The presenting sponsor of this episode is Tiny.

Tiny is the easiest way for you to sell your business.

They provide straightforward cash exits for founders.

They can do deals of all sizes.

They bought businesses in the past

for as little as a million dollars

and some businesses for over a hundred million dollars.

Tiny has been called the Berkshire Hathaway of the internet.

The founders, Andrew and Chris have spoken about

how the thinking of Warren Buffett and Charlie Munger

has influenced the way that they are building Tiny.

Stick around to the end of this episode.

The last six minutes is actually a masterclass

on product differentiation from Warren Buffett.

I compare the ideas that Warren writes about

in his shareholder letters to Tiny's approach

to building their business.

Selling a business is usually a headache and a hassle.

Selling to Tiny is the opposite.

The process of selling your business is very straightforward.

You get in touch with Tiny by going to tiny.com.

You get a response within 48 hours.

They'll make an offer within seven days

and they close within a month

and you get a bag full of cash.

If you have a business that you wanna sell now

or in the future, make sure you go to tiny.com.

And one more quick thing, do me a favor.

Whatever podcast player that you're currently

listening to this on, search for invest like the best

and follow that show.

And once you do that, listen to episode 318,

Doug Leone, Lessons from a Titan.

The episode was so good that I listened to it twice.

Here's my favorite quote from the episode.

You can tell that Doug has founder mentality.

He says, we were killers.

I wanna make sure that you know that.

We were killers, not killers to make the most money.

Killers to get the job done.

It surprised none who knew him well.

Then in old age, Rockefeller compared himself to Napoleon.

The revelation came while vacationing in France,

not far from a spot where the general

had won a great victory.

A casual remark from a companion

led to an extraordinary soliloquy,

Rockefeller's longest on record.

This is what he said.

It is hard to imagine Napoleon as a businessman,

but I have thought that if he had applied himself to commerce,

he would have been the greatest businessman

the world has ever known.

My, what a genius for organization.

He also had what I've always regarded

as the prime necessity for large success in any enterprise.

That is a thorough understanding of men

and ability to inspire in them,

confidence in him and confidence in themselves.

See the men he picked as marshals

and the heights to which they rose

under his inspiration and leadership.

It is by such traits as these

that men get the work of the world done.

It is all a battlefield.

Napoleon without the able marshals he had about him

would not have been the master of his age.

He went into a battle with the knowledge

that his marshals could be dependent on,

that in a given situation,

they could be relied upon to do the necessary things.

Their devotion to him coupled with their enthusiasm,

that's another great attribute

and the qualities with which his influence

upon them brought out won the fight.

Another thing about Napoleon was his humanity.

I mean humanity in the broad sense of course.

He came direct from the ranks of the people.

There was none of that stagnant blood of nobility

or royalty in his veins.

That's where he had the advantage

over the monarchs of Europe to begin with.

He could think quicker and along more individual

and original lines than any of them.

The men whom he had to combat

didn't understand either him or the people

and it is always hard to successfully control

what you don't understand.

Napoleon didn't play the game as they understood it. And then coming direct from the people, he had their sympathy.

He appealed to their imaginations.

Europe had not yet been educated to the fact

that it could get along without any kings at all.

Leaders of their own kind were few

and that made it easier for Napoleon to rise

to the heights with which he attained.

And Napoleon would be impossible in our day.

There are too many able and ambitious rivals

to hold and check one who aimed too high.

That is not an excerpt from the book

that I'm gonna talk to you about today.

That is actually an excerpt from a book

that I read a few months ago.

It's all the way back on episode 254.

It is called John D. The Founding Fathers

of the Rockefellers and it was written

by David Freeman Hawke.

The book that I'm gonna talk to you about today

is Napoleon, a concise biography

and it was written by David A. Bell.

And real guick before I jump into the book

I'm doing an in-person meetup in Miami

with Shane Parrish from the Farnham Street blog

and the Knowledge Project podcast.

It is going to be on the night of April 18th.

Shane set up a landing page where you can enter your email

if you are interested in attending

and I will leave that link down below

in case you wanna come.

Okay, so I wanna start with the inside cover of the book because I think it gives a fantastic overview of Napoleon and the author's perspective of Napoleon

and he writes,

Napoleon's astounding life and military genius

have captured imaginations for two centuries.

That excerpt I just read to you

where Rockefeller's commenting on his admiration

for Napoleon, he said that over a hundred years ago.

And among history's greatest entrepreneurs

Rockefeller is not alone in his admiration for Napoleon.

The reason I'm reading this biography

and this is probably gonna be the first of many biographies of Napoleon I read is because Napoleon's mentioned so many times in a bunch of other biographies that I've read for the podcast. Anywhere from Henry Clay Frick to Edison to Larry Ellison to Julio Lobo which is the last billionaire in Cuba before Castro took over to Aristotle of Nassos to Bill Gates to Andrew Carnegie. The list of founders and entrepreneurs from history that study Napoleon is very, very long. So back to this, Napoleon's astounding life and military genius have captured imaginations for two centuries. Now, award-winning historian David Bell provides this succinct and elegant portrait offering an original and lively account of Napoleon's dazzling career and firmly situating him in a historical context of the revolutionary of revolutionary France. This book is 113 pages long

and the author tells us later why he chose

to do such a concise biography of Napoleon.

Bell emphasizes the astonishing sense of human possibility

for both good and ill that Napoleon represented.

By his late 20s, he was already one of the greatest generals

in European history.

At 30, he had become absolute master

of Europe's most powerful country.

In his early 40s, he ruled a European empire mightier

than any since Rome fighting wars

that changed the shape of the continent

but his fall was epic as well,

leading him to spend his last years in miserable exile.

Out of Bell's engaging analysis emerges the image

of an ambitious and charismatic man,

one who affirmed his right to rule

in the name of the revolutionary principles

of popular sovereignty and civic equality,

but also as a conquering hero.

Highlighting the importance of the 1789 French Revolution

in Napoleon's political formation,

this concise biography offers a new interpretation

of his life and rule.

The revolution made possible

Napoleon's unprecedented concentration

of political authority and his success

in mobilizing human and material resources.

That's actually an important part

that we'll talk about in a little bit.

You can actually think about that

in the context of building a business today.

What is damaging traditional hierarchies

and opening new ways to climb fast?

Back to this overview, it also gave birth

to a radically new, intense form of warfare.

Without the massive political upheaval of the revolution,

Napoleon could not have fought his wars.

Without the wars, he could not have seized

and held onto power.

Though he betrayed much of the revolutionary heritage

of liberty and equality and ultimately ruled as an autocrat,

his life and career were indeed revolutionary.

So the book starts out saying,

hey, there's a ton of biographies of Napoleon.

Why do we need another one?

Why did you choose to write another one?

And his reason, David Bell's reason for writing

is why I'm doing the book.

It says it is hardly surprising

that over the past two centuries,

Napoleon has attracted legions of biographers.

His life was enormously important, endlessly fascinating,

and connected to some of the most controversial

and constantly reinterpreted events in the world history.

It was also an extraordinarily well-documented life.

So why then this book?

While the current crop of biographies is many virtues,

concision is not among them.

And then he goes and lists some examples

of some popular recently written biographies of Napoleon.

One's 1,600 pages, another one's 1,000 pages,

one's 600 pages.

And so then David says,

not only do many readers not have the time

and patience for such tomes,

but it's all too easy to get lost in the welter of details.

My book has been written for readers

who want an accurate, readable portrait of Napoleon

that incorporates the results of recent research,

but is also concise.

And so this is something that you and I

have talked about in the past.

I love biographies.

I love reading them.

I'm a biography nut to use the word of Charlie Munger.

But at the same time,

I think you can drastically expand the amount of biographies

that people read by making them shorter.

There's a writer named Paul Johnson,

who I just found out sadly passed away recently.

I've read three or four books of his on the podcast.

One of my favorite books I've ever read for the podcast

was actually his biography of Churchill.

Churchill also has a ton of biographies written about him.

And yet Paul's biography of Churchill is fantastic.

I think it's like 180, 190 pages.

That was episode 225.

I also read Paul's biography on Socrates,

which is episode 252,

and his biography on Mozart, which is episode 240.

I think every single one of his biographies

was around 200 pages.

And so what I think these books do

is they give you a reasonable time commitment.

You can see, hey, once you finish this book,

you can read it in a weekend,

say, hey, I wanna learn more about Napoleon,

which is how I feel.

It's like, okay, well, then I can go on to these 500.

I actually have a biography of Napoleon I haven't read yet.

I think it's like close to 700 pages.

And so I hope to see a bunch of other biographies

like this written.

Let's jump into a bit of Napoleon theater

that's going to take place between his two different exiles.

So before this, Napoleon had been removed from power,

exiled on Island.

Then he decides he's gonna escape

and he's gonna take back his throne.

And that's where we pick it up.

On the other side of the fight is a slightly larger number of men, dirty, tired and hungry, who have spent the past week marching more than 200 miles from the coast and who have the seemingly absurd ambition of making it all the way to Paris and seizing control of the country. Their chances of success would appear minimal, but they have one enormous advantage. He is in their midst, wearing an old gray army jacket, speaking French with a thick Corsican accent. He is the man that all the men on the field from both sides had once sworn to die for, Napoleon Bonaparte. It had been the better part of a year since Napoleon fell from power, but the French are having a hard time forgetting him. He is, after all, the man who, born in obscurity, acquired the greatest military reputation of any European military commander in centuries, while still in his 20s. At 30, he ruled France. And at 40, he dominated Europe as no individual had since Charlemagne. The wars he fought had changed the map of Europe forever, but his fall had been as spectacular and swift as his rise, and his 45th birthday saw him in exile on a tiny Italian island. And so let me just interrupt this real guick. The reason I'm reading all this to you is because Napoleon controlled the message inside and outside his organization. It reminded me of what we learned about Julius Caesar. Actually, that was a book written by Paul Johnson, too. That was this book called Heroes from Alexander the Great and Julius Caesar to Churchill and De Gaulle. That was episode 226. And Napoleon, like Caesar before him, knew the power of stories, and he made sure to control the story that what the author is gonna consider what Napoleon's about to do here. He calls it stage management.

And it was very effective,

because this is a story that has been told over and over again for the past 200 years. And so it's known that he meets up with this other, his former French army, and as the armies are facing each other, Napoleon goes to the front of the crowd and appears to be willingly to sacrifice his life. And so what we found out later is that he knew that the opposing army was never going to shoot at him. So let me read this part to you. As the armies face each other, Napoleon orders his men to lower their weapons. He steps forward out in front of his own troops and within 20 feet of the opposing army, soldiers of the fifth, he cries out to them, I am your emperor, acknowledge me. He walks a few more steps and in a dramatic gesture opens his coat, exposing his chest as a target. If there's any soldier among you who wants to kill his emperor, here I am. Somewhere in the opposing lines, a voice can be heard ordering men to open fire, but no one does. And then a different cry is heard. Long live the emperor, a single voice at first, but immediately repeated by others. The entire battalion is shouting the words and as they do, they throw down their weapons. Napoleon smiles at his small army, which has just doubled in size. He prepares to move onward. And so it says this stage management is important for understanding Napoleon's life. From his first campaigns, he knew the importance of actively crafting his image in all available media. So what is the available media in the late 1700s

Machine-generated and may contain inaccuracies.

to early 1800s when this is all taking place?

It is no coincidence that so many images of the man

Print, painting, sculpture, oratory,

and even architecture.

have achieved iconic status.

And even though I've never read a biography of Napoleon before, I've heard so many remarkable stories repeated about him over the years, 200 years after they occurred. Napoleon crafted all these images guite deliberately. He was a product of the first great modern age of celebrity and he understood viscerally how to manage celebrity in the service of power. And this point is so important

that the author immediately repeats it. It is vital to understand this point about Napoleon from the start, because it is all too easy to see him as a pure force and freak of nature who imposed himself on the world through sheer boldness and brilliance.

Bold and brilliant he was, but he was also shrewd.

And so at this point in history, you're seeing for the first time, political figures actually learning

to appeal directly to ordinary citizens to gain power.

These are the revolutionaries trying to replace the monarchs.

The way I would compare this to today

is our ability to talk directly to our customers.

And so Napoleon did this obviously externally, right?

To the people that he's trying to rule,

but he also did this internally.

To the political, military organization

that he was trying to build,

the one that actually gave him power.

And so when I get to this point where it says,

hey, political figures learning to appeal

directly to ordinary citizens to gain power,

there's another guy, I'm gonna skip over his name,

was not the only prominent revolutionary

who used the printing press, right?

The technology of their day,

the printing press to forge intense bonds

of attachment with his followers.

And so something that comes up again and again

in these biographies I read

is the importance of having a shared base of knowledge

with your team.

And in many cases that's sharing information,

that's reading the same books,

that's the memos and letters that you write your team.

But the thought that popped to my mind, right?

When they use the word,

hey, they're using the printing press

to forge intense bonds of attachment with his followers.

What I wrote down is the company

should have private internal podcasts.

I believe that podcasting is the printing press

for the spoken word.

When building intense bonds of attachment with your team,

voice is gonna be a lot more powerful than the written word.

So then they go into what they call

the defining experience of Napoleon's childhood.

And that is when he is sent to an austere,

military boarding school at the age of nine.

And this is in France, it says he spent five years

at the school without once returning home.

The hazing he received from his fellow students

on account of his accent, his fierce loyalty to Corsica

and a first name unfamiliar to French ears.

Scholars have speculated endlessly

about the effects of the experience on his character.

And it is indeed likely that he derived considerable

resilience and self-sufficiency from it.

There was another effect,

like countless lonely children before and after,

Napoleon found comfort and companionship in books.

And so this is the habit that Napoleon shared

with many of history's greatest entrepreneurs,

this habit of intense reading.

By adolescence, the habit of intense reading

had already become deeply ingrained.

I live like a bear, always alone in my small room

with my books, he said.

They were my only friends.

He kept copious reading notes and a file of obscure words

that might lend weight to his own writings.

And in some of these writings,

there's definitely a bit of irony

considering what he becomes later in life.

One of his works, one of his written works contain lines

that coming from one of the most ambitious men in history,

appear more than a little ironic.

He wrote,

ambition like all disordered passions is a violent and unthinking delirium. Like a fire fed by a pitless wind,

it only burns out after having consumed everything in its path.

That sounds like a young Napoleon

is describing an old Napoleon.

So after graduating school,

he receives his first post in the French army.

He received his first posting

where he read obsessively in his room.

And even by this young age,

people around him, including his family members

who hadn't seen him for a while,

knew that he was different

and that he had an intensity and a drive

that was in many ways abnormal and superhuman.

So his father dies.

He has to go home to Corsica and settle the affairs.

And it says the family soon came to recognize him

rather than recognize him

rather than his gentle older brother as its real leader.

Indeed, his younger brother soon grasped

the intensity of Napoleon's drive.

This is what his brother said about Napoleon

when he's in his early 20s.

I've always detected in Napoleon an ambition.

He seems inclined to be a tyrant.

And I think that he would be one if he were king.

What made it possible for Napoleon

to follow the path of overweening ambition

was the French Revolution.

There's a great line in Game of Thrones

where Littlefinger says chaos is a ladder.

The French Revolution causes chaos

that Napoleon's gonna use as a ladder to climb.

So it says in an instant everything had changed

from the depths of this nation

an electric spark had exploded.

The revolution was overturning age old hierarchies

and giving worldwide prominence to previously obscure figure.

Sounds like what the internet is doing today, doesn't it?

And so in 1792 to 1793.

so he'd be around 23, 24 years old at the time,

it says Napoleon gained his first taste of combat. And then it continued over the next two to three years. They proved crucial for Napoleon. Napoleon showed political ruthlessness immediately. That word ruthlessness and ruthless has probably said, I don't know, half a dozen times to describe Napoleon in various different states of his life, like ages of his life and in different activities. not only in the battlefield, but also in the political arena as well. That is something that the author repeats over and over again, just the depth of the ruthlessness that Napoleon possessed. He also demonstrated his tremendous energy and military acumen by effectively reorganizing their artillery, identifying a crucial weak point in his opponent's defenses and leading the attack against it personally. He demonstrated genuine physical courage, receiving a bayonet wound to the thigh and having a horse shot out from under him. He was just 24 years old. So then to go on describing other bottles and other tactics that Napoleon used and again we see that word, once again the combination of skill, energy and ruthlessness and sheer luck had served Napoleon so well. And so he wins a series of battles over the next few years. By the time he's 26, he's named to the command of the third smallest army in France. And so he's reflecting on this point of his life later on and listen to how he describes his mid-20 self. More than 20 years after defeating the Austrian army, Napoleon confided to the tiny group of people that were accompanying him in exile that only after that battle, quote, did I believe myself to be a superior man and did the ambition come to me of executing the great things which so far had been occupying my thoughts only as a fantastic dream. So this idea where it's like before, I saw this as just this crazy, you know, forfeit stream to know I'm gonna go and make it a reality leads to this. Very few human beings have ever experienced

what Napoleon did between mid 1796 and late 1799.

At the start of this period, just 26 years old,

he was already an important French general,

but still just one of several.

Three and a half years later,

he was without exaggeration, the new Caesar.

And this reminded me of the quote

from Littlefinger from Game of Thrones, chaos is a ladder.

And this is, the French revolution is the ladder

that he's going to use.

It was the French revolution

that made the stupefying ascent possible.

The revolution badly damaged the traditional hierarchies

of French society, opening the door

to radically new forms of social mobility.

So his case, it's social mobility,

it's political power, it's war.

In our case, how can we use this

for to build businesses today

that could not have existed previously?

And I love this part because you and I have talked

about this over and over again,

that many times in these stories,

we see it's the right place, the right person,

the right place with the right set of skills

at the right time in history.

And that's exactly the case with Napoleon,

but history is not a matter of impersonal forces

and nothing ensured that an individual would come along

to exploit the changes as fully and expect accurately $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right) +\left(x\right)$

as Napoleon.

Many are the historical opportunities

that have been lost for lack of talent or vision.

In Napoleon's case, the man met his hour.

And then it goes into the description

of the fact that his genius was of two sorts.

He was a military and a political genius.

He had genuinely extraordinary mental abilities,

a nearly photographic memory,

the ability to visualize the positions

of thousands of men in battle,

details about munitions and supplies.

He could see in a moment how to maneuver everything

for maximum effect.

I double underlined that sentence, let me read it to you again.

He could see in a moment how to maneuver everything for maximum effect.

A classic Napoleonic tactic involved dividing his resources into a number of groups,

10 miles or more distant from each other,

followed by rapid forced marches to bring them together at a single strategic spot.

These moves disrupted enemy operations.

The goal was not simply to outmaneuver enemies,

but to smash their armies entirely.

Napoleon's genius in this respect depended in turn

on a ferocious stamina, which he possessed in abundance.

This is how Ralph Waldo Emerson described Napoleon.

Napoleon was a man of stone and iron.

That is a crazy description about a human being.

He was a man of stone and iron.

And we'll see that he was also paying attention to details.

You could even say maybe a bit of a micromanager.

In these two years, 1796 and 1797 alone,

Napoleon wrote or dictated nearly 2,000 letters

on everything from the number of carts needed

to carry a regiment's paperwork

to the position of drummer boys in a marching column.

He required little sleep, routinely rising soon

after midnight and working through to the next evening

with only a short nap to refresh himself.

His political genius was just as important.

Napoleon understood far better than his rivals

that in a newly democratic age,

political success depended on forging a bond

with ordinary people.

This is what you and I have talked about a few times so far in this podcast.

I would also note what I wrote down

when I got to this section the first time

that I read this book,

the idea that Napoleon understood far better

than his rivals that in a newly democratic age,

political success depended on forging a bond $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right)$

with ordinary people.

Rockefeller noted this about Napoleon too.

That a few pages later goes into how he managed

in his organization.

He made frequent addresses directly to his troops,

to his people, praising their bravery.

He doled out medals by the beryphal.

He distributed 100 specially engraved sabers

for valiant acts of heroism.

He knows human nature.

He knows, we all go, what did Mary Kay Ash, right?

Who founded her cosmetic empire.

Don't worry, I'm gonna read a biography of her soon.

I think of her autobiography as a matter of fact.

She built this gigantic cosmetic empire and she said,

every body goes through life with an invisible sign $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

around their neck that says make me feel important,

make me feel special.

This is exactly what Napoleon is doing

at this point in history.

He distributes 100 specially engraved sabers

for valiant acts.

He appealed to the soldier's sense of pride and destiny.

The fatherland has the right to expect great things of you.

All of you wish to be able to say with pride

upon returning to your villages,

I was part of the conquering army of Italy.

And so I wanna pause on that one line.

I wanna go back to it real quick.

He says he appealed to the soldier's sense of pride and destiny.

I've actually seen this two other times came to mind

when I read this book, Jeff Bezos and General Patton.

If you haven't gone and I've watched General Patton's speech,

his speech to the third army, no joke, hundreds of times,

I shouldn't admit this publicly,

but sometimes I start my day with it to get me fired up.

But at the end of the speech, he talks about,

he's like, listen, when you get home from this war, right?

Many years from now,

you're gonna be sitting there with your grandson on your lap

and you're gonna be able to tell him

what you accomplished, right?

He uses a different language.

Patton uses vastly different language

than what I just said there.

But that's the general, like, what he's appealing to.

He's like, you know, you're making a sacrifice now

as a young man, just think of when you're an old,

like you survive and you're an older man and your grandson's sitting on your lap and you tell him that, you know,

you rode with General Patton in the third army

and this is what you accomplished.

Jeff Bezos also says this in Amazon.

He's like, listen, we're trying to build something here,

the building of Amazon,

something that we can be proud of,

so proud of that we're gonna tell our grandchildren about it.

And he says, his follow-up lines are just fantastic.

He's like, such things are not meant to be easy.

And so we see Napoleon's version of it here.

He's appealing to their sense of pride and destiny.

The fatherland has the right to expect great things of you.

All of you wish to be able to stay with pride

upon returning to your villages.

I was part of that.

It goes on, Napoleon took care

to remain personally approachable by his soldiers.

Napoleon ensured that everyone back home

knew of his exploits and appreciated his brilliance.

This goes back to the stage, what they call it, stagecraft.

This idea that he utilized all forms of media,

controlled the message,

make sure that his version of events

got spread far and wide.

And to accomplish this goal,

there's a great sentence here that just blew my mind.

He says, Napoleon founded two French-language newspapers

to report on his conquest.

I got, that's crazy.

He founded two French-language newspapers

to report on his conquest.

On the back cover of the book.

they're describing another book that David Bell wrote.

And I think it applies to this book too.

It says, he has a gift for storytelling

and a flair for the weird, unfamiliar fact.

I love the weird, unfamiliar facts.

Those are the ones that you tend to remember.

And so over the next several years,

he has wild success, but then we start to see,

remember, he has a strategic, this parabolic rise

and then this swift fall from power.

And part of the problem was he started getting high

on his own supply.

So he winds up conquering Egypt

and listen to how he's talking.

Napoleon was utterly entranced with Egypt.

Here he was, not yet 30 years old, standing in triumph,

where Alexander and Caesar had stood before him.

And this is what he said.

in Egypt, I found myself freed from the obstacles

of an irksome civilization.

I was full of dreams.

I saw myself founding a religion,

marching into Asia, riding an elephant.

Already in his mind, he's passed from soldier

to general to emperor.

And now he is a godlike figure in his own mind.

And so he goes back to France.

They overthrow the government.

He's gonna be in charge.

He's actually on a, it says they would replace the regime

with three temporary executives,

what they called councils.

Napoleon is one of the three.

And it says these three men then oversaw the drafting

of yet another constitution for the Battle of Republic.

The coup was the fourth coup in a little more than two years,

yet no single political figure in France

possessed anything like Napoleon's personal appeal

and charisma.

Napoleon was something new

and the keenest observers understood it.

And so they go more into detail about this part

and a few years after.

And this is what I meant.

One of his fatal flaws is getting high on his own supply.

I'm gonna pull out one sentence here that really jumped out

of me, I'll get there in one second.

By the fall of 1799, the French had lived through

a solid decade of revolutionary turmoil.

Large scale violence with a total death toll

of more than 300,000.

A change of regime nearly every year,

repeated bouts of hyperinflation

and near economic collapse.

Threats of foreign invasion.

Napoleon with his instinct and genius for propaganda

exploited his achievements for everything that they were worth.

Talked about spreading the message through poets,

songwriters, painters, sculptors, the propaganda worked.

And the problem was the propaganda starts working

on his own mind.

And so he starts out as revolutionary

and then turns into a monarch.

He insisted on yet another new constitution

in the fifth and 11 years,

which transformed him into consul for life

and gave him the ability to choose his successor.

It was a clear move towards monarchy.

And many times the author makes the point

that Napoleon starts to,

it's almost like Animal Farm by George Orwell

where what you're seeking to replace

you wind up morphing into over time.

So it says,

the regime he created between 1799 and 1804

was authoritarian, illiberal and undemocratic.

And another mention that he's getting high on his own supply.

He had a self-confidence that had long ago

passed the boundaries of hubris.

And this is where he takes it one step further.

He's like, well, I'm not gonna be a king.

I'm gonna be an emperor.

Napoleon took a step

that forever changed his relationship to his country

and to his image and history.

He literally put a crown on his head.

He became not a king, but an emperor.

It is worth remembering that even more than a decade

after the French Revolution,

Europe remained dominated by conservative monarchies

to have them treat him as an honorable equal.

Napoleon genuinely believed

that he had to become a monarch himself.

And we already discussed the fact that Napoleon knew

that symbolism was a very powerful thing.

We also discussed the fact that he was a reader,

an intense reader of history,

constantly preparing, comparing himself to people like Caesar, Charlemagne, Alexander the Great. And we see that again, when this coronation ceremony that he's placing for himself, he actually goes and gets a pope to play a role in the coronation.

It says, Pope Pius VII took part so as to highlight the comparison that Napoleon wanted to draw between himself and another emperor of the West, Charlemagne,

who was crowned by a pope 1,000 years before Napoleon.

And so now that he's the emperor,

listen to how he's talking,

an empire was infinitely more expandable

in ways that a nation state was not signaling

that Napoleon's ambitions as a conqueror had

with the resumption of war increased by yet

another degree of magnitude.

As he would later confide,

I wanted to rule the world.

Who wouldn't have in my place?

Amid the glitter of the coronation ceremony,

this is very fascinating actually,

amid the glitter of the coronation ceremony,

one glimpse of the older, less pompous Napoleon $\,$

could still be found.

He turned to his brother, Joseph, and whispered, $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left$

if grinning, he was smiling when he says this,

if Papa could see us now,

but in coming years,

this older Napoleon would be seen less and less.

And so there's just two paragraphs here,

and really what jumps out at me,

there's two quotes I thought of.

One of my favorite books,

I can't believe I randomly,

if I remember correctly, stumbled upon it in a bookstore.

It is actually a, it's episode 251,

it's called Ben Franklin and George Washington,

The Founding Partnership,

or Franklin and Washington, The Founding Partnership.

And what was so interesting about it

was a focus on the constant intersection of the lives

between Ben Franklin and George Washington.

And so there's something in, there's a story in that book of this battle that George Washington was involved in in his early career that is gonna make me think about Napoleon picking a fight that he can't possibly win here.

I'll get there in a minute.

So it says the fatal disadvantage France had at seeing comparison with Great Britain.

Napoleon could build ships,

he could put men in sailor's uniforms,

but developing a powerful navy

required another ingredient, expertise.

And here, France fell terribly short.

Even the lowest ranking sailor

in the age of sail required far more skill and experience

than an ordinary land soldier in order to serve effectively.

Officers, so naval officers,

required years of specialized training.

British naval officers generally started

when they were young boys.

If you're an elephant, you can't jump in the ocean $% \left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$

and think that you're gonna beat them.

France, with a far less developed maritime tradition, simply could not match the British in this crucial arena.

And so this idea where you should only be competing or building a business around where you have an edge.

So there's actually the no-elect on that page

and then we'll get to the Washington and Franklin thing.

It was something I learned from Ed Thorpe.

This is all the way back on episode 222

in his fantastic autobiography, A Man for All Markets.

And he talks about what he learned as 50 years

as an entrepreneur and investor.

And he says, the surest ways to get rich is to play games,

to play those games or make those investments $% \left(x\right) =\left(x\right) +\left(x$

where you have an edge.

Napoleon and the French do not have an edge

at sea against Britain.

And what it made me think of was,

there's the American war with the Native Americans.

So you have like the British colonists at the time.

This is before America was America.

And they're fighting the Native Americans that are there.

And I think at this time it's happening,

Washington is like 21 or 22 years old.

And so he winds up surviving one of these battles,

he comes back and it's telling the people,

the British, that the British are sending their soldiers

over to fight the Native Americans.

And they're in like full regalia.

They're like marching through the woods, they're doing it.

They're fighting wars as they fought in Europe.

And Washington's like, you guys can't do that.

You're going to die.

This is a completely different game over here.

You can't just say, hey, I'm good at European land warfare

and I'm going to export it

to the frontier of America at this point.

And so they don't heed his warnings

and they get absolutely routed and destroyed.

And there's a line in that book

is just absolutely fantastic writing.

And it reminds me to only compete where I have an edge.

You might as well send a cow in pursuit of a rabbit.

The Indians were accustomed to these woods.

Can you build an edge and advantage over your competitors

to the point where competing with you is so futile,

is as futile as a cow pursuing a rabbit.

Another tactic that Napoleon used a few times

was that he would fake weakness

to lure his competitor into a trap.

A peer weak to conceal a strength.

That's a very interesting idea.

It was here that Napoleon won perhaps

his most brilliant victory.

After surveying the field the day before,

he deliberately weakened his right flank

in the hope of drawing a massive attack by the allies

that would leave their center vulnerable.

The next morning the allies took his bait.

By the end of the day,

the French had completed a ruthless,

there's that word again,

completed a ruthless destruction of the enemy forces.

At this point in the story, he is 36 years old

and he is not concealing the fact

that complete European domination is his goal.

I must make all the peoples of Europe

into a single people and Paris the capital of the world.

It was a stunning ambition.

Napoleon is the opposite of most people.

Most people put artificial limits,

limits that don't actually exist on themselves

in their lives.

Napoleon appears to not believe he had any limits at all.

In fact, it made me think of one of my favorite quotes $% \left\{ \left(1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left(1\right) \right\}$

by Bruce Lee.

It used to be the wallpaper on my laptop.

If you always put limits on everything you do,

it will spread into your work and into your life.

There are no limits.

There are only plateaus and you must not stay there.

You must go beyond them.

And then what I found interesting is

this is during his like rapid expansion.

He's emperor, he's fighting wars on multiple fronts.

But the author makes the point a few times

that I think is important to like pause

and really think about what he's saying here.

Because he mentions this over and over again

that things are not as they appear from the outside.

We see this with large companies.

They look like, oh, they can't possibly,

they have so many resources, they have so many people.

They can't possibly be competed with or even overtaken.

And the history of business and history of entrepreneurship

is very clear about that.

As they grow, they get more bureaucratic.

They open up a larger surface area

for like small focus teams to attack.

And so I just want to read this part

and then it brought to mind something I heard

Jeff Bezos say that I think is really interesting.

Impressive as it was from the outside,

the empire was increasingly coming

to resemble a skyscraper built in haste

without a proper foundation.

It did not help that Napoleon

after his victories of 1805 and 1806

felt himself virtually invincible.

And what I thought of was like, well,

you see this sometimes with companies

where they grow so fast, but they're really,

it's a shaky foundation.

And one thing that history's greatest entrepreneurs

have in common is the fact that they build for durability.

They want to build a company

that is around for a long time.

And so Jeff Bezos has this speech

where he says, great things take time.

He says, we know from our past experiences

that big things start small.

The biggest oak starts from an acorn.

If you want to do anything new,

you've got to be willing to let the acorn grow

into a little sapling and then to a small tree.

And then maybe one day it'll be a big business on its own.

You can't skip steps.

You have to put one foot in front of the other.

Things take time.

There are no shortcuts,

but you want to do those steps with passion and ferocity.

And so he's expanding way too fast.

He's unbelievably arrogant.

He thinks he's gonna be emperor of the entire world.

And then you add on another disadvantage

and all these disadvantages are gonna compound.

In the next five years,

he's gonna be thrown out of like this when his fall happens.

And one of the disadvantages is his physical decline.

This physical decline is, I'll read you quotes

in a few minutes.

This physical decline directly leads

to his downfall later on.

You have to take care of your body.

You have to take care of your health.

Larry Miller, episode 168,

he wrote this fantastic autobiography as he was dying.

His body was literally shutting down

as he's writing the book.

It's called Driven in Autobiography.

I think everybody should listen to episode 168

because it's a cautionary tale.

It's what happens when you don't take care of your health.

You don't have any fun.

You prioritize work over personal relationships

and you're one of the richest people.

In fact, I think he might've been the richest person

in the state of Utah at the time he's writing the book.

And yet he's like, hey, don't do what I did.

That's a crazy story.

Like that book is absolutely,

it's a must read in my opinion.

But anyways, this idea of you have to take care of your health.

Napoleon was now 40 years old and was growing slower.

The once nervously thin revolutionary was getting stout

and he suffered from increasingly severe urinary infections

and possible pituitary disorder,

as well as what might've been mild,

epileptic fits.

And a few pages later, the author brings up this idea.

It's like, hey, this is a shake from the outside.

It looks like the empire's formidable.

It's actually on shaky ground.

And you really think about what's happening.

It's like, one, he's creating something

that's too large to manage, right?

And then he's becoming more like what he replaced.

This reminded me of like how startups, successful startups,

then eventually transform into large bloated companies.

And then eventually they're overtaken by a startup

and like the cycle just goes on and on and on.

And we're just kind of replaying old ideas

and old scenarios over and over again with new generations.

So it says the empire was continuing to expand.

The need to mobilize even larger populations to staff

and supply the ever more bloated armies

fed the unsteady expansion of the area

under direct imperial control.

Napoleon's system was bringing new holes faster

than he could plug them.

However large and powerful his empire looked

from the outside and however great the conquest

it was already trying to digest,

it was certain that wars would continue.

And oh my goodness, on the next few pages

is the most highlights and notes that I have

for the entire book.

And this is where he decides to invade Russia.

And so the first note I have is poor reading comprehension.

Do not get high off your own supply.

It is not you, it is the work.

So what does that mean?

What did I read to spawn that thought

when Napoleon crossed into Russian territory

he brought along some potentially disturbing reading?

Voltaire's history of Charles VII.

It told the story of a king of Sweden.

The most admired military leader of his time,

which is exactly what Napoleon is at this point

in his life, right?

Who had invaded Russia a century before.

But Charles army weakened by disease and sheer exhaustion

after an 18 month trek through hostile territory

came to grief, came to grief in the decisive battle

which proved so disastrous that it sealed Sweden's decline

as a military power.

Presumably Napoleon took Voltaire's work with him

or book with him in the hope of avoiding Charles mistakes.

At one point early in the campaign, he told an aide,

we shall not repeat the folly of Charles VII, but he does.

That's what I meant by poor reading comprehension.

But in the end, he proved a very bad reader.

Second mistake, do not give your competition time

to prepare bad boys move in silence.

Unfortunately, Napoleon had given the Russians

a long time to prepare as early as 1810.

So that's what two, two and a half years

before he invades, right?

As early as 1810, they had foreseen the coming invasion

and pondered the most likely means of defeating it.

Note number three, do not let other people dictate your game.

If it's good for your competitors, avoid it.

What does that mean?

The Russians wrote a planning memo.

The key to defeating Napoleon, they said,

was to plan and pursue a war exactly contrary

to what the enemy wants.

In other words, to avoid the sort of major battle

that could destroy the Russian army.

This is what they do instead.

There's a fantastic, it's remarkable

how many times this repeats.

So you got Charles VII, 100 years earlier

running into the same tactics.

Then you have Napoleon in the 19, what is this?

I should be 1812.

Dan Carlin of Hardcore History has a fantastic podcast

called The Ghost of Osfront.

Very similar tactics that the Russians used

on the Germans in World War II as well.

And so what do they do?

Instead of direct combat, right?

The goal was gaining time and drawing out the war

as long as possible.

If Napoleon came, the Russians would retreat

into the depths of their vast country,

destroying supplies as they went.

And the Russians had competent commanders,

including the legendary 66-year-old, Mikhail.

There's no way, you know, I'm not pronouncing

the same correctly.

I'm just gonna call him Mikhail.

So he's 66 years old.

He's, first of all, successful and survived.

So you know, he has something like,

there's knowledge in his head,

even though the fact that he took a bolt to the head.

Despite the fact that a musket ball had passed

clean through his head nearly 40 years before.

He had formidable tactical skills.

Note number four.

This is all on the same page, this is remarkable.

Napoleon went from being young, quick and agile

to old, fat and slow.

Businesses do this too.

Napoleon faced other obstacles.

The very size of his army made it harder for him

to control and maneuver than the forces

he had commanded earlier in his career.

The problems of ruling a huge and trouble empire

pressed in on him, distracting him from the campaign.

Another note I left on the other page.

The distracted do not beat the focused.

That's not going to happen.

And his health hobbled him more and more.

This is what I mentioned earlier.

You gotta take care of your health.

That these disadvantages are gonna compound and lead to his downfall.

And his health hobbled him more and more.

Napoleon was virtually incapacitated

in several battles against the Russians.

The weather finally posed a variety of problems

from the start, Dan Carlin in that fantastic podcast.

Series The Ghosts of Osfront talks about

they call the Russian winter general winter.

They thought it was one of their best military assets.

The fact that it was just so cold there.

Back to this idea that Napoleon went from being young,

quick and agile to old, fat and slow.

So he finally confronts the main Russian force.

He was shaking with fever and urinary pain.

He remained behind the lines and directed his forces

with a caution that the younger Napoleon would have scorned.

After that battle, the French march into Moscow

and find it largely deserted.

Between disease and death,

Napoleon had already lost more than a third of his men.

The Russians also light-fired a Moscow on purpose.

The fire left Moscow uninhabitable,

forcing the French army to withdraw.

Napoleon made the matters much worse

by delaying the army's departure for nearly a month,

believing his men had plenty of time before winter set in.

Set in, instead, one of the coldest winters on record

began earlier than usual.

This is crazy.

Temperatures fell to lower than 35 degrees below zero.

The French retreat from Moscow has deservedly gone down in history as one of the greatest military catastrophes of all time.

Napoleon's forces were ill-prepared for the murderous cold.

This is the crazy thing that I thought of

when I got to this part, and then I left myself.

It's like, success is never permanent.

Think about this.

The same person that built the empire destroyed it.

And so let's go into a description of the murderous cold.

Frostbite seized appendages.

Snow glare induced temporary blindness.

Each morning, the sun rose on the frost-covered corpses

of men who had fallen asleep and frozen solid in the night.

Horses dead and living were devoured raw,

while desperate soldiers sought warmth

in the animal's eviscerated bellies.

Of the original 650,000 strong force,

barely 85,000 men made it back out of Russia.

Napoleon's aura of invincibility had disappeared.

And eventually, Napoleon is forcibly removed

from power, and we see by his actions

that if you love what you do,

the only exit strategy is death.

Napoleon now had no choice but to abdicate unconditionally.

A few days later, seized by despair,

he tried to kill himself by swallowing a sachet of poison

he had carried with him since the Russian campaign.

But the drugs had lost their potency

and succeeded only in making him violently ill.

The former master of total war had finally become its victim.

Yet he still had one act to perform,

his most remarkable of all, the 100 days.

Less than a year after leaving France,

Napoleon would return in secret, rally his supporters,

and seize power for a second time.

He would restore the empire, resuscitate his grand army,

and march across the frontier to confront his enemies.

Only after his defeat at Waterloo,

would his fall from power become permanent.

And that is where I'll leave it for the full story.

I highly recommend picking up this wonderfully concise

biography, you can read it in a weekend.

If you buy the book using the link

that's in the show notes of your podcast player,

you'll be supporting this podcast at the same time.

If you haven't yet signed up for Founders Premium,

I'm making AMA episodes on this private podcast feed.

I'm recording the fourth one that should be out

a few days after you hear this,

and you can access those episodes by using the link

that's in the show notes of your podcast player

and available at FoundersPodcast.com.

If you wanna join my free email newsletter where I email

my top 10 highlights of every book that I read,

that link is also down below

and available at FoundersPodcast.com.

That is 294 books down 1,000 to go, and I'll talk to you again soon.

Okay, so all the way back on episode 227.

I read this fantastic book called

The Essays of Warren Buffett.

So all the way back on episode 88,

I read every single one of Warren's shareholder letters.

But what this book that was put together by Lawrence Cunningham

called The Essays of Warren Buffett did that was so smart

is that he took the shareholder letters

and instead of organizing them by year, which is standard,

he organized them by topic.

And so in the original podcast I did on this book,

which again is episode 227,

one hour and 28 minutes into the podcast that they're about,

I go over this letter that I'm about to read to you now.

It's under the heading titled On Selling One's Business.

And the reason I'm bringing this up

is because one of the sponsors of this episode is Tiny.

And one way that Tiny has been described

is that they're building the Berkshire of the internet.

And you can go to tiny.com and read the testimonials

and see all the founders that have sold their business to Tiny

and how their main differentiation,

just like Warren Buffett and Charlie Munger is,

there's no BS.

We're gonna make this transaction as simple and easy as possible

where a lot of people that buy businesses

make you jump through hoops and it's just a headache.

And so if you think about what Warren is doing here

in this letter is his business is buying businesses.

And so his customer or founders or managers of businesses

and so he is essentially writing a letter to a guy.

So he wrote this letter in 1991 to a guy who indicated

he might wanna sell his family business.

And so I'm just gonna pull out a few highlights here

and really think about what Warren's doing is

he is differentiating his services

from other people that also buy businesses.

He says if you should decide to sell,

I think Berkshire Hathaway offers some advantages

that most other buyers do not.

Practically all of these buyers will fall

into one or two categories.

And so now Warren is going to describe his competition. If you go to tiny.com, you'll see they described their competition in some ways, their competition, which is typically VCs or private equity companies. So Warren says, number one, a company located elsewhere but operating in your business or in a business someone akin, somewhat akin to yours. Such a buyer, no matter what promises are made will usually have managers who feel they know how to run your business operations and sooner or later will want to apply some hands-on and he puts the word help in quotation marks. Obviously, Warren does not think that the managers they're gonna install in that business are actually gonna be helpful at all. The second other option, a financial maneuverer invariably operating with large amounts of borrowed money who plan to resell either to the public or to another corporation as soon as the time is favorable. Frequently, this buyer's major contribution will be to change accounting methods so that earnings can be presented in the most favorable light just prior to his bailing out. And then listen to this persuasion technique that he uses because he's writing to somebody that he knows it's a family business, which means they put a lot of their time and life energy into. So he says, if the sole motive of the present owner is to cash their chips and put their business behind them and plenty of sellers do fall into this category, either type of the buyer that I just described to you is satisfactory, but if the seller's business represents the creative work of a lifetime and forms an integral part of their personality and sense of being, buyers of either type have serious flaws. So he's just described his competitive landscape. He says, listen, there's option one, there's option two, but then there's a new option, something that's completely different and better. And he's describing the services that he provides to founders, right? So he says, Berkshire is another kind of buyer,

a rather unusual one, we buy to keep.

Tiny does too, by the way.

All of the businesses we own are run autonomously

to an extraordinary degree.

When we buy a business, the sellers go on running it

just as they did before the sale.

We adapt to their methods rather than vice versa.

And in Tiny's case, it's up to the founder

if they wanna stay or if they wanna go.

Tiny's fine with either solution.

And then Warren does something fantastic.

He's like, listen, you know who the past businesses

that we bought.

I'm including, in this letter,

a list of every single person we've ever bought a business

from, Tiny does something very similar

on their website, which you can go see.

You know some of our past purchases.

I'm enclosing a list of everyone from whom

we have ever bought a business.

And I invite you to check with them

as to our performance versus our promises.

And then Warren says, hey, I'm gonna keep it simple with you.

If you should decide to do business with Berkshire,

we would pay in cash.

Tinv says the exact same thing on their website.

Your business would not be used as collateral

for any loan by Berkshire.

There would be no brokers involved.

Warren also says, you deal with me.

And finally, you would know exactly

with whom you are dealing.

You would not have one executive negotiate the deal

only to have someone else in charge a few years later

or have the president regretfully tell you

that his board of directors required this change

or that change.

And because Warren has hacked away at the unessential,

all that is left is simplicity.

And his pitch is simple.

Do you want a great home for your business?

Then call me and I will do a cash transaction really fast.

Same thing that Tiny does.

I will not pester you.

If you have any possible interests in selling, I would appreciate your call. I would be extraordinarily proud to have Berkshire along with the key members of your family own blank. So you took out any identifying information of who he's writing to, okay? I believe we would do very well financially and I believe you would have just as much fun running your business over the next 20 years as you have during the past 20. Sincerely, Warren Buffett. I think reading the entire letter is worth your time. If you buy the book, it's on pages 230 through 233 and make sure you go back and listen to episode 227, the essays of Warren Buffett. If you have not done so already. And if you have a business that you're interested in selling, now or in the future, make sure you go to tiny.com.