it is to have this cascading problem of just like disastrous. It's like, then I have to push this to this day, then next thing you know, you have this Tetris game that you're playing a month out, because one thing changed in your schedule, and everything breaks. These concepts come from manufacturing, where you have this line.

whatever the assembly line is, you need to have slack in the system in order to be able to operate effectively, because something will come up. And if there's one thing that comes up that breaks everything downstream, that's a real problem. And over time, as you get better, you can reduce that amount of slack, but 50% is a pretty good goal. So you have like my goal for today, I'm going to have a four hour block where I'm going to do X. And then I have some time, for me, I know I need to process email or communications broadly for at least two hours a day. So I just have those blocks every day, they're just repeated. So when I start scheduling things, I can't actually fit this in, because it's not like I can't do my email, this is my role requires a lot of email. And so it's just clear as day, I cannot do this on Thursday. I can do it next week. So from a process perspective, then, do you start with, I'm imagining not at this point, but just just so I get a really clear understanding, let's just say you're looking at next week, you have these recurring blocks, email, that's already in there, you may have other repeating blocks, and I'd be curious to know what they are. So let's bookmark that. When you're looking at, say, the to-dos that will be converted into calendar or not for the next week, what does that process look like? Do you start with a to-do list? And then that goes to your EA and she tries to slot, he or she tries to slot them in for the anticipated amount of time. Like, what does that look like from kind of start to finish? Yeah, the answer is you just skip the to-do list step entirely. So when I get a new task, a lot of my tasks effectively come in through email. So I'll get an email. And this is also another thing that I worked with a couple of people on who really, really struggled with email. And the thing they struggle with is using their email as a to-do list, which is a very common thing that people do. The problem, it creates a lot of anxiety when you have this stack of uncategorized things. It could be 15 minutes, it could be 50 hours, you have no idea, until you open up each one individually to figure out how much work it is. And so the same process of translating your to-do list into your calendar, you can do the same thing with email, which is, I worked with somebody recently where I said, all right, let's open each email. How long is this going to take you to respond to? These are like the chunkier ones. It's like 30 minutes. Great. Market is done. Copy the link and put that link in your calendar. So you're going to spend this 30 minute block responding to this email. What's the next one?

That's going to take me a full hour because I have to write something for them. That's interesting. So the clearing of the inbox then is really, in some capacity, scheduling the proper amount of time to reply to these things. So you're not looking at this undifferentiated stack of shit that you are opening multiple times. Market is unread, going back to, forgetting what you read, reading at the 17th time, whatever that might be. It's a stress thing. It is very stressful to have this list of things. It's just an ambiguous amount of effort. It is stress relieving to see, all right, I will do that on Thursday of next week. I have nothing pending right now. I have not dropped any balls because I know that anything that is time sensitive that needed to be done today is already done. There's no ambiguous deadlines looming that I'm not aware of because they're in my calendar. If you change it, this is where closing the loop is a helpful factor, which is, if you say, I'm going to block this off for Thursday, you can tell that person, hey, I'll get back to you on Thursday. And then if you have to move it, you now know that you can say, hey, something came up, I'll get it to you on Monday. And you can just keep them in the loop on that as opposed to just ambiguously dropping the ball. So for me, when I get a new task, it just immediately goes into my calendar. So if somebody was to say, hey, can you write a memo on this topic so that this team has context on it of where this has gone over the last year? I'll say, sure, how soon do you need it? I'll say, can you have it to me by Wednesday? I'll go to my calendar and I'll block off two hours. Because I think that's about how long it'll take. I'll block off two hours on Tuesday that I have open. And I'll say, cool, I'll have a two by Tuesday night. And that's it. The calendar is the to do list. What do you have in your calendar as repeating items? And we don't have to go through all of them necessarily. But I'm curious, this could not only be professional company obligations, but say personal items that you block out, exercise any number of things. So you have email processing, you have, I imagine, the Friday forum. What are some of the other repeating elements that you can block out weeks in advance?

Mondays are my meeting days. So I tend to stack all of my meetings on Mondays. It's common for me to have like 14 hours of meetings on Mondays. I just get them all done with so I don't have anything else during the week. Fridays, I often have meetings as well, but they tend not to be the recurring ones other than maybe the Friday forum or some maybe the book club, which I think we do monthly on Fridays. So Mondays are a lot of my recurring team meetings. Tuesday, Wednesday,

Thursday, or almost always just open. I tend to leave Wednesdays as like a sacrificial anode of as in like when somebody says to me, Hey, I was trying to book time on your calendar, but you're booked for the next two months. Then I start sacrificing some of my Wednesdays to allow for meetings to be scheduled. The term comes from how stainless steel works where it's covered in something. I think it's zinc in that case. And how it works is the zinc starts to get deteriorated before the steel does. So it's you're sacrificing a different material in order to keep the steel working well. Got it. Any personal stuff that comes to mind like self care stuff or is that sort of on automatic in some other way or taking a backseat? You know, I've tried doing meditation. I did the same Harris waking up on. I didn't notice any benefit. I did it for I think a month. I think I'm just my my stress levels by default are pretty low. So it could be has that always been the case? How much of that is by the way you've constructed your life versus

innate temperament? Do you have any idea? I think it is some of it's innate temperament, but a lot of it is how I've structured my life. What are other things you have done to reduce the ambient chronic levels of stress slash metaphorical metaphorical metaphorical, I shouldn't know which it is by now inflammation. You were just using that on the organizational level like what are some of the other crux moves or decisions or fill in the blank that have helped with what you're describing? One of the biggest ones is news sobriety. And I think that encapsulates a lot more. One of my personal philosophies is that I do not allow others to impose upon my attention. And so if I am getting information, I would like to seek it out. And I don't want other people to take my time against my will or tell me what I should be thinking or focused on, whether I want to or not. That's been a big challenge is I think almost everyone underestimates how impactful even seemingly trivial information is of like seeing a plane crash on the news will make you fear planes subconsciously. It is a is an availability bias problem. You can know the statistics and you can say that it's safer. But in your mind, if you see it happen, or you see something on the news, you assume that it is representative of the world. And it's really hard to beat. There's a really good book Factfulness. I don't know if you've heard of it. I have heard of it. I haven't read it. Yeah, it's a really good book, which really just goes over. There are so many things that are getting better. And yet almost everyone thinks that those things are getting worse. I got it. So it's a numeracy enhanced version of Angels of our Better Nature Angels of our Nature first. I always fuck that title up. I don't know if it's a problem with the title or if it's a problem in my brand. But yes, that's the one. Yeah, got it. Okay, so there's the new sobriety. Makes sense to me. This has been my experience as well. Like we are not designed or I should say not evolved to take on all of the tragedy in the world all the time. It was just you're not biologically capable. Or certainly not ideally suited to handle that on an ongoing basis.

I think people really underestimate the physical impact that it has. Like taking maybe an extreme example of there is information that can affect you physically. Example is you're walking through the jungle and a tiger jumps out in front of you. Your body will physically change based on that stimulus, right? That's a fight or flight response. Drenaline, all kinds of stuff that is bad for you. Chronically bad. Chronically bad for you. The same exact thing happens when people watch the news

or they see things that make them anxious. And they say, well, it's just information. But that's not a neutral thing. Yeah, it really does have an impact. If it bleeds, it leads. I mean, there are a lot of expressions like this in the news game. Totally. All right. So the many implications, the multifaceted payoffs of news sobriety. What else? I feel like there's more. I do a lot of walks. I try to read at least two books a week. And I do most of those as audio books, just going on long walks. How do you choose your books? A lot of them are through recommendations. I host these salon dinners, maybe roughly once a month. And one of the questions that I always ask people is, the intros are always your name and the last book you read. And so that's sort of my hack for getting good book recommendations. And I just, I add them to my wish list on Audible. And then one of my new rules over the last couple of years is, I will only purchase a book if it is the next book that I'm going to read. Because I found that... I am a chronic violator of this. I just found that stacks of books that I have committed to reading that I have not read felt

like a betrayal of my own commitment to myself. You know, this is a Japanese word for this. No. The stacks of books and this like guilt and obligation that it elicits, tsundoku. It's a thing. Yeah, for sure. And I just realized, I'm just going to put them on my wish list. And then when I feel like reading a book, when I finish whatever book I'm reading now, I go to it and I scroll through it. What am I feeling like right now? You know what, a biography feels really good. I'm going to download that. So my wish list is probably two, 300 books. So I have a pretty deep backlog. All right. We are going to come back to the slans because this underscores something for me that has proven very important also. But before we get to that, so you have a backlog of 300 books. How do you choose the next book? It's pure recommendations because those are all recommendations. So how do you decide when are there any books that have been particularly sticky in your mind in the last handful of years? The answer is it's purely based on feeling. I used to read a lot more books optimistically. If I should read more about that, even though I don't really want to, I just feel like I should. And it's usually a slog and my rate of reading is way lower when that happens. And so now when I finish a book, I go through and I'm glancing through the titles. It's like, you know what? I do actually want to read a book on complexity right now. I'm going to do that. That sounds really interesting. Or I do want to read this science fiction book that I've been hearing about. So it's more of full body, yes, type of situation. Yeah. And all of those books were added to the list because I thought at one point that I would be interested in it. And then things change. Maybe I'm not interested in it anymore. And so yeah, it's just like in the moment right now, what do I want to read? I found that to be something that really also keeps the velocity up of the books that I want to read. Okay. Any books that have stood out in the last while? It could be from 10 years ago. It doesn't really matter. Books that have made a substantial mark doesn't have to be practical. It could be a fiction book, although sometimes fiction is practical. I'll have to say that as a sidebar. Yeah, one of the books that I find myself frequently coming back to is the Lean Startup. It's a classic. I probably re-read it every one to two years. And every time I face palm, like, how did I forget this? We should obviously be doing this. There are a lot of books like that. Another one that I frequently come back to is Nonviolent Communication. It's a similar book. Every time I read it, it's just like, I need to be doing more of that. There's a whole series of them. I keep track of all the books that I read on Goodreads. Okay, so you may share some of those. And certainly the ones that you've mentioned we'll put in the show notes. The Ceylon's. Tell me more about this and also how EA's help with this if they do help with this. And for people listening, we are going to come back to answer the question, what on earth did Sam use for EA's? Which is a very fair question. So this is a way of edging back into that territory. But one of the biggest upgrades in my life in the last few years has been seeking to add the positive before trying to remove the negative. And this hints at a bias that I've had for a very long time as someone who's hyper vigilant that I very often look for problems to solve and rewarded throughout life for being good at solving problems in school and so on. But just removing friction does not a great life make. And so I've in the last few years blocked out extended trips with friends, extended time off the grid, extended time with very vigorous physical activity or sports and blocked that out in advance.

And I find that is an inoculation against a lot of the stress that can seem pervasive if you don't have things like that to offset some of the weight of the world of the job of being a human, etc. So the salons are super interesting. What do the salons look like? What does the format look like? And it looks like you have a document showing people how to host salon dinner. So yes, if we can put those in the show notes, that would be great. So let's talk about the salons and then how EA's help or don't help. I've been hosting these for a long time, like well over 100 of them at this point. The salons that I like to host, they tend to be really intellectual. And so there's a topic that is usually just something that I am personally very interested in. What would be some examples? I mean, it's like death. We're going to talk about death. Yeah, death is one of the salon dinners that we have planned later this year is on death. We had one. It's all the rage. Yeah, we had one a few weeks ago that was on the Epistemic Commons on this challenge of sense making collectively as we have these different versions of the truth of the world that continue to separate our ability to just agree on what is real as a society is becoming harder and harder over time. We did one shortly before that on expression of love. That was a really good one. And so they range from deeply intellectual to more personal and experiential. We had a really good one a little while back on human connection and friendship. I always curate the group in some way to make sure that there's some

interesting set of diversity that would make the conversation interesting. So if it's something that's more political, I make sure that maybe half of the group has one point of view and the other half has another or the human connection and friendship salon. I wanted to make sure that I had people represented from many different social groups, some people who are very wealthy, some people who are not, people who have different life experiences. I always try to make sure that people don't signal anything at the beginning. So the intros are always just your name and the last book you read. And that tends to lead to a much better conversation. And what almost always happens,

we did a salon a while back on globalism and nationalism. And it was in New York and it was about 12 people. I curated the group because I know who all these people are. And it went particularly long. It was a very good conversation for at least three hours. And at the end of this dinner, one of the people, I won't throw them under the bus. But he said, so this is all well and good, but we're just a bunch of New York Democrats talking about this. We're all the Republicans. And I happen to know that six people there were Republicans. And I just waited. And each person was like, I'm a Republican, I'm a Republican. And he was just flabbergasted. But I thought we were agreeing on this stuff. It's like, yeah, yeah, you were.

What are some of the rules for the dinner? Is it one conversation? Yeah.

Right. And can you explain just what that means for people who may not have been exposed to this? Because it really is alien or just, I'd say, uncommon at most group dinners. At most group dinners to paint like the opposite, 12 people splits off into maybe three or four pods, having different conversations. So this would be the opposite.

Yeah. And it's pretty well, good moderation is super important. Are you moderating?

contain inaccuracies.

Yeah. And I'm a ruthless moderator. I found...

What are the keys to ruthlessly moderating? Or what are... Do you have any key phrases? Or do you give people warning in advance? You're like, hey, I'm pretty full contact referee here.

Sometimes I do. Like there have been friends of mine who I know are going to be conversation monopolizers. And so I tell them...

Impromptu Ted talk givers.

Yeah, exactly. And I'll tell them sometimes in advance like, hey, I'm going to invite you to this, but I don't want you to talk at all for the first 30 minutes.

And I need you to do that. Or I can't have you come to this.

It's never been an issue if you're just really upfront about it.

And it can be awkward, but just set the ground rules very clearly in advance,

which at the start of the dinner, you just say, all right, the rules are no phones at the table.

We have one conversation at the table. If things get off topic,

I'm going to bring it back to the conversation. And so there was a period... This would have been, I don't know, five, six years ago when blockchain was like the thing everyone...

Now it's AI, but back then it was blockchain, I remember.

Some of the people who were doing blockchain have pivoted into AI.

Yeah, totally.

I've seen the decks.

Yeah.

There was a point when every dinner I did would eventually meander into blockchain, no matter the topic. And the one that was the most egregious was we did a dinner on the wine industry in San Francisco, which was really just an excuse for my friends who have vineyards to bring their nicest bottles of wine. It was fantastic.

I like how you put it on them.

Yeah, exactly. It was great.

And somehow somebody was like, well, something, something, something, wine on the blockchain. And you have to bring them back to the conversation.

People will have their thing they want to talk about, but all the other people there came for the topic that you originally planned on.

And so you have to be ruthless when things get off topic.

And that specific tactical thing that I found to be helpful, I always have a notepad.

And so I say, that sounds like a really good topic for a future salon dinner.

So I'm going to make a note right now, and I'll add that to the list.

Then you just draw a picture of a dick and then move on.

Yeah. But let's bring it back to the topic of this. And then maybe you call on somebody else to get their opinion.

Sorry, that was a big Lebowski reference for people who don't get it.

What is the magic size? I have, I think a lot about group size.

So you mentioned 12. That's a lot.

That's the upper bound.

Is there a sort of preferred size that you have found for yourself?

Or does the composition really make a smaller, big group work? I'm sure that's a piece of it. But if somebody wanted to try to experiment with this, read the document, which we'll put in the show notes and give a salon a shot, what size would you suggest they start with? The answer is not going to be very straightforward, which is that there's a bunch of dials that you have to balance. The best dinners I've ever done were six people who are all super engaged with the topic. That is like the perfect number, six highly thoughtful, deep people on the topic. I would say the problem is you always end up with something like a 20% attrition rate. And so if you invite six people, you might end up with four people. And four people, you can do, but it feels less like a salon dinner.

Maybe better for a board game.

Yeah, maybe better for a board game. You can do it. It just doesn't feel like it's an event. So I optimize, I try to get it to eight to 10 is how many I actually want to come. To get to that number, I tend to invite 12. And I usually end up with eight to 10. If you have a couple of people who are maybe not the most engaged or engaging, it doesn't throw a wet blanket on the whole event. And you can kind of bring them in slowly. And so I found eight to 10 is really the number to shoot for that I think balances all those variables particularly well.

And in this case, because of the folks who are being invited, are you doing this yourself? Do you have EA's helping? Or is this more of a project that you take ownership of? Yeah, so I generally pick the topic. I always send out some explanatory text of motivating questions of what is the topic. I use chat GPT for that.

So like a recent example for the Epistemic Commons salon, I asked chat GPT, I'm planning on hosting a salon dinner. I need five questions for this topic.

Here are the issues that I think are relevant. Can you create some motivating questions for the dinner? And it nailed it first time almost every time it does. It's pretty remarkable. And you have created the invitee list?

So yeah, I've been adding people to the list as I meet people, as I find people, I think would be interesting people to have at these dinners. I just add them to a list. Once I come up with a topic and the motivating questions, I pick a date and then I tell one of my EA's to send out the invitations. The whole rest of the process is basically done by them. My only real involvement is I pick a topic and then she compiles all of the people that have opted in. And then it's usually 20 or so people depending on where it is. And then I will curate who I think will make for the most interesting conversation from that list. Question about the email invite. And this is going to be very granular. What does the language in that invite look like? And maybe it's too much time in Japan, but I'm like very sensitive to overly sensitive probably to a lot of social etiquette stuff, which will tie back to Calendly so I don't leave that dangling as an orphan topic briefly. We'll touch on that. Is it maybe not speculating? It's the best way to go. What does the email invitation look like? And then does it come from you or does it come from your assistant who is writing in your voice? What does that look like? So the specific text of the email is in the Notion Doc, so that'll be easy to share.

I basically say, I'm hosting another dinner. Here's the topic. Here's where it's going to be.

Here are the motivating questions. I got it. So it's first person in your voice sent from your account by your EA? Yep, sent from my email by my EA. And at the bottom it says sent on Sam's behalf by Sam's EA. The people then respond to it. Those get tracked. And I also, usually about a week before the event, when things have sort of finalized, my EA goes through everyone on the wait list and sends them a note saying, sorry, but there wasn't space in this one, but we hope you can join in a future dinner. I find that's a nice, instead of just ghosting people, closing the loop on it. And very rarely, but occasionally, somebody flips out and then I just remove them from the list and they don't get invited anymore. Yeah, if you don't not want that as 20% of your attendee. It's not worth it. Calendly. So since you are using your calendar as your fine-tuned to-do list, let's just say, that means your availability would seem to be much more predictable. What is Calendly? How do you use it? And how do you overcome some of the social hurdles that might be associated with it? Calendly is an incredible time-saving tool where you set times that you're available. It syncs with Google Calendar. And so when I have time blocked off to do things, it doesn't show up as an availability and you set what hours you're available. And so people can just pick time on your calendar. This is why I think when I get a calendar link from somebody, I'm touched because they're allowing me to impose upon their attention.

They're saying, I'm giving you access to my time whenever is convenient for you. That's a tall order to get that from somebody. For some reason, there are people who are deeply offended by it. And I really do not understand it. It's not a small percentage, though. It's a decently high percentage. So have you found any way, just like the sent on Sam's behalf at the bottom, right? I think that's a contributing ingredient that helps that to work. Are there any ways you've been able to preemptively smooth potentially ruffled feathers with Calendly or not really? I think the solution is just to slowly filter those people out of your life. I think that's kind of the answer. If that's the hill you want to die on, then we'll be on different hills. It's okay. Right. Okay. Makes sense. So we are, I keep teasing this after the next round of commercials, but we are going to come back to what you do with 4EAs, but these are all interrelated. Briefly, a question on sort of higher level principles related to inbox and then just the tactical question of how do you actually go through your inbox? So the first is you talking about, and I'm putting words in your mouth, but trying to recap here, see if I get it right, basically doing a version of repeating the task back to you, which is reducing the ability of unwanted or unhelpful or unactionable information to impinge on your life. So you can be proactive. However, you spend a lot of time in email, and for a lot of people, that is the arena that you enter that is full of everyone else's agenda for your time. So you can become very reactive. So I'm wondering how you think about using email proactively and not becoming overly reactive, getting pulled away from your priorities if you've set them. And then I suppose the following question is just how you process email. I'm an inbox zero person. I try to hit inbox zero at least once a week, which is the practice of marking all emails that you've processed as done. So you get to the end of the week and you have processed all of your emails. It is definitely one of the holes in the strategy where I get lots of uninvited inbound information. I do have a process by which my EAs clear my inbox. I have a

separate

category called not important, where they go through and they just move all the things they don't think I need to see into that. And I check it every once in a while. And in the early days, every so often, they would flag something is not important that I actually should have seen. But at this point, it's like a 100% success ratio of them seeing my patterns. And typically what I do is I turn on a loom as I'm going through the not important. And I say, yep, these all make sense. Oh, this one should probably keep in because of this reason, this one, we should pull in because of this reason. And then I just share that to them as feedback. And then the process just gets better. It's probably the biggest hole of people imposing upon my attention is something that I'm trying to work on a solution to. I know there have been interesting companies that have tried different things like you have to pay money to send somebody an email. I don't know if those ever took off, but that's an interesting strategy. It's like just adding some friction.

It's like, yeah, if you pay me \$100, you can send me an email. That's okay. And I will read it. I will definitely read it if you pay me \$100 to get an email. Sure. So the nature of processing email, I think the way to do it most efficiently, I use superhuman as a tool, hotkeys are probably the lowest hanging fruit for people to improve their productivity for almost no cost.

Now, how do hotkeys differ from keyboard shortcuts and Gmail?

It's the same idea. The thing is, most people just don't take the time to learn it. There's several studies on this that I can share with you, but it's like free productivity. You spend a handful of minutes, 15 minutes, maybe 10 minutes one time learning what hotkeys to use. And most people will see a 10 to 40% increase in productivity immediately for the rest of their lives. And yet most people don't take the time to learn them. I get is, if you are not using hotkeys to process your email, to do, if you're in Figma, learn the hotkeys. If you're in Photoshop, learn the hotkeys is a free productivity boost for almost no cost.

And in the case of email, and you have reply, reply, all archive, what are some other functions that you would access with hotkeys? So, you know, Mark has done, I think one of the biggest ones is snippets where you have pre-drafted messages. And this is something that it's really easy to see when a good example, my co-founder Casey, when she was struggling with email, I asked her to just record yourself doing email for however long it takes. And then I'll watch it and give you feedback. And this is the workflow thing where she just record it, she shared it with me. And there were many points where I would say, Hey, during this point, have you noticed this is the fourth time you've sent this exact same email? And you type it out every time, you should just create a snippet. And you should just send it to them. You see this part here, where you wrote a very long response, he was actually really just asking for a yes or no, you didn't have to provide much longer context, you could have said, Yeah, that sounds good. If you need any more context, let me know. And that could have been it, you didn't need to spend 15 minutes on that. You could have just said, Yes, and if you need more, let me know, and I can give you more. So don't make the assumption that you have to spend 15 minutes on it, if you usually don't have to. And so just having that feedback loop is really helpful. And this keeps tying back to Loom as a useful tool for this. But like, being able to just see it in the previous era, you would have just had a coach standing over your shoulder watching. And now you can do this asynchronously, I can watch it at two and a half acts, I can skip over parts that are not relevant.

So it's a really big enablement tool. And for the sake of clarity, to confirm inbox zero does not mean that you have handled all of the tasks or content associated with those emails, it simply means that you have either responded to them or move them into another form

factor like calendar, so that you no longer need that email vestige, you can archive all that 100%. It's reducing that stress that is created by having this ambiguously long list of potential tasks that you need to do. There's no way to see in an email that I need this from you by two o'clock today, or I need it from you next month. You have to go through every single one individually in order to get that information. All right. So the question of the hour, what on earth do you use for assistance for? I use it for a lot of things. Actually, I have a public notion database of all of these tasks. I filtered for the ones that contain confidential information, but there's, I don't know, 40, 50 of them that are kind of examples. Great. So we'll put those in the show notes as well. Yeah. So some simple things are before every call, I send two days before the call, I send links that provide more context for who I am, what the company does, whatever the thing is. And so two days before they get that information, they also do follow-ups. So I have a notion page called Sam's Calls where before I jump on this call, I have all of my call notes stacked, and I have contacts on each one of them. So I open up my calendar, I go to the call, and then I open my notes, and it's your call with this person. Here's a screenshot of the email that you had with them setting up this meeting.

Your EAs are creating all of this. My EAs are creating all of this behind the scenes. I used to do it myself, but over time, I just trained the EAs to do it, so I don't have to do it. And it really just gives me a ton of leverage. So things like almost nobody I know pays attention to their LinkedIn messages anymore. It's just a cesspool. But if you have an EA that you've trained to filter out any random requests from dev shops in India, just archive those immediately. And if there's anything from these sorts of people, just send me a note and say, hey, I think you should respond like this. This is the semi-automation part. Same with Twitter DMs. I don't manage those. I get a screenshot whenever somebody that they think meets the criteria that they think I should be talking to, and that's all managed by them. And so those are just a handful of examples of the ways in which I can get leverage on my time. Things that I used to maybe do myself, but at this point,

I have so many of these tasks. Another one that I have my EAs do consistently is I'm really diligent about tracking my time. This is one of those interesting things where this is similar to Calendly. I get people who just aggressively, negatively respond to the idea that you pay attention to where your time is going. Really? Oh, yeah. That's one that I wouldn't have expected. They're like, do you really need to optimize every 15 minutes of every day? Don't you have time for spontaneity? These are the common things that I hear. The answer is like, yeah, of course I have lots of time for spontaneity. I schedule it. It's like, this is a week. I'm not planning anything. Anything could happen, but I'm doing it on purpose, not by accident. I don't know where that negativity comes from, but I certainly hear that a lot. One of the things that they do is I have a set of categories that are things that I think that I want to do with my time. Every 15 minute increment, I think weekly they go through and they update a spreadsheet of each block,

and then they categorize it in one of these 10 categories. Then at the end of the month, I can see how I spent my time. It is humbling to see how often what I think I'm spending my time on and my stated priorities. It's like, oh, yeah, I spent so much time doing recruiting last week. Yeah, I thought I was eating lentils all month. Turns out there's 80% Snickers bars. Exactly. It's surprising. Even for, I really try to be diligent about it. Even so, I think this ultimately comes from there's an emotional cost to doing certain things that you don't like to do. Yeah, I think it's huge, for me at least, physical too. Yeah. All right. So how do you course correct? What does that look like? Are there certain tasks or types of work or interactions that you just categorically do not engage with now? Are there no categories for you? It's more that having the awareness. I was talking to somebody recently about this, where we did a values exercise and they came up with a set of values that they have. And then it's a bit of a trick question because then you say, all right, let's go over your calendar and how you spent your time. And they'll say, oh, my values are friends, family, this, that. It's like, oh, how'd you spend your time? It's like YouTube, Instagram. Did you notice

how none of these things match? Like your actual priorities are consuming news. Your actual

is doing sports, which is fine. There's nothing wrong with that. But empirically, your priorities are this. How you spend your time are your priorities. And that's a difficult thing for people to understand. But it really is like an epistemological problem where the example would be if you're an axe murderer, but you go your whole life and you never murder someone with an axe, are you actually an axe murderer? Like the act is what makes you what you are. I think this is Ben Horowitz's book, like what you do is who you are. That is what it is. How you spend your time doesn't matter. Your calendar is your priorities. Yeah. Like it is the empirical record of your actual priorities. And almost everybody that I talk to, those two are not matching. So for those people listening, they might think, well, for assistance, not to be a dead horse, but I think it's maybe a semi-dead horse that's worth beating a little bit more. They might think, why four? I mean, I imagine that one would be more than enough. What about, and this comes back to an earlier concern of maybe novice, intermediate delegators. I consider myself a pretty experienced delegator, and I still think about this and it causes me some hesitancy underutilization. But could you give a few more examples of tasks and why it makes sense for you to have multiple people? Almost all of the tasks that I have them do are recurring ankle-biter tasks that would take me five minutes here and there. And the reality is they just accumulate. So part of it is that almost certainly I will be able to do it faster and more effectively. But this is a comparative advantage thing, is if it takes me 10 minutes and it takes them 30 minutes, that's fine. Because I have other things that I could be doing with my time that are higher value than these tasks. And so if I could be spending 30 hours a week on these tasks, it might take them 90 hours a week or 100 hours a week of effort to be able to do these tasks. But then I don't have to do them anymore. And then I can do other things. And so the underutilization thing has really, I certainly didn't start with four. I started with one. And she's been great. And interesting thing about this is where really leaning into remote is she's in the Philippines, we don't overlap very much in terms of time zone. I have

priority

still not communicated synchronously with her. All of our communication has been async in looms or in content or in some form of async manner, so that you can reference all of your previous conversations. I don't have any specific reason not to talk to her, it's just we haven't needed to do that. That's incredible. All right, so we'll make that list of tasks available. Where are the most common areas for improvement, growth opportunities for people who are blue belt delegators, right? So these are people who've been maybe they've been working with an EA for six months a year. If they were to send you, you were just magically able to ingest with a port on the back of the head, like the matrix 1000 loom interactions, they've had workflows, etc. Much like you look to your co funders email flow, what do you think the most common types of advice would be or issues would be that you would spot? For somebody who's a blue belt, which I don't know my belt, I assume that's blue belt. It depends on the system we're talking about. But yeah, what I mean to say is intermediate. Yeah, if somebody is intermediate, I would say that one would be creating a system of feedback loops. Because one of the things that fails here, and this was a conversation I recently have with somebody on our team who said that he just doesn't feel like he's getting leverage out of his EA, which is surprising because I see how much leverage he gets. And having a system where you keep track of all of the ongoing tasks. And I said, okay, cool. Well, if you don't need one, that's not a big deal. Obviously, it costs us less money. But before we do that, let's go into the database and let's see all the tasks that this person is doing for you. And let's decide which of these we don't need. And he was something like 30 ongoing tasks. He said, how about this one? He's like, no, no, I definitely still need that. How about this one? Probably should keep that one. And we went through almost all of them. I think we eliminated two of them. It's like, okay, well, it sounds like you're getting a lot of leverage out of your EA. Let me ask a strange question. Why would he be interested in having his EA do less? Did he feel like he was spending more time on managing them than the work product that was being produced? What is his incentive? How does he benefit from having this conversation? Yeah, he is somebody who has the company's best interest in mind. He just doesn't feel like we're getting X dollars in value for this person's tasks. And then we looked at each of the tasks. And we looked at how much time each task takes. And the thing is, they're happening behind the scenes. And so he didn't have a lot of visibility into it. And so this is one of the reasons why we actually internally, why we do the Friday forum, is celebrating wins, having artifacts to represent progress is actually really important. And by artifact, you just mean some type of captured media generally? It can be anything. It can be an image, something that shows progress over time. People need to feel that things are working and that things are good. If they don't, you just leave this void. The example of the person who felt like he wasn't getting leverage from his EA, he just hadn't looked at all the things that they were doing. They were all there. He could have found them, but they were all happening behind the scenes. And he forgot that the automation was even happening. And so just having that visibility and saying, okay, we ended that conversation going, you actually might need another one, because you're already almost at the limit of this person's capacity. And we only eliminated two out of something like 40 tasks. Another one that I would say for people who are blue belt level delegators is really doing more in parallel tasking, which is anything that you are going to do anyway, just have your EA or Chief of Staff

do it as well. But don't put them in the mission critical path. This is one of the failure modes that a lot of people have. Can you give a concrete example of what that might look like? Yeah. So let's say you have something that needs to be delivered on Friday and you were going to do it, but instead you hand it off to your Chief of Staff. Don't rely on what their output is to get that delivered on Friday. If you were going to do it yourself, just do it yourself anyway. What type of task might that be? I'm just trying to imagine, because for instance, like if I had a deliverable that required interacting with a team externally, let's just say some marketing agency, if we both tried to pursue that task, it would become very, very confusing. I think an example would be you put together a slide deck for a presentation on Friday and you can say, hey, you put it together and you don't want to show up in that meeting for like an hour before and realize the slides are bad. This is the thing that people often do is they delegate it and then they forget about it. When really, you should just do it in parallel such that worst case scenario, you just throw out their version and give them feedback on it. What people usually discover is that people do a better job on a first draft with almost no context than you would anticipate. You just give them maybe by loom some instructions of, hey, I'm doing a presentation on this. Here are the messages to read through. It should look something like this, an outline, kind of like that. Then you see what they put together. If it doesn't work, you give them feedback. A recent example for us is one of our engineers, Marillo, needed to do a retro on a project that took him about three-ish weeks. What is a retro? A retro. It's like a retroactive document that just says, here's how the project went. Here's what went well. Here's what went poorly. Here's what I propose for next steps. It's the less morbid equivalent of a post-mortem. Exactly. It's the same thing, just a less morbid title. He was going to do it himself. I said, great, you should do it yourself. Also, since we do daily async updates, every day he posted a loom of his progress that day. I said, but also in parallel, ask the product EA to do their own retro from what they got in context from your daily updates. Just to understand, the objective of this is for people to become more comfortable with delegating more responsibility. In the same way that turning on a loom, worst-case scenario, you just delete it. It's kind of the same with parallel tasking. Worst-case scenario, you just don't use what they came out with. That's fine. You were in the same exact position now as you were before, but oftentimes what you'll discover, like in the example I gave for the retro, he realized, wow, this is 80% as good as the one that I came up with, and I thought I had context that only I had. He said, the thing I learned from that experience was, I should put more information in my daily updates so that I don't have to do any work next time. I just put a little bit more work into my daily updates, and then the entire retro can be done by an EA. It saves you a lot of time, and you can build that confidence, and you can give feedback. Oftentimes, it only takes two or three cycles of that, doing it in parallel, before you can largely be hands-off, and then they can eventually be in mission-critical positions. Very helpful, and something I haven't tried, so I should try it. My next question is related to a bullet for an interview, a prior interview did, which we actually know it was not an interview, it was a podcast, Founder Dynamics podcast. The synopsis for the purposes of our conversation is that this is an episode that gives a feel for

what it's like working with you, and how other people perceive you. I recently interviewed Dustin Moskovitz, co-founder of Facebook, co-founder of Asana, current CEO of Asana, and in prep for our conversation, they also did an immaculate job of prep notes, and they sent a number of different things. One of them I had not seen before, and I've had some interaction with Dustin over the years, was a, in effect, I can't remember the title, but it's working with Dustin as a document. It's basically a user guide to Dustin, and it lays out all sorts of things, his temperament, his preferences, the things he hates, his enneagram type, and I found it very compelling to the extent that I'm probably going to create something like that, because it could also be useful for external parties, contractors, agencies, who knows. Do you have something like that, or what form does it take? Exactly the same format. We both took it from the same person. I don't remember, I think he referenced it in the podcast, but there's a specific person who popularized this, and we largely use the same format. It's also part of our onboarding. One of the deliverables at the end of month one is each new hire writes their own user guide, and it's been super helpful. Okay, so in that case, could you just, for people who have not listened to the Dustin episode or seen the document, what are some of the key ingredients in that user manual? I wish I could give proper attribution to the person upstream. I can't recall the name, but we can put it in the show notes for folks. For sure. One of the categories is just background. We do a little bit more work than is typical. We actually hire a writer to do what we call a spotlight, where they interview this person and give a whole rundown of interesting things about them until you read a little bit more about them. You learn what their hobbies are, where they grew up, all kinds of stuff. The more tactical things are like communication patterns. For example, for me, I really, really do not like being interrupted. And so my phone is by default. Sorry about the last two hours. I mean like during the day. Okay. Yeah, like intraday interruptions. So my phone, by default, is on do not disturb mode pretty much all the time. It is often in airplane mode. And so when I'm working on things, I really, really do not like getting text messages from people, like anything urgent. Because this is something that I've just come to accept about myself, is that it takes a long time for things to get loaded into memory. In these constant context switching. This is why I load all of my meetings on Monday. If I'm in manager mode, as opposed to maker mode, I have no issue context switching all the time. But if I'm trying to deliver something, I'm trying to write code and I get disrupted. Yeah, you drop all the balls. Yeah, it's a real problem in it. I feel it viscerally when I get pulled out of flow state. So I usually tell people that when you see a deep focus block on my calendar, unless it is a real emergency, don't text me. Send me a note. I'll get to it at some point. Other people are exactly the opposite. Which is they say, text me anytime. And I like to be fast responder. That's my thing. Gun slingers. Yep. And there's nothing wrong with one way or the other. But just learning how to interact with different people, we found it super helpful. What else would you say about what it is like to work for you or how others perceive you? One thing that I would categorize as a misconception, which is that I'm extremely diligent and rigorous and disciplined. My default behavior is not disciplined. I've worked with some people like my former co-founder, Todd Opowski, who was in Special Forces, and he wakes up like

four in the morning every day to work out for two hours. And he's one of those guys. And he just does it intrinsically. I schedule early morning meetings, like 8am breakfasts. Because if I don't, I won't wake up. I will just, I will sleep until my first meeting. And if that's at 11, then I wake up at 11. Or I wake up 30 minutes before. And so I've created a lot of structure around me to make sure that I'm on the path. So some of it is reducing friction here, increasing friction there. If left to my own devices, I would spend all day on social media and YouTube. And I would sleep in and I would not be able to do the work that I need to get done. And so there really is not this intrinsic capability to be super disciplined. It's around creating structures around me to force me to do the things that I want to do at some higher level. Yeah, I would say I'm very similar. Oh yeah, big time. It's like the scaffolding is really important. Scaffolding is incredibly, incredibly important. We can always come back to anything that comes to mind related to that. But memo culture over meeting culture. What is the role of memos? And why are they important? I think some of this is philosophical, which is people can debate this, but I do believe sincerely that writing is thought. Yeah, I agree with that. Yeah. If you cannot write out your ideas, you do not have coherent thoughts. And a lot of people can convince themselves in a meeting where they're talking to people like, oh yeah, I made a really good point there or like we came to a good conclusion. Go back and read the transcript. Yeah, exactly. And I did an experiment with this where I tried to figure out there's an emotional hurdle to blocking off, in my case, usually a full day to just like, all right, I need to write a memo on company strategy. Today's the day. Man, it's just so much effort to do that. What if I just had a call with somebody and I just spitballed all my ideas and I tried it. I did this with my co-founder and we just like bounce back ideas for a couple hours. And then we pulled the transcript and we pulled the useful bits and we had like two paragraphs of useful information from a couple hours of conversation. It's like, man, that was not helpful. It's just writing is thought. And so I think where it comes down to is having a really thoughtful memo. It's an artifact that you can reference in the future. It gives you these data points of what were we thinking at each point in time? Why are they important? I understand maybe the function, right? But if we zoom out, what is the impact that these memos are designed to achieve? We have a memo on memos. Yeah, it's about long form memos. Turtles all the way down. Yeah, it's on long form memos and decision making, which is that some of this is just a personal preference, but I think there's some universal truth to it, which is that for me to have confidence that somebody is good at their job and knows what they're doing, you don't just get that for free. You have to earn it. And it typically comes from, in my case, a long form memo. If you join as a good example of this, Mike Haney, or head of editorial, I wrote our first editorial strategy and it was okay. We had some broad strokes of we're going to focus on this kind of content and not this kind. It was an okay strategy. And then we brought on Mike Haney, who is from popular science magazine, and his first task during onboarding during his first month was put together the next version of our editorial strategy. And it was easily 10 times better than the one that I came at. And it was just like, okay, we clearly hired the right person. Everything in here is better than what I came up with. So I trust him to make these decisions. And so we usually ask people as one of their first tasks, especially if they're a functional leader, to put together a

strategy of what is it that you're going to do? What are the strategic elements of your function and convince me that I can trust you to do this effectively? And the only way to do that is in writing, having all of your thoughts written out. And some of these memos are pretty long. And this is pros and not below points. These are full sentences.

Some of these are 10, 20, 30, 40 pages. I think our longest memo is like 200 pages. What was that about?

Yeah, but it was a big commitment. It was like a commitment of something that would have cost about \$10 million. I see.

And so I really wanted to make sure that this person knew what it is that they were doing. And that's answering the question, what is it you're going to do? And why should I trust you, basically? Yeah, 200 pages?

Yeah. It took them more than a month to write it.

Is there anything to the uninformed criticism that if it took 200 pages, maybe the thoughts aren't all that clear?

No, I mean, it was an incredibly thoughtful memo. It required a lot of context from areas that I did not know before. And I needed to be convinced that this was a good idea. And the thing is, people like 200, that's ridiculous. It took him about a month of full-time effort about a month. He should be a professional writer. That's faster than me.

Yeah. Well, to be fair, it's not copy edited. There's a lot of scratch notes in there. It's not intended for distribution. It's an internal memo.

So what people often miss is that this one person's set of decisions, if it took him a month to come up with this decision, that is going to impact probably 20 or 30 people for the next couple of years. And if it takes him an extra week to make the right decision... So bit.

Yeah, by all means, take an extra week, take an extra month, take however long it takes to make sure that this is the right decision because it has long lasting implications for the business. And so you kind of have to weigh these things on, you don't need to write a 200 page memo for something that's really trivial. But you still have to convince me that this is the right decision. And you can say, look, here's what we think. Here's a comp that does it really well.

It's going to take us one week to try it. It's plausible. Sure. Great. Go for it.

But what you're saying is, here's how I want to spend \$20 million over the course of the next two years. It's got to be pretty convincing. And it's probably going to be more than a couple bullet points to convince me.

Do you have any style guides or book recommendations that you provide to new hires that could be junior or that could be senior to make them more effective written communicators, better writers?

We've tried. We've thought about it. The best style guide that we have is really just our existing memo structure. There's somebody, David Perrell, who does a writing course that we've talked about maybe putting together something for corporate writing, but he hasn't put that together yet. Hopefully he does at one point. There's definitely space for something that something that you can give to people in a corporate setting to help them up-level their writing skills. It definitely does filter people out. We've had people opt out of our interview

process because of the requirement to do writing. And one could argue that those people might not be capable of the kind of thought that we need them to. I mean, they would be incredibly unhappy in the company also. For sure. So, good-dopped out early.

I very much view... This is one of the failure modes that I think many companies find themselves in with recruiting is that it is fundamentally a matching problem, not a sales problem. I share a lot of information during the interview process of all of the reasons why you probably won't want to work here. And some people are super energized by those. I had an interview very recently where I talked about all of our one-on-ones are recorded and shared. In fact, this conversation that we're having in this meeting, this conversation is recorded and will be shared with our whole company. And the response was, okay, can we delete this recording? And I don't want to be part of this anymore. It's like, great. We've now ended the process. Best luck in the future. Exactly. And there's probably a place where it's a better fit, but this definitely would not have worked. And so, yeah, it's really about finding those points at which somebody will opt out of the process.

Are there any particular memos that have had a disproportionate impact, putting aside the memo related to the large investment that would be occupying dozens of people for several years, which I recognize we've already covered as important. Are there any shorter memos that you think have had a wide-ranging or long-lasting impact that you're particularly proud of? Not necessarily that you wrote, they could be. Yeah, there's quite a few of them. I think one of them is an article you wrote on organizational entropy, just broadly, on strategies for fighting it. And I think that was really helpful for a lot of our managers to just recognize, I was talking to somebody on our team who, this is a bad habit a lot of engineers find themselves in as well, where you get really deep into a project and then the code base gets kind of complex. And then you say, I just want to start over. And then you start over with something smaller, which is lower complexity. But it takes you a long time to just get back to status quo. But now it's lower complexity. And then at some point, it starts to get complex again. And you say, I don't know, let's just start over. It is almost always the case that what's happening is parts of the code base are rotting. And there is no mechanism for keeping the documentation up to date. And the assumption that a lot of people have is once it's shipped, then it's done. Really, you've just created a new evergreen obligation for yourself. Every new surface that you add is an obligation to maintain maybe forever. What do you mean by surface? As in like, if you create a new screen on your app, you now have to maintain that forever, or somebody does. It's not just done. And then you have, you can move on with your life. You always have to have some process. This is your bonsai garden.

Yeah, exactly. You have to constantly come up with a process for,

hey, this screenshot that we just made on this new website, this will be out of date next time we update our app. And so you have to create a process for on some regular basis, maybe weekly, maybe monthly check, is the current state of our app aligned with the screenshot of the surface? And then you have 50 surfaces, you have to check all of them to make sure. And if your conclusion is that is too much maintenance, then the answer is, well, then you need fewer surfaces. And you need to figure out how to reduce that complexity of maintenance.

So next, I would like to chat about your sabbatical briefly. And that may not be the term that you

would apply. But you took a year off after your last company, and you studied two things, theology and network theory. Why did you choose these two? And I understand these words separately, but honestly, I have no idea what network theory refers to. So how did you choose these two? And then could you please explain network theory and what has come of that?

I had a whole list of topics. Some of them ended up being much simpler or more shallow than I was expecting. One that was simpler, I had on my list of things.

Bigger calves. How can I get bigger calves?

One of them was getting up to speed on the state of AI. This was five years ago now. And the last time I had done it was maybe 10 years ago. And it was really hard. There were no libraries, there was no real tooling. And then next time I get into it, a friend recommended the fast AI course. And it was so easy. My goal was to create something that can recognize images of cats. And it was literally the tutorial. And that was to recognize images of cats. I had planned to do three months on this, and I only ended up spending maybe a week on it, because I get it now. I see what this can do. And so theology was one that I became interested in because my... Lots of books available.

Yeah, for sure. I grew up, my father is Jewish and secular. My mother is like Richard Dawkins style atheist. And so I grew up not really knowing anything about religion. We went to temple every once in a while, but more is like a cultural thing. And if I'm being honest about it, I probably spent the first 25 years of my life generally condescending towards religious people. And then as I got older, I kept recognizing that these people

who I knew were smarter than me were also religious, which was very strange because this would be a really big oversight.

Little cognitive dissonance.

Yeah. It's like, how is this possible? And so my conclusion was that there's something about religion. It is more likely there's something I don't understand than it is all of these people smarter than me are missing something that I think is obvious. And so I spent a lot of time, I interviewed a whole bunch of my religious friends. I went to a Bible study class for 10 weeks. So a Christian Bible study. And it was really, really interesting. I think the... May I ask you a question?

Yeah.

How did you choose Christian Bible study instead of Torah, Talmud, whatever it might be? Or something else?

Some of it just very tactically, I knew a lot more about... I went to Sunday school growing up, so I knew a lot more about Jewish tradition. I knew a lot less about Christianity. The version of Christianity that was on my mind was like all the bad things, the crusades, the bigotry, all of those things. And what was fascinating going to this Bible study class was how the opposite it was of that. It was all about humility. It was about love and caring. And just over and over and over again on all of these courses. And it was a very different feel for religion than I was expecting. What came up having talked to a whole bunch of religious friends. Part of the reason why I also went to it is some of my friends were going to that church and they invited me. What was really interesting was this. One friend in particular changed my

perception of what religion means to people. He is somebody who... I didn't know this because as long as I've known him, he's been very Christian. And he told me how he grew up an atheist. And when he was, I think, 30, he was going through a bit of a midlife crisis. If that counts a quarter life crisis, whatever the number is. Yeah, I mean, we never know. Who knows? And he did an exercise where he wrote down all of the things that he wants out of life, which is he wanted a community of people that shared the same values. He wanted to be married to somebody who

shared this set of values. He just came up with this whole list and then had this realization, this is Christianity. He was like, oh my God, I just described Christianity. And so he went to a church and just immediately was like, this is it. This is what I've been missing my whole life was this. I talked to a lot of other people. There's this separation of the ritual, the... May I ask a question about that? So did he end up? I've been looking for this. Now this could refer to the total package. It could refer to the values which may be separate from beliefs. Does that make any sense? So did he go whole kit and caboodle, including the beliefs? Or was it more the combination

of all the other facts? In his case, he just went, he's like, I'm just going to do all of it now. And it's an interesting thing. This dive into theology, as it almost always does, ended up taking me down a path towards epistemology as well.

Can you define that? Yeah, like what is truth? What does it mean for something to be real or truthful? Yeah, or to have knowledge. Yeah. And so it's an interesting story because when I talked to some of my other religious friends, they say, well, that guy's not a real Christian because he doesn't actually believe in God. He came to it through ritual and community and practice, not through some like deep spiritual awakening. And what was interesting was how different religions, at least the sample size that I had, which was about 30 people, a lot of the Catholics that I talked to, they had this deep connection to their community, to the rituals of going to church. But I was often surprised at how they could not even answer basic questions about their religion. Like I remember the first conversation I had with one of my Catholic friends, I said, all right, let's talk about Catholicism. What is it that you believe? And she said, well, Catholicism is about the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit. So okay, so Father's God, Son is Jesus. What's the Holy Spirit? She said, I don't actually know. That might be okay. That might be totally fine, right? And it's like, I don't know how my microwave works, but I use it. And for that particular package, maybe that's okay. I mean, there's certainly other factions that would start to disagree with that, I'm sure. And I came across them. Yeah. Yeah. And it was interesting just to see how different people got different values out of religion. One of the most recent salon dinners that we hosted was on the bundling and unbundling of religion, which is a particularly fun one. It's basically how I've, over time, I started to realize the convergence of these bundles where I was at a dinner with some Burning Man friends, and we all held hands to say gratitudes. It's like, this is like grace. There's a lot of reinventing the wheel. Yeah. Like, no, no, it's totally different. It's like, it feels very similar. It feels a lot like saying grace. Yeah, there's a great video. It's an oldie. The one that kicked it all off, maybe, called Ultra Spiritual by J.P. Sears way back in the day. Oh, it's basically alternating between New Age, Hodgepodge spirituality, and very clear

monotheistic,

like Judeo-Christian stuff. And it's like, same, same, kind of. For sure. Or at least the attempt is made. So where did you then end up after this study? And then we're going to come back to the network theory. But what was the effect that that had on you? Or were there any sort of lasting changes of perspective or behavior or priorities or anything else? Totally. Yeah, I think the most interesting thing was this recognizing the separation of community ritual, that form of religion, and the deep spiritual belief side of religion. And I hosted a salon dinner on this, as I often do. And I had what I thought was this unique insight. And of course, I brought all my friends who are way better read on this than I did. And I opened it with my thoughts around this separation. And one of my friends who was there was like, yeah, that sounds a lot like varieties of religious experience by William James. And he explained it. It's like, yeah, that's exactly the same thing. And he wrote about this more than a hundred years ago. I thought I had this unique insight. And it's something that's been known for a long time. I would say one of the things that came out of it more than anything was an appreciation and a deeper respect for the positive aspects of religion and what it does for people. I think the deep dive into epistemology also is pretty mind bending, where it caused me to recognize that this is actually something that I'm still wrestling with is that I really do not believe there is such a thing as objective truth. All truth is subjective. You can maybe asymptotically approach truth, but there is no such thing as objective truth. The only way to believe in that is to believe in some specific higher power. Where I struggle with it is that that path almost always leads to postmodernism and to really bad things. And so I struggle. Can you define just what do you mean by postmodernism here? Postmodernism meaning like your truth is just as valid as anyone else's.

Complete moral relativism.

100% moral relativism is exactly. It is postmodernism in a nutshell. It's like your truth is just as important and real as anybody else's. There is no truth. There is no commons that we can agree on. It's just purely individual truth is all that matters. That leads to bad outcomes. Just in every society that has these postmodernist beliefs, Marxism as an example, tends to lead to really bad outcomes. And so I'm still struggling to figure out how one believes that without leading to some really negative outcome. One of my pet theories I've had for probably four or five years now, watching the development and ubiquity of say social media and watching elections and watching very sophisticated disinformation, misinformation campaigns and how people, I don't want to say by and large, but a lot of folks have ended up in this postfactual, you just can't know what is real apathetic state. And some people certainly then kind of bleed over nihilism for a lot of other reasons. But my theory, that's more the observation that leads to the theory, which is there's going to be a Cambrian explosion of religions. They may not self-describe the people who lead these things, even if they're distributed or self-identify. I mean, it may not describe it as religion, but it's going to look like a duck and quack like a duck, but maybe it calls itself aquatic chicken, okay, fine. I think so too. I think there's going to be a lot of sort of micro religions that pop up and maybe not so micro. And they'll fight and say that it's not a religion, but like the bundling and a bundling example is specifically, I brought up the example

of all of my Burning Man friends. It's like you have committed to a bundle and you can call that bundle a religion or you can call it something else, but it definitely rhymes with religion, whatever it is that you've bundled here. I took a class I've been meaning actually to get this professor on the podcast and I need to reach back out to him. I feel badly that I haven't done this Professor Gager at Princeton and he taught a class. I'm not going to get the title exactly, right? Because it was 12,000 years ago when I took the class, but it was something like religion, cult and magic in the Greco-Roman world. Oh, cool.

Which very quickly gets to the challenge of labeling and has a lot to do with political powers that be it. It has a lot to do with scale, size. And if it's five people just doing some, you know, Wicked Earth Goddess thing, it's quite different from like five million doing the same thing and raised a lot of very, very fascinating questions for me that I want to unpack with him. So theology, topic number one, network theory.

So this was another topic that I was particularly interested in more understanding from first principles. A lot of people know things that are tangentially related to network theory like the Dunbar's number, 150 people. People have some general sense that, you know, having a strong network is good. And I wanted a better understanding from first principle. So it's both network and graph theory are sort of the two related topics. Network theory in my experience is the language that people typically use for interpersonal human networks and graph theory is more math. I did a gratitude exercise maybe five years ago during the time off when I just listed all the good things that have happened to me in my life. And I think I listed 100 things was the goal. I think 97, 98 of them were because of somebody that I knew, not because of something that I did. Which was really surprising. And so I decided I'm going to really put a lot more attention towards who the people are in my life. And so I set a goal, which I still have, of keeping up with a thousand people that I care about every quarter.

Holy shit. That sounds like a lot of people.

It's a lot. I mean, it takes...

How did you choose that number?

Because it felt...

100 was too easy.

Yeah, 100 was trivial.

10,000 is way too much.

Basically, yeah. That's basically it. A thousand felt tractable but hard.

I probably haven't hit a thousand in a quarter in maybe two years, but I regularly exceed 500.

I feel like my cortisol has spiked so hard since you just mentioned that maybe I'm just more introverted. I don't know energetically how I'd manage that level of communication.

So I think some of it is... And this was something that I learned during the process of

really trying to be more intentional about who I spend my time with.

One of my values really is friendship and people that I care about.

And I wasn't prioritizing that to the degree that I thought that I was, given how important it is to my life.

Pretty quickly within, I don't know, some amount of time I realized that the list that I had put together of the people I want to stay in touch with,

not all of them wanted to stay in touch with me.

And that was...

It is a two-person dance.

Yeah, exactly. And I don't think I'd fully appreciated that.

Energetically, it was very hard because I was trying to force these people

to want to spend time with me and they clearly didn't.

Bill Gates, I'd appreciated it if you would reply to some of my letters.

Thank you.

Exactly. Yeah, yeah.

And so at some point, I realized, you know, I'm just going to change my list.

I'm only going to have people on here who I think also want to spend time with me.

So it's in both directions.

And so if I keep reaching out and they keep canceling or ignoring,

it's like, that's fine.

I don't take it personally anymore.

It's just they maybe have kids now, they have different life priorities.

It's 100% okay.

And maybe in five years, we can be friends again.

It's not a big deal.

I used to take these things a lot more personally and I just don't anymore.

And so network theory is really understanding why some of these relationships

are more valuable in whatever way you choose to describe value.

Is it professionally valuable?

Is it personally valuable?

Whatever the thing is.

And there are several categories that are especially relevant for interpersonal human networks.

One that is, it's a really underappreciated form of network centralities.

It was called eigenvector centrality.

There's a famous paper by Paul Granaveter, I think written in the 70s,

called The Strength of Weak Ties.

Cool title.

Yeah, it's a very important paper in network theory,

which it talks about how much more important weak ties are than strong ties.

How you are more likely to find your next job from somebody who is an acquaintance rather than a friend.

How you are more likely to find your life partner from an acquaintance rather than a friend.

Is that because the close friends are so overlapping

that there's the introduction of novelty is less likely?

Exactly, it's what's known as a dense network.

It is a network where all the people in the network know each other.

And so almost necessarily, you already know all those people

and you already have all that information.

So if there was a job in that group, you would already know about it.

And so the second degree and the third degree, eigenvector,

is like the end of the degree outside of your network,

are the people who you should really be thinking a lot more about.

And that's where a lot of the value comes from.

And so eigenvector centrality is when I really understood this concept,

I started shifting the way that I spend my time

and building a network of it is infinitely more valuable

to have one friend who is in a different dense network

than another friend in the same dense network.

Because you suddenly have access like knowing one person

in the apparel space versus zero makes a massive difference

because they probably know all the people in the apparel space.

Knowing one person who's a professional basketball player

is way different than knowing zero

because they know all the people in pro basketball.

And so this is more thinking about it from a professional lens

of when you're doing fundraising or you're building a company,

you never know where this value is going to come from.

And so finding ways of creating this strong eigenvector centrality

as opposed to what most people think of

and they think of popularity as what's called degree centrality,

which is how many people you know.

And like if you're the mayor of a small town

Or how many people know you?

Sure, this is a slightly different thing.

But yes, that would be called diffusion centrality.

Degree centrality is basically popularity.

If you're the mayor of a small town,

you have high degree centrality, but probably nothing beyond that.

You are the most connected person in a dense network.

Eigenvector centrality is how many people do the people that you know know.

And so it expands really exponentially

beyond what you would get from degree centrality.

So let me interject for a second to ask a question.

I find that logically makes a lot of sense

if you are trying to increase the surface area

upon which opportunities and sort of serendipitous

introductions slash information can stick.

And in the last few years, I've taken a very different approach.

I don't know the network theory terms for it,

but I've looked at my calendar every year I do this.

I do a past year review, look at my calendar and identify,

among other things, the people who produced

the peak low and high sort of emotional valence.

So if I'm looking at the positive interactions

that were disproportionately positive,

and then the people I interacted with

who produced very disproportionate negative emotional states,

I cut out the latter or dramatically reduced to the extent possible,

and then I try to increase the time with the people who are grouped in the former.

What I find is that's a very small group for me.

So when I've had opportunities, and this is probably,

life is about trade-offs oftentimes, right?

So when I have people offer to make introductions,

and I know that's going to consume a certain amount of time,

the question that I ask myself is, like looking at your calendar,

I'm like, okay, I know this could consume many hours.

Even if I don't want to engage,

I will now have an open mode of communication with someone,

which will have some bearing on like energetic cost.

Am I willing to apply that time to this new person,

or would I rather apply that to people

with whom I would already want to spend more time

in the sense that I'm like, these are your best friends.

Do you spend as much time with these people as you would like to?

Did you spend enough time or as much time as you would like in the last year?

For a lot of people, the answer is going to be no.

So I'm like, until I check that, I'm not going to look for new opportunities.

Although I'm in a somewhat, I guess I accomplish maybe what you're describing

through say the podcast, right?

I've had 700 podcast guests in different fields,

so it's pretty easy for me to find something.

As like a just-in-time introduction as opposed to just-in-case introduction.

But I guess what I'm wondering is at this point,

you've hosted whatever it is, 100 salons.

I would imagine you have at least one node, like a weak tie in most places.

So what are the benefits, the potential benefits,

that come from a thousand people a quarter?

That just seems like at face value to me like incredible overkill.

But it might not be.

I'm sure I'm missing something.

Yeah, I think it depends on one's goals.

And so I think one of the things that would be different is that your signal-to-noise ratio

is going to be a lot better than mine.

Because you are through the podcast, you can get recommendations from people on future guests that are extremely high signal.

Somebody's putting their social capital on the line to say,

you should meet this person.

And I think the nature of it is, I don't say yes to every intro.

Oftentimes I'll say, I'm happy to connect with them,

but I probably don't have any synchronous time available.

So I'm happy to correspond by email.

That's a good phrase.

Yeah, I don't have any synchronous time available,

but I'm happy to connect by email.

And then people are usually okay with that.

And if they say, hey, could you connect me with this person?

It's like, great, I didn't need a 30-minute meeting to do that.

It's like, happy to, sure, here.

So I think in my case, I'm 34.

There's still a lot of building in terms of network.

Yeah, you're kind of primetime in a lot of ways.

Yeah, and so there's a lot of new people.

And there are, I would describe them as vectors,

but all of these different dense networks.

there are many of them that I haven't even discovered yet

that just through serendipitous meetings may end up becoming really useful.

There was a specific example,

which I probably shouldn't say for work reasons,

but there was a serendipitous encounter through a friend

who said that they knew somebody

who was really into continuous glucose monitors.

Could I meet them?

And I had a breakfast slot open.

I said, sure, happy to chat with them.

Six months later, they reached out to me

and ended up connecting me to a very important business contact

that I had no idea that this person was in touch with.

And it was like, wow, who would have thought?

And so you never know where these sorts of things are going to come from.

I'm glad we're talking about it,

because what's fascinating for me is not just how people do things,

because without understanding the logic that goes into it,

even if you agree or disagree,

it's very hard for me at least to make sense of the actions.

I like to understand the logic behind it.

I think it's a cool systematic approach.

I nonetheless have a lot of anxiety just thinking about it,

but that's also a me thing.

Like I'm kind of notoriously unavailable.

I get so exhausted.

I mean, it's another reason I don't do many events of any type

or public speaking, et cetera.

I can get on a stage and do that,

but if there's any dinner afterwards where there's like 100 people in a room,

I need two or three days to recover from that.

It's just not in my constitution.

I get it.

I don't think.

Yeah.

I'm an INTJ on the Myers-Briggs.

I'm a pretty deep introvert.

And I think the way that I'm able to be protective of it

is that I don't do things that I don't want to do.

And like doing the Friday forums, my co-founder does them.

And it's only because everyone said the CEO has to be the one who does it.

But I said, look, I am not going to be able to do this every week.

I can do it for two weeks,

but then this is going to be super draining.

And Josh likes doing this, so he should be the one to do it.

Similarly, my co-founder Casey goes on a bunch of podcasts talking about metabolic health.

I don't have the stamina to go on a bunch of podcasts with the same sort of talking points and really pitching the vision of metabolic health.

That's not something that I can do consistently.

And so this comes back to the novelty-seeking thing.

And so we had her do it, and she's way better at it than I am.

So I'm really protective of only doing the things that give me energy.

And so people have asked me the question of what is my superpower?

That's a common question that you get.

And my answer is honestly pretty pedestrian.

It's just stamina.

I have no issue working 90-100 hours a week.

Really does not bother me at all.

And I only realize that as an advantage,

like as I'm seeing other people struggle with this stuff,

it's not that I can work more than other people.

It's that I'm very protective of what I spent my time on.

And I just want to do more because it's exciting.

So metabolic health.

We have not really spoken much at all about what the company does,

which is very much on purpose because as we had in our communication before,

I wanted to focus this podcast on a lot of other areas.

But since you also mentioned orange juice earlier in the conversation,

can you tell the, if this is a sufficient prompt, the juice cart moment?

This is an investor meeting.

Would you mind telling that story?

It's a great story.

Yeah, it's funny.

At the time, it was my friend, Josh.

We hadn't started the company yet.

And we were thinking about could this software

with continuous glucose monitoring, could this be a business?

And Josh, he went to a juice cart before the meeting.

Every good pitch has some amount of theater to it.

And so our idea was we would go, we'd have a thing of juice,

and while we're in the meeting, he'll sip on it.

And then there'll be the big reveal at the end.

Like, actually, I can show you right now.

And we would see his glucose go up.

And so he went to a juice cart.

He got the thing called health drink, literally called health drink.

We have a picture of it.

He's drinking it while we're in this meeting.

And then this is an early investor pitch to see if there's any traction there.

And sure enough, 30 minutes in, we do the scan.

And he is just rocking it up, like over 200 milligrams per deciliter.

And he's like, whoa, wait a second.

Which is very high.

It's very high.

Yeah.

You could just see it in the faces of the investors.

They were just like, oh my god, that is, I want one of these.

And like, I only need to give one of these for my wife.

This is so cool.

And so that was the first moment I realized there's some real magic

in getting these feedback loops.

Because it's like, you think this is healthy, it's definitely not.

It's mostly just sugar.

So I'm going to ask you to do the thing that you hate to do.

But for the purposes, because I imagine there are people listening who might find the company fascinating enough that they would want to consider applying for a job or something like that.

So it could be 20 seconds.

It doesn't need to be long.

But just metabolic health, the mission of the company, you can make it as shorter as long as you'd like.

Just to give people an idea of what you guys are doing.

Totally.

So we, levels shows you how food affects your health using biosensors,

like continuous glucose monitors.

The metabolic health crisis is a compounding problem.

When we started this company four years ago, the diabetes rate was about 10%.

It's now 13%.

The rate of diabetes is increasing at an increasing rate.

It's second derivative positive.

There are 90 million prediabetics in the US.

The CDC estimates that 70% of those people will be diabetic within 10 years.

This is a very serious problem.

The numbers are really frightening if something does not dramatically change.

So what almost everybody learns is something that is in their diet, oatmeal, ketchup, that they don't realize has sugar in it.

They almost always discover something that is causing problems in their life that they didn't realize.

And so the goal of wearing a biosensor where you're literally measuring molecules in your body is this is the ground truth.

You don't have to trust honey nut Cheerios, which says it's heart healthy.

You don't have to trust them.

You can just see it in your body and your own data and you can make your own decisions. That's the intent of the company.

And I should also mention to folks, because I've used continuous glucose monitors a lot and Levels is an amazing tool.

And you will also often see things that are very counterintuitive or at least not obvious at first glance, like something that perhaps at smaller quantities is a very healthy food, but at your normal intake quantities, even though it doesn't seem like a lot, it's the same amount your friends eat actually provokes a huge insulin response or glucose response, I should say both, but this is a lot easier to use as a measurement.

And you might also find that, for instance, people might associate glucose with carbohydrates, but not always the case.

Like if you have a rock and gigantic steak, maybe not so gigantic depends on your personal profile. It's very surprising how also time of day factors into this.

And unless you have the information, which is different from data, right?

I mean, you can be deluged in data, but not know how to interpret it. But with an interface like Levels, if you are able to actually get a graspable hold on the signals that matter, it's pretty shocking, I think, and immensely practical, how much you can modify your behavior. For sure. And very simple things too. Going on a walk after a meal has a huge impact on your glucose response. And the thing that got me interested in it, I'm pretty thin, I'm pretty fit. I played sports in college. The thing that got me interested in glucose monitoring was the energy swings. It's crazy to think that I used to believe this, but I was convinced that what you eat, it's just calories and calories out. What you eat does not impact how you feel. Makes no difference. It's all just calories. And then I started wearing a glucose monitor and I had my normal, healthy breakfast of orange juice and oatmeal. And I just went on this roller coaster and around noon, I'm falling asleep. And I see that I've crashed into deep hypoglycemia. And it just clicks. You can read about this stuff, but when you see it in your own data, it's like, this is it. It's not caffeine. It's not sleep. This whole time, it's been the thing that I don't even like oatmeal. I was just doing it because I was told it was healthy. And it's actually the source of a lot of my problems. And on the walk piece, Marco Canora, I was an amazing chef. Actually, he is the proprietor of one of my favorite restaurants in New York City, Hearth. And you can also get Brodo Bonebroth, which is spectacular, right next door, attached to Hearth. And he has really completely recomposed his body. I mean, he over the last, let's call it 10 years, combination of different factors. But the use of levels showed him how much just walking around the block. I mean, we're not talking about half a mile, a very short walk after eating. What an incredible intervention that was for having an impact on glucose levels. It's remarkable. So for people who might be interested, you guys looking for any particular types of folks at the moment? Yeah, I mean, we're always hiring engineers. So if you're a TypeScript engineer, front and back end, my DMs are open on Twitter. So. All right. That's the best way? Yeah. All right. DMs on Twitter. His EA's will be eagerly awaiting your DMs. Last thing I wanted to talk about, because people might be interested and I'm interested, so minimalism. And this is particularly interesting to me because it raises questions about why you're doing what you're doing. Do you like stuff? But it's more of a philosophical exercise. And then at some point, if you guys have investors, presumably, they would like to get a return on their investment, which means if that happens, then you would get a return on your equity, presumably. What happens then? It raises all sorts of questions about the philosophies of the beliefs behind these things or the guiding principles. So let's start with the physical stuff. What does physical minimalism look like for you? It's never been a philosophical practice for me. It's really just been pragmatic. It was what I think is now called a digital nomad for guite a few years. And over time, just having stuff was really inconvenient. And so over time, I just had less and less stuff to the point where all my stuff fits in that backpack at this point. That is across from us in this recording studio. Yeah. I just don't have a lot of stuff. So hold on a second. So does this excluder include clothing?

So I have this pair of pants. This is my only pair of pants. Okay. I have three shirts. I have 10 pairs of underwear. I have 10 socks. So you must have tried a lot of pants and shirts then. What are we working with here? These shirts are definitely, these are definitely good. These are, these shirts are definitely quality. These are the Calvin Klein modal is the material. They're super breathable. They don't get smelly. Yeah, these are great. The pants are ones that my wife picked out. So I don't actually know what they are. That's great. They made the cut. They did. Or maybe there's some karmic debt and you just have to wear them now. I don't know. They're, they're honestly, they're a bit too thin. And so whenever it gets hot, I feel like I'm glued to them. It's very hard to take them off, but yeah. Okay. All right. So we'll stick with the shirt recommendation. What else is in your bag? Is there anything that wouldn't be obvious? I'm sure there's laptop and some cables and charging, et cetera. Yeah. A lot more cables than you would anticipate is like six or eight different cables that I have to do to connect things. Dongle City. Yep. I have, you know, standard toiletries. I think one that I keep with me that's maybe a little bit uncommon. Throwing stars. No. I keep the, I keep some of it with me. I call them my focus goggles. Okay. Yeah. They're kind of like horse blinders. So when you're on an airplane, I have the attention span of a goldfish. And so if I'm trying to work and the person next to me is watching Transformers, I'm just going to end up watching Transformers with no sound. And so having these just blocks my ability to see anything for a feeling. I have them here if you want to check them out. Would you mind? Yeah. I want to see these things. I might need a pair of these. Okay. All right. So, so he got here while you sit down just so people can see this because this is also not a big bag. This is substantially smaller than my bag, which does not contain all of my earthly belongings. I mean, obviously some of my stuff is in the hotel room, but yeah, these are my focus goggles. Oh, wow. You made these. Oh yeah, custom. This is incredible. Okay. So what we got here, these are okay. So for people, I'm going to put these on in a second, but for people who can't see them, so they're basically almost like glasses. They're like shooting range glasses. You have basically created Inuit style sunglasses, in a sense, which people can look up, but by painting something on the surface to create sort of a thin sliver. Yeah. It's like I took a little bit of masking tape over the middle. This is the third iteration. Okay. Put some masking tape in the middle. Spray painted. Then you spray painted and then you put like kinesio tape or something. Yeah, that's just like a normal athletic tape and it's just because I've been trying with the spacing. Wow. Yeah. These are pretty amazing. Yeah. And so they're good. I've tried different versions. So like one of the versions. Yeah, I was imagining actual planters, which would be a little, yeah, it might freak out the families around it. Yeah. I mean, this also freaks people out. That is amazing. Yeah. Okay. It's the perfect. We'll definitely need a photo of this. This might be, I think this should be for the thumbnail for the episode. You should have these on. That would definitely get a couple of extra clicks. Yeah. All right. Those are cool. This is a third version. The first version was just dots, just circles, but turns out with that form factor, you get really dizzy. That sounds awful. Yeah, it's bad. So doing the slit works, but then it turns out this slit was a little bit too wide. So I just put some tape on it. But this is, this is the final form of the

focus goggles. Okay. Focus goggles for the win. Anything else you got in there? Any like runes that you cast to make critical company decisions? I keep my passport. I keep a copy of the Constitution in my bag. Okay. Just tell me more. It's the law. So it's a good reminder. Don't break the law again. Don't break the law again. No, seriously, what is it? I mean, I understand the law, but most people also don't want to inadvertently or purposefully break the law, but they don't carry a copy of the Constitution. So what does that serve in your life? It's surprising. It takes like two hours to read it. It's a really short document, and it is surprising how often it ends up coming up in a discussion or a debate. Like one recently related to the election, somebody was saying, the electoral college is unconstitutional. And I said, no, no, no, it's in the Constitution. It's article two, section one. They're like, no, no, no, it's not. It's like, I have a constitution right here. And they're like, okay, fine. It's definitely, it's literally in the Constitution. It's definitely constitutional. It's like, it's like, okay, well, it shouldn't be constitutional. It's like fair enough. But like, it's definitely constitutional. All right. How long have you had the Constitution in your bag? Several years. Yeah.

Now, is it just for smacking down people who are speaking mistresses and debates? Or sometimes I reread it. It is a fascinating book, if you want to call it that, on organizational design for all of its flaws. The United States is the longest standing republic in the world. And so when you think about that from a historic lens, a lot of the things that people who started the country did were very thoughtful and interesting. And so all these ideas around checks and balances, some of it relates to company building. Some of it can be used as an example of what is not relevant from company building. So for example, when you're building a country, you cannot make assumptions about the positive intent of the other people in the country. So you have to create structures to manage when there are bad actors. When you're in a company, you can just fire those people. It's super easy. And so you don't need to create those same mechanisms. And so where a lot of people... It depends on the company, but with equity, you can also really help to align incentives in ways that are much harder on a national level. For sure. You cannot assume if somebody is acting in a way that's not illegal, but is detrimental to the country, you can't throw them out. They used to have exile as a punishment, which is really interesting. Ostracism was a punishment. It's just, all right, you can't be here for 10 years. That was a real punishment that people would just vote and say, we don't like him. He just has to go away. But you can't really do that in countries. You totally can do that in a company. And so this ties into when you're creating systems, you have to understand the people in the system, how they interact. So if you're building a company, the idea of treat people like adults, you can make a set of assumptions about all the people here are going to be honest. When people fill out engagement surveys, they will be honest. And if they're not, you remove them as opposed to, well, what structures, maybe we add some anonymity, we add some back doors, let's make some compromises

because we have people that are not aligned. Or you can just say, no, all people are aligned, or they leave. You just don't have to create all this process that creates distrust and all these other problems that are downstream of that. All right. So it sounds like in a way, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, but this is a sort of idea catalyst, thought catalyst.

Yeah, sure. Related to organizational design. Yeah, it's a reminder.

Yeah. All right. Well, we've covered a whole lot of ground here. I think we've covered pretty much everything that I have in front of me. We could cover a lot more and keep going for hours, I am sure. Curious when you are going to announce the official formation of your church of Sam. And is there anything you would like to mention that we didn't cover? Any closing comments? Anything you'd like to direct people to? Anything at all that you'd like to say before we wind to a close? This might be something that you're sick of hearing, but I want you to know that your work has had an incredibly positive impact on a lot of people, myself included. So thank you. Thanks, Sam. I really appreciate that. And I've really enjoyed this conversation. I look forward to our dinner. I'm definitely going to dig more into the theology, which is endlessly fascinating to me as well on so many levels. And for everybody listening, where's the camera? There's the

He shows you how often he has cameras. We're over here, Tim. We're over here. Oh, yes, camera one.

To everybody listening, we will link to everything we've discussed, including much more, because Sam has been very generous with his making lots of documents available publicly. So we will really have rich show notes for this episode at Tim.blog slash podcast as per usual. And until next time, be just a bit kinder than is necessary, both to others and to yourself. Don't forget the last part, compassion is incomplete, if it doesn't include yourself. And as always, thanks for tuning in.

Hey, guys, this is Tim again, just one more thing before you take off. And that is Five Bullet Friday. Would you enjoy getting a short email from me every Friday that provides a little fun before the weekend? Between one and a half and two million people subscribed to my free newsletter.

my super short newsletter called Five Bullet Friday, easy to sign up, easy to cancel. It is basically a half page that I send out every Friday to share the coolest things I've found or discovered or have started exploring over that week. It's kind of like my diary of cool things. It often includes articles I'm reading, books I'm reading, albums, perhaps gadgets, gizmos, all sorts of tech tricks and so on that get sent to me by my friends, including a lot of podcast guests and these strange esoteric things end up in my field. And then I test them and then I share them with you. So if that sounds fun, again, it's very short, a little tiny bite of goodness before you head off for the weekend, something to think about. If you'd like to try it out, just go to tim.vlog slash Friday, type that into your browser, tim.vlog slash Friday, drop in your email and you'll get the very next one. Thanks for listening. This episode is brought to you by Shopify, one of my absolute favorite companies, and they make some of my favorite products. Shopify is the commerce platform revolutionizing millions of businesses worldwide and I've known the team since 2008 or 2009. But prior to that, I wish I had personally had Shopify in the early 2000s when I was running my own e-commerce business. I tell that story in the four hour work week, but the tools then were absolutely atrocious and I could only dream of a platform like Shopify. In fact, it was you guys, my dear readers who introduced me to Shopify when I polled all of you about best e-commerce platforms around 2009 and they've only become better and better since. Whether you're a garage entrepreneur or getting ready for your IPO,

Shopify is the only tool you need to start, run and grow your business without the struggle. Shopify puts you in control of every sales channel. It doesn't matter if you're selling satin sheets from Shopify's in-person POS system or offering organic olive oil on Shopify's all-in-one e-commerce platform. However you interact with your customers, you're covered and once you've reached your audience, Shopify has the internet's best converting checkout to help you turn browsers

into buyers. Shopify powers 10% of all e-commerce in the United States and Shopify is truly a global force as the e-commerce solution behind all birds, Rothes, Brooklyn and millions of other entrepreneurs of every size across more than 170 countries. Plus, Shopify's award-winning help is there to support your success every step of the way if you have questions. This is Possibility Powered by Shopify, so check it out. Sign up for a \$1 per month trial period at Shopify, that's S-H-O-P-I-F-Y, Shopify.com slash Tim, go to Shopify.com slash Tim to take your business to the next level today. One more time, all lowercase Shopify.com slash Tim. This episode is brought to you by Sundays for Dogs, ultra-high-quality dog food without the prep or mess. I want to give my pooch Molly the best of everything. This is especially true when it comes to the ingredient quality of her food. I've tried tons of different options, but most healthy dog foods are an expensive, frozen mess. I've tried them, it's a disaster. They're pain in the ass to thaw and serve and the prep work eats up time. I'd rather spend hiking with Molly, let alone the cleanup. So there's a lot that goes into it and I was looking for other options. Sundays for Dogs solves my problem with air-dried, high-quality dog food I can store and pour right from my pantry, no mess at all. The magic behind Sundays for Dogs is in their proprietary air-drying method. To lock in nutrients, they gently dry the meat, low and slow. And unlike other brands, which are filled with hyperprocessed

grains and synthetic vitamins and so on, Sundays for Dogs only uses all-natural poultry and USDA great beef. Meat makes up 90% of their recipes. The other 10% are fruits and veggies, ingredients you'd find at the farmer's market, not at a pharmacy. Sundays for Dogs and I've worked out a special deal just for you, my dear listeners. Get 35% off of your first order of Sundays for Dogs by going to Sundays, that's plural, SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or by using code TIM at checkout.

That's SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or by using code TIM at checkout.

One more time, that's SundaysforDogs.com slash Tim, or code TIM at checkout.

Upgrade your pup to Sundays for Dogs and feel great about the food you feed your best friend.